

# Arguably THE BEST LITERARY MAGAZINE IN THE WORLD

'Controversy is wonderful for the mind and for the heart, and tungsten-hard polemic is what has always thrillingly distinguished the London Review ... A unique platform for intellectual heavyweights with a sense of humour.' — Punch

WITH INTELLIGENCE, political analysis, literary criticism and mirth. These and more are available for your intellectual pleasure this year and for years to come in the **London Review of Books** — said by Alan Bennett to be 'the liveliest, the most serious and also the most radical literary magazine we have'. Recent articles include: Jane Holland on women's snooker, Martha Gellhorn on the deaths of Brazilian children, Ross McKibbin on New Labour, Christopher Hitchens on Clinton sleaze, Zoë Heller on Claire Bloom, Frank Kermode on Judas Iscariot, Helen Vendler on T.S. Eliot, Eric Hobsbawm on the Russian Revolution.

WITH ITS DISTINGUISHED ARRAY OF WRITERS, the LRB provides an unrivalled combination of information, humour and opinion. Ignoring the traditional distinction between academic and non-academic subjects, LRB contributors have unprecedented freedom and scope. As a result, articles can embrace immigration policy, Nijinsky's erotic poems, the Net Book Agreement, Michael Holroyd's aunt, Dennis Potter, the blue whale, the heritage business and Mystic Meg — or even what it is like to be an undertaker. Once you've read these articles, you might find yourself agreeing with James Wood, who wrote in the Guardian that the **London Review of Books** is 'a fine, scrupulous, and eccentric literary journal ... its contribution to British literary culture has been immense, but perhaps what most distinguishes it is its freedom to do what it wants.'


ADMIRER NO LESS FOR ITS ORIGINALITY than for its erudition, the **London Review of Books** provides its writers and thinkers with a unique forum to air their considered views, probe prejudices and give vent to pet peeves. A critic in the Independent on Sunday recently commended the LRB for making room in its pages for Ian Gilmour or Edward Luttwak alongside

Paul Foot and Terry Eagleton: 'the iconoclasm is always there, but it is scrupulously democratic.' Each issue gives you not only

today's best literary and political thinking, but also controversy, an open but not empty mind, a guarantee of fine but not pretentious writing — and even the occasional burst of merriment.

FOR PEOPLE WHO LOVE THE WRITTEN WORD, the LRB is required reading. Take this opportunity to introduce yourself to the LRB, and we will send you your first six issues absolutely free and give you 15% off the cost of a year's subscription. Then, like Alan Bennett, you can look forward to its arrival: 'Every fortnight when I see it lying on the mat I cheer up and am grateful for it.'

## Ronan Bennett: A Life's Life London Review OF BOOKS



London Review of Books, 28 Little Russell Street, London WC1A 2HN  
Tel: (0171) 209 1141 Fax: (0171) 209 1151

SIX FREE ISSUES AND 15% OFF

POST TO: London Review of Books, FREEPOST, WC3919, LONDON WC1A 2BR, ENGLAND. No stamp required if posted in UK.

Please send me six free issues of the London Review of Books and enter my one-year subscription of 24 fortnightly issues at a saving of 15%. I enclose payment now, but I understand that if, after six issues, I wish to cancel my subscription, I can do so and receive a full refund. The six issues will be mine to keep at no cost.

Mr/Mrs/Ms/Miss

Address

Postcode

RATES: 1 year (24 + 6 issues) 15% off. UK: £45.90 (save £8.10) Europe: £56.10 (save £9.90) Middle East, Africa, India, Latin America: £62.05 (save £10.95) Australasia, Far East: £66.30 (save £11.70)

☐ I enclose a cheque for £..... made payable to 'London Review of Books Ltd'.  
☐ Please charge my MasterCard/American Express/Diners Club/Visa card:

No.

Card expiry date ..... Signature .....

Credit card hotline: (0171) 209 1141, Fax: (0171) 209 1151

From time to time you may receive information about offers, services and products that may be of interest to you. If you would prefer not to receive these, please tick the box ☐

# The Guardian

Vol 157, No 18  
Week ending November 2, 1997

## Crackdown on Nigeria threatened

Ian Black in Edinburgh

COMMONWEALTH leaders wound up their summit in Edinburgh on Monday promising to monitor persistent human rights violations after angry criticism that they had failed to meet the challenge of abuses by Nigeria's military regime.

Endorsing a report on Nigeria's behaviour since it was suspended at the Auckland Commonwealth meeting in 1995, heads of government pledged to crack down in future if General Sani Abacha failed to meet his own timetable for restoring democracy.

In an attempt to give teeth to an enforcement policy, the Commonwealth Ministerial Action Group was given a permanent mandate to investigate members' behaviour — becoming what one official called "a permanent policeman".

Tony Blair, chairing the first Commonwealth meeting in Britain for 20 years and anxious to emphasise its achievements on economic and environmental issues, insisted progress had been made on Nigeria. "We have taken very, very tough action," he told a news conference. "Suspension continues, there will be further sanctions which follow, and if there isn't proper progress then there's no doubt at all that Nigeria's position will be in grave jeopardy in the Commonwealth."

Summit reports, page 9  
Martin Woolcott, page 12



Nelson Mandela and Tony Blair stroll across St Andrews golf course during a break in the Commonwealth summit. PHOTO: JONATHAN EMMETT

## 'Apartheid' judges snub truth commission

David Beresford in Johannesburg

SOUTH Africa's judges were judged themselves and found wanting by the truth commission this week when they failed to appear at hearings on the judiciary's role under apartheid.

The retired archbishop Desmond Tutu and his fellow commissioners were forced to hold a hurried meeting to decide whether to subject the judges to the ultimate humiliation of a subpoena after the wife of a death-row survivor demanded they be forced to account for themselves.

The hearings on the judiciary and the legal profession — described by the bishop as the most important after those dealing with human rights abuses — got under way in Johannesburg on Monday with Bishop Tutu expressing his "distress" that not one member of the judiciary had seen fit to appear.

But the cat was set among the legal pigeons when a lay witness, Paula McBride — who married Robert McBride on death row in the

1980s and fought an extraordinary battle to save him from the hangman — made a passionate plea that threatens to put the commission on a collision course with the bench.

In his opening address, Bishop Tutu said the judges' failure to appear indicated that "they have not yet changed a mind-set that properly belongs to the old dispensation". It appeared that he would let the issue lie with his rebuke, until a young anti-apartheid campaigner took the witness stand to deliver one of the most forceful expositions of justice yet heard by the commission.

Mrs McBride tore into the record of the judiciary under apartheid, accusing the country's judges of having made a bigger contribution than all the state's assassins to shoring up the system. "The judiciary enforced every aspect of apartheid, from the most petty and degrading to the most murderous and genocidal," she said.

"They sent people to jail for walking the streets of their own country without a pass; for using 'white' facilities; for loving someone of the

wrong colour; for trying to live, or set up business outside of ghettos and banishments. They sent people to jail or the gallows, knowing full well that they had not had a competent defence. They gladly accepted statements that had obviously been secured through torture. They enforced legislation that silenced the press.

"They punished opponents of their system — for their it was — with the harshest array of cruelties ... yet, even up to now, they have managed to preserve and propagate the absurdity that they were somehow above it all — impartial."

Pointing out that leaders of the liberation movement had previously been subpoenaed, she said: "Why are the judges not being subpoenaed by the truth and reconciliation commission to account for what they have done in our history?"

The shaken commissioners met during the lunch adjournment to discuss her challenge. No decision was reached, but it is believed that sentiment was in favour of confronting the bench if it proved necessary.

## Share crash forces Wall St shutdown

Guardian Reporters

SHARE prices on Wall Street plummeted on Monday as the crash on global markets — provoked by financial turmoil in Asia — gathered momentum, provoking an unprecedented shutdown of all New York's equity markets.

In a day of volatile trading, the Dow Jones index in New York fell 554 points to 7161.15 until all trading was suspended on Wall Street more than an hour before the close of business.

The 7 per cent fall in New York was the biggest decline since Black Monday on October 19, 1987, and the largest points fall in its history. Under rules imposed on American markets since 1987, a cooling off period is required to calm nerves and allow traders to square their books.

The New York exchange's first stop for 30 minutes, in mid-afternoon, came when the market was 354 points down. Once trading resumed, panic selling restarted, triggering the second closure at 554. As the crisis deepened, the US treasury secretary, Robert Rubin, met President Clinton's economic advisers. Mr Rubin told Mr Clinton he had been in touch with the International Monetary Fund and World Bank to ensure there was adequate cash in the global financial system to deal with the problems in Asia, which provoked the market storm.

Mr Clinton appealed for calm. The collapse represents his most serious financial challenge since he was first elected in 1992 on a platform of stabilising the economy and reducing unemployment.

In the three trading days up to Monday in New York, the world's leading stock market, shares fell 11.6 per cent amid growing concern that the problems in Southeast Asia will rebound on the US economy.

Wall Street's crash had an immediate effect on Pacific rim markets. Hong Kong's Hang Seng index, which took a hammering last week before rallying on Friday, fell more than 16 per cent in early trading on Tuesday, prompting the chief executive, Tung Chee-hwa, to hold his first crisis cabinet meeting since the former British colony reverted to Chinese rule in July. Hong Kong shares have lost 50 per cent in value over recent weeks.

Tokyo stocks lost 4 per cent of their value by early Tuesday afternoon, and Taiwan's stock market was down by nearly 6 per cent at mid-session. Australia and New Zealand were also hit. The Australian share market closed down 7.2 per cent on Tuesday, and New Zealand shares fell 12.4 per cent a week after hitting an all-time high.


In London, the FTSE 100 index plunged 450 points on Tuesday, knocking more than \$130 billion off leading share values, but the market rallied later in the morning.

Monday's plunge in New York came after a day of gloom on equity markets around the world, which saw the value of people's savings falling hourly. Most traders left the stock exchange building in New York shell-shocked by the biggest one-day points fall in the Dow Jones on record and by the first shutdown of trading since the assassination of President Kennedy in 1963.

Wall Street's collapse was mirrored in the other markets of North and South America, with Brazilian stocks tumbling by 15 per cent, and the Mexican and Canadian markets shut down.

The White House spokesman, Mike McCurry, in an attempt to calm the market, said: "The president is confident the fundamentals of the American economy are strong ... That's what matters most."

Index value on Monday October 27



Asian turmoil, page 19

US eyes China's nuclear market 3

Poi Pot has no regrets 4

Clinton goes for light green 6

Britain's poisoned Pacific legacy 22

Afraid of the naked truth 23

Austria	AE30	Norway	NO30
Belgium	BF30	Portugal	PT30
Denmark	DK30	Saudi Arabia	SA30
Finland	FI30	Spain	ES30
France	FR30	Sweden	SE30
Germany	DE30	Switzerland	CH30
Greece	GR30		
Italy	IT30		



## Let's hear it for the good guys in America

**A**FTER months of reading the Guardian Weekly and witnessing a great deal of what I have concluded is kneejerk anti-Americanism, particularly from writers of letters to the editor, the question from Bryn Jones (Any answers?, October 19) has finally pushed me to respond.

Mr Jones asks: "Which is worse for the environment — the destruction of the Brazilian rain forest or the American use of resources?" No person in his or her right mind, Americans included (though many Guardian Weekly readers would apparently think that "right-minded Americans" is oxymoronic), would deny that Americans as a whole consume far too many of the world's finite resources. However, many of us dastardly Americans, though sinners all, are extremely concerned about such matters. I would like to invite any of you who live in another industrialised country to cast the first stone if you are without sin.

I would point out, for instance, that, according to various environmental statistics, Canadians are the world's largest per capita producers of garbage. That high garbage production must mean that Canadians are the world's largest consumers of goods per capita (unless, of course, their packaging is a lot bulkier than everybody else's). Canada also has considerably more lax environmental standards than the United States does, according to a recent Canadian government report. I cite these as examples of an area in which conventional wisdom about the US is not bolstered by facts, not from any animosity against Canadians.

Many critics of the US voice their criticism in a way that makes it sound as if this country is a huge,

homogeneous monolith in which everyone is of one mind and approves of the way our government handles foreign (to say nothing of domestic) policy. That's far from the truth. While there is, of course, a kind of American entity that others see, and most Americans have a (sometimes overweening) pride of country, the US is so geographically vast, so ethnically, racially and even linguistically diverse, and so culturally different from region to region, that anyone moving from one region to another is in for culture shock.

It might be more accurate to see the US more in terms of a kind of European Union under one central government and 50 (state) sub-governments. Canada, too, even with a tenth of the population of the US, is so diverse as to be divisible by distinct regional concerns (beyond English and French Canada), which causes no end of political scuffling.

When someone looking on from Europe who has never been to North America draws conclusions about "the Americans", he or she must realise that the conclusion may only apply to a minority of us. Stereotypes are easy to adopt but aren't very helpful if you're interested in the truth. But I guess you Brits — morally smug, superior-acting, and emotionally and sexually repressed as you are — know that.

Marian Van Tu  
Lewisville, New York, USA

**N**OW wait. The United States may control much of the world's resources, but does it also determine the world's ethics? Ellen Goodman's righteous indignation at a double standard of ethics for US research

in Africa is well taken (Double standards on ethics exports, October 5). But isn't the bigger problem the fact that, outside the research project, "the likelihood that [African] women will get AZT is virtually nil"? Ms Goodman admits this "double ethical standard" — as if the double ethical standard were not an ethical issue at all. It's a classical case of choking on a gnat and swallowing a camel.

Raymond Downing,  
Webuye, Kenya

## Still fighting colonial battles

**I**T IS true that the least defensible feature of British involvement in Rhodesia from the 1830s onwards was the land issue (Zimbabwe to seize white farmland, October 19). But however deplorable this was, surely it is not an excuse, 100 years later, for President Robert Mugabe to seize land from Zimbabwe citizens on the basis of their colour?

For this he expects the British government to compensate the taking of productive farms from many, some of whom are not even of British extraction. If we are to take this logic to its ludicrous conclusion, we are now to expect the removal of the Matebele farmers from their lands, acquired in the 1830s, and compensation paid for by the KwaZulu government? I hope the British government will not be blackmailed into using taxpayers' money as compensation because a man for whom many Zimbabweans have long lost all respect will do anything — including ruining his country's economy and reputation — for his own short-term survival.

Louis van Aardt,  
Harare, Zimbabwe

**I**S THE Guardian Weekly, by publishing Andrew Higgins's article from Hong Kong (HK sees history through Chinese eyes, October 19), suggesting that the Opium wars — perhaps one of the most disgraceful episodes of 19th century British colonial history — did not take place, or that if they did, that the historical cover-up should continue?

Gordon Watson,  
Sydney, Australia

## More than a hill of beans

**G**EORGE MONBIOT gives a chilling illustration of the lack of democratic control over the decisions shaping our future (Watch these beans, September 28). Government representatives negotiate international agreements in a process so Byzantine that even journalists, diplomats and lobbyists working full-time on them do not fully understand the implications. In these proceedings, sophisticated lobbyists for multinationals with vast sums at stake can run rings around negotiators for most countries, which devote less manpower and resources to the process.

Nor do the lobbyists rely solely on reasoned argument to win their points, for they have both the stick of withdrawal of investments and the carrot of employment for politicians and officials after they, as the Japanese delicately put it, "descend from heaven" into the non-official world.

Results, if they enter national poli-

tics at all, are usually simplified into ritual sloganeering — either you are for progress, globalisation and "free" trade, or you are a protectionist dinosaur, going through Canute-like notions to hold back the incoming tide. There is no meaningful public scrutiny outside ritualistic legislative hearings once a deal is done, or in the specialised trade press.

This makes the so-called European Union "democracy deficit" seem negligible. After all, in the EU there is a parliament and it only stays toothless at the choice of elected heads of government. In contrast, years after signing on to the World Trade Organisation or the North American Free Trade Agreement (Nafta), one learns that one's government may not be able to respond to reasonable public concerns over the labelling of biologically altered foods, or the levelling of the cultural playing field against the United States media behemoths, due to clauses skillfully inserted in favour of the elite that controls large international conglomerates.

What we need is fair trade, not falsely labelled free trade skewed towards the interests of the powerful and affluent minorities of all countries. If ever there was an argument for informed public debate over major changes to the international trading regimes, and indeed for an international democratic assembly to vet them for such time-bombs, this is it. Elective decision-making at the national level, even where it exists, is incapable of effectively representing the interests of ordinary people on this issue. That elected officials are increasingly giving up trying is shown by the neo-liberal vogue sweeping all before it.

Nigel Tappin,  
Dwight, Ontario, Canada

## Hands across the Irish sea

**F**INTAN OTOOLE (like brave Ireland, think the unthinkable, October 19) argues convincingly the case that Dublin should rejoin the Commonwealth and that leaving it in 1948 sharpened divisions between North and South. Not only should it do that, but, together with the British state, it should disestablish the Church. Not only would this be consistent with the multicultural society that we now live in, but it would remove another seed of hostility and suspicion from the Irish debate. With the taint of Popery gone, with an identity of political purpose joining our two countries, what steam would there be left in Unionism? The closer the British and Irish states, the nearer a solution in Northern Ireland.

Trevor A Rigg,  
Edinburgh, Scotland

**T**HOSE Protestants who are angry with Mr Blair for shaking hands with Sinn Féin may be justifiably upset when they remember the deaths of loved ones. Like the state of Israel, however, they must learn that the violence of their opponents has been caused by their own pride and intransigence.

One essential to civilised living is a just society. Yes, violence compromises this objective, but what effective alternative do the Protestants and the Israelis permit? This is the only valid goal in the Northern Ireland conflict, and all parties will have to shake hands to achieve it.

Rodney Knack,  
Merewether, NSW, Australia

## Briefly

**JULIAN BORGES** invites us to shed tears for poor little Israel as it "squats at butchered murder plot", which "forced it" to release Sheikh Ahmed Yassin (October 12). Meanwhile Bibi Netanyahu laments that "in every war we have mishaps" ("war"? I thought we had a "peace process") — and then goes on to boast, "We don't abandon our fighters". The Hizbullah defending their homeland against a foreign invader/occupier are "terrorists" while a shipload of Israelis invading Lebanon are habitually referred to by the press as "commandos". And now a bunch of killers with forged passports entering a neighbouring country that has a peace treaty with Israel to murder one of its citizens are "fighters"?  
Miriam M Abileah,  
Toronto, Ontario, Canada

**W**AS the timing of the latest Trident missile order a kneejerk macho reaction, to show Commonwealth partners what a first-rate power we really are? The result in India, Pakistan and elsewhere will be to strengthen the view that if a third-rate power like Britain can have an expanding nuclear weapons programme then it's OK for them to have one too.  
Mark Bigland-Pritchard,  
Bristol, Avon

**JOHN VIDALS** report about the U'wa people of Colombia (October 12) is surely a prime example of the double standards in the application of human rights. These people want nothing from the consumer society and there is no money in extending to them the human right not to want anything.  
M G Timmer,  
Bali, Indonesia

**JULIE BURCHILL** has every right to consider Maybe I'm Amazed gorgeous and regard it as one of the worst songs ever written (October 19). Her willingness to swallow Albert Goldman's allegations about John Lennon is also her problem. But it's a little bit unfair to allow someone with a demonstrated contempt for the Beatles to comment on matters related to the quartet without allowing some space for contrary (and in this case mainstream) opinions.  
Mahir Ali,  
Mortdale, NSW, Australia

**T**HE article on El Niño (October 5) was interesting and informative, but surely the area in the diagram marked United States is British Columbia, Canada, with the northern tip of Washington state intruding at the bottom (plus the Alaska Panhandle in the northwest)?  
E R Foster,  
Ottawa, Canada

**The Guardian Weekly**

November 2, 1997 Vol 157 No 18  
Copyright © 1997 by Guardian Publications Ltd., 119 Farringdon Road, London, United Kingdom. All rights reserved. Annual subscription rates are £49 United Kingdom; £56 Europe Inc. (USA and Canada); £63 Rest of World. Letters to the Editor and other editorial correspondence to: The Guardian Weekly, 119 Farringdon Road, London EC1M 3JQ. Fax: 44-171-242-6885 (UK) 0171-242-6886. e-mail: weekly@guardian.co.uk

## US to end China nuclear sanctions

Martin Kettle in Washington

**P**RESIDENT Clinton was expected to sweep aside America's nuclear sanctions against China this week and give President Jiang Zemin the political and economic prize he seeks from his controversial state visit to the United States.

The Chinese president arrived in Washington on Tuesday ready to phase out his country's supply of nuclear arms and know-how to Iran and to limit nuclear co-operation with Pakistan. In return, Mr Clinton was expected to lift a 12-year-old US ban on nuclear sales to China, a move worth \$15 billion to the US nuclear industry.

The quid pro quo is the centrepiece of Washington's "co-operation, not conflict" policy with Beijing, and will cement a year of achievement for President Jiang that has also in-

cluded the return of Hong Kong and a successful Communist Party congress in September. But the deal was likely to stir further protests against the Chinese leader when he runs a gauntlet of human rights campaigners and visits the White House.

At the meeting China is expected to promise to stop selling C-802 ship-to-ship cruise missiles to Iran, thus reducing the threat to US ships in the Persian Gulf and helping Mr Clinton to certify to Congress that Beijing is not providing nuclear help to other states, a legal precondition of the lifting of the nuclear sanctions.

In return, the US will be able to sell Beijing nuclear energy technology, which the Chinese need to speed their move from fossil fuel energy sources and reduce greenhouse gas emissions. US companies have recently mounted a massive lobbying campaign to allow them to compete against France and Canada

for Chinese nuclear contracts. China requires \$60 billion worth of nuclear reactors over the next 15 years, industry lobbyists claim.

Mr Jiang will also press Mr Clinton to end economic sanctions imposed after the Tiananmen Square crackdown in 1989, which prevent exporters from receiving US-backed loans for exports to China. But this is now seen as less likely in the intensely politicised atmosphere of the visit, which has drawn fire from right and left alike in the US.

However, China is expected to place a \$2 billion order for 30 new Boeing aircraft during Mr Jiang's visit.

Little progress is expected over Beijing's wish to be admitted to the World Trade Organisation as a sign of the country's arrival on the global economic stage. Months of negotiation have produced no agreement for China to open up its economy

and reduce its massive trade surplus with the US.

White House officials on Monday tried to play down expectations of any breakthrough on human rights — including moves on the imprisonment of dissidents and the continuing occupation of Tibet — which have fired the protest movement against the visit.

The Tibet issue, in particular, has become more prominent than ever, with Hollywood stars such as Richard Gere and Harrison Ford leading the campaign.

In Beijing, the wife of jailed pro-democracy activist Liu Nianchun said she had asked Mr Clinton to forward a letter to Mr Jiang urging her husband's release. Mr Liu was sentenced to three years in a labour camp in 1996 and is suffering from stomach and intestinal illnesses.

Washington Post, page 16



A Hindu nationalist chants slogans in New Delhi last week against plans to dislodge the rightwing Bharatiya Janata party government in the northern Indian state of Uttar Pradesh. The central government backed down over the move

PHOTOGRAPH BY RAVENDRAN

## Kurdish factions return to open war

Chris Morris in Ankara

**A**TENUOUS ceasefire between two warring Kurdish factions in northern Iraq collapsed last week after the Patriotic Union of Kurdistan (PUK) said it had been forced to respond to attacks by the rival Kurdistan Democratic Party (KDP) and bombing raids by the Turkish air force.

The PUK said the air raids covered a wide area, while the KDP attacked on the ground. Thousands of people were displaced, making it difficult for humanitarian agencies to deliver relief.

The mountains of northern Iraq have been politically unstable since the Gulf war in 1991, when Saddam Hussein lost control of the region.

Since then the two Kurdish factions have fought intermittently on the ground, while United States and British aircraft patrol the skies.

The latest clashes broke out last month. The PUK recaptured territory near the Iranian border and near a strategic road, before pressure from the US, Britain and Turkey forced a shaky ceasefire. The truce lasted for less than a week.

"The peace process has become a war process," said the PUK spokesman in Ankara, Shazad Sab. "There is no peace, so we will defend ourselves as best we can."

The presence of Turkish troops in northern Iraq raises the stakes. They have set up an informal security zone on the border to prevent infiltration into Turkey by rebels from

the Kurdistan Workers' Party (PKK). The Turkish military and the KDP have been working together for several months against the PKK, which has been waging an insurgency in southeastern Turkey since 1984.

Turkey says its bombs are aimed at the PKK, not the PUK. But it appears to be entering the fighting between Iraqi Kurds, raising questions about its ability to mediate.

Turkey, the US and Britain are supposed to be co-sponsors of the Ankara peace process, intended to get the two Iraqi Kurdish factions to co-operate across the region. But there is no prospect that they will be able to persuade the PUK to withdraw voluntarily to its previous positions.

Ankara's role is proving awkward for Washington and London. Turkey says it has no plans to station soldiers in northern Iraq permanently. But it regularly mounts cross-border operations with thousands of troops.

The Turkish military seems to be using the KDP as a client militia to look after its interests, but it also has an extensive intelligence network of its own, and its troops have been in Iraq since May. "Turkey is playing fast and loose with international law in many respects," said one Western source.

The US and Britain sympathise with Turkey's attempts to subdue the PKK, but their main interest in northern Iraq is to keep up pressure on President Saddam. They regularly renege Kurdish factions that the alternative to co-operation could be falling under Baghdad's hegemony again.

Le Monde, page 13

## The Week

**I**SRAEL freed 22 Palestinian prisoners in the latest instalment of the deal brokered with Jordan to secure the release of two Mossad agents and keep the peace process from floundering. Bitter divisions, page 7

**S**IERRA LEONE'S military rulers and foreign ministers from its West African neighbours agreed a peace plan under which the Freetown junta will restore power to elected president Ahmad Tejan Kabbah.

**A**RENTINA'S opposition Alliance, made up of the centrist Radical party and the centre-left Frepaso coalition, won 45.7 per cent of the vote in mid-term congressional elections to the Peronists' 36.2 per cent, marking the worst Peronist party election result in 10 years.

**Z**AMBIA'S President Frederick Chiluba said in a radio broadcast that an attempted military coup had been crushed and he was back in control hours after rivals claimed he had been ousted.

**A**USTRALIA pledged to double its emergency food relief to Papua New Guinea as drought threatened 90,000 people in the remote highlands.

**A** VIDEO of eight German soldiers giving the outlawed Nazi salute and making anti-Semitic remarks prompted calls for closer monitoring and political training for new recruits.

**T**HE Indonesian government blamed small farmers for a new blanket of haze that has enveloped much of Indonesia, Singapore and parts of Malaysia.

**N**ORTH America's biggest teachers' strike swept Canada's Ontario province as a walkout by 126,000 teachers barred 2.1 million pupils from their classes.

**D**ENMARK was stunned when a 32-year-old nursing assistant in a home for the elderly was charged with killing 22 people by replacing their medicine with morphine — and was then released on bail by a judge in Copenhagen. If convicted, the woman, who denied the charges, would be the country's worst killer.

**S**WEDEN'S tough alcohol regulations, which give the government an effective monopoly on the availability of drink, have been upheld on health grounds by the European Court of Justice, which rejected a complaint from a would-be supplier.

**T**HE former dissident writer Alexander Solzhenitsyn launched a \$25,000 Russian literary prize named after himself. The first winner of the award will be announced in March.



## INTERNATIONAL NEWS 5

विष्णु



## Clinton embraces a paler shade of green



Washington diary

Martin Kettle

TO JUDGE by the instant condemnation abroad and by the lukewarm responses at home to Bill Clinton's speech on United States global warming policy last week, it won't be long before the White House is taking refuge in one of the oldest lines in the spinmeister's handbook — the one that says that if we've managed to offend everyone, then we must have got the balance of the policy about right.

Yet Clinton always aims to please rather than to offend, and this speech was a classic of the genre. Faced with a battle between his economists, who said that nothing should be done to jeopardise the domestic boom which is the delight of so many Americans and the president's greatest political asset, and his environmentalists, who said that the US was in danger of becoming an uncontrollable global warmer and hence an international pariah, Clinton announced not that one was right and the other was wrong, but

that both were true, so all must have prizes. Where others found the irresistible force of climate change colliding with the immovable force of American consumer prosperity, Clinton characteristically revealed that there was no conflict, after all.

The answer, he told an audience at the National Geographic Society in Washington, was a strategy that was both "environmentally sound and economically strong". Climate change was real, he conceded, but it could be solved gradually and flexibly. Industry had to adapt, he warned, but it would be given \$5 billion worth of tax incentives to do so, but the result would be greater prosperity and profit, not less. The US had to make binding international agreements, he admitted, but they need only be of a moderation which involved no concessions of principle. For the first time in human history, it sometimes seemed, sacrifice was to be not merely painless but actively pleasurable.

Clinton's Panglossian policy won few friends abroad, least of all among the delegates who had gathered in Bonn — capital city not just of Germany but now also, it seems, of environmental doom theory — to try to draft a treaty for the world to sign at Kyoto in six weeks' time. The Bonn delegates believe that the only argument worth having about global warming is whether the measures to combat it should be very severe or very, very severe. The European Union had already condemned a Japanese proposal to cut greenhouse gas emissions by 5 per cent from 1990 levels by 2010 as environmentally disastrous, and was pressing for a 15 per cent cut with Chinese backing. So there



weren't many cataclysmic adjectives left in the EU's thesaurus when Clinton blithely proposed merely to get back to 1990 levels by 2012 with a further 5 per cent by 2017.

But Clinton's announcement did not win much support back home either. The press mostly dubbed it "relatively modest" — faint praise for an address in which Clinton had claimed to confront "one of the most important challenges of the 21st century". The green lobby sided with its comrades across the Atlantic and accused the Clinton administration of dragging its feet. Industry feared that the cure might be worse than the disease, as did the trade unions, while Clinton's political opponents, scenting blood two weeks before the start of important US elections, warned that it all meant higher fuel costs for ordinary Americans.

As though to underline the point, the Republican challenger for the governorship of Virginia suddenly

surged to a seven-point poll lead on the day after the speech, after promising voters a huge cut in car taxes. The poll boost for James Gilmore III and the Clinton speech were not directly connected, but the coincidence serves to illustrate the scale of the cultural battle which faces any American politician who dares to tell the country that they must pay more for their fuel, or for their motor cars. Americans have always wanted to continue to enjoy the plenty to which they have become accustomed. That was why Jimmy Carter became so unpopular after telling them to save energy, and it is why so many people here recently voted that the best slogan to represent their nation was "America — More of Everything".

This is one reason why Clinton's international critics, though broadly right about the need for the US to take global warming much more seriously than it does, also need to give him a modest plaudit for at

least attempting, however cautiously, to confront Americans with the consequences of what they are doing to the atmosphere. After all, Clinton did not shrink from giving his audience in Washington a set of figures which powerfully embody the peculiar importance of American policy; the US, he reminded us, has less than 5 per cent of the world's people, enjoys 22 per cent of the world's wealth, and emits more than 25 per cent of the world's greenhouse gases. Nor did he fail to chastise his own people for falling badly short of earlier emission reduction targets. And he did not do what so many Republicans would have done — and denounce the whole notion of binding targets as an international conspiracy to rob the American people of their prosperity.


None of this leaves the administration looking very impressive. And if that is difficult for Clinton, it is even more of a problem for the man who waits in his shadow, Al Gore. The vice-president is a committed environmental campaigner, who once wrote a book called *Earth in the Balance*, in which he described global warming as the most serious problem the world has ever faced.

"I have become very impatient," wrote Gore, "with my own tendency to put a finger to the political winds and proceed cautiously. The integrity of the environment is not just another issue to be used in the political games for popularity, votes of attention. The time has long since come to take more political risks — and endure much more political criticism — by proposing tougher, more effective solutions and fighting hard for their enactment."

Those words would have gone down well in Bonn. But Clinton did not, and could not, utter them. His administration may be about to learn that to proceed cautiously is sometimes to take more of a risk than to act boldly.

Comment, page 12  
Washington Post, page 16

## Santer's grandiose vision defies reality



Europe this week

Martin Walker

THE European Parliament's annual state of the union debate in Strasbourg was modelled deliberately on the report that the United States president delivers every January to Congress. By that standard, last week's European version was a dismal failure. US presidents play to full houses, and their addresses go out live on prime-time television.

By contrast, when the European Commission president, Jacques Santer, wrapped up his morning speech, only 21 of the 626 members of the European Parliament were still in the chamber to hear him. As he later complained, some of those MEPs who had put questions to him did not wait for his answers.

Like so much else about the European project, from the federal ambition to the common currency, the state of the union address reflects the clumsy and creaky way Europeans adapt American ideas to far less expansive circumstances. The Europeans also began at the wrong end of the democratic trail. The Americans always put elections, if not what we now understand as democracy, at the start of the constitutional process.

The Europeans are only just getting around to this bit. Santer, like Jacques Delors before him and the other European commissioners, is not elected but appointed in a process of horse-trading by member states. The parliament is only just beginning to acquire teeth now that the Amsterdam treaty has given the elected body important new powers to review and even block the European Union's big strategic goals of enlargement and monetary union.

Where the European state of the union event can claim an advantage is that the president's address is followed immediately by a debate. This was worth attending because it explored the real dilemma that the heart of the European process. On the one hand, great and historic events are in train, both for a single European currency that will give dramatic force to integration, and for an enlargement of the union into

eastern and central Europe. On the other hand, while the Euro-ellies drive relentlessly onward with this grandiose vision, mass unemployment in France and Germany has demoralised the public.

Santer spoke for the élites. Crediting the latest faint signs of renewed growth in European economies to the euro effect, through the "sound macro-economic policies" of cutting budget deficits, Santer drew an optimistic portrait of a Europe that was ready and mostly eager for the single currency. Insisting that he was combining "realism with ambition", he spoke glowingly of a Europe that was starting to tackle unemployment, and preparing for its enlargement eastwards.

However, when leaders of the main political groups in the European Parliament spoke in their debate on Santer's report, they offered a much grimmer prospect, describing a continent battered by mass unemployment and deeply cynical of grandiose Euro-promises.

The parliament's president, José María Gil-Robles, challenged Santer's ebullient report by warning of "a sense of insecurity in the European public, to the point where such important advances as economic and monetary union are viewed with a suspicion which, even if unjustified, is none the less real". Looming over this parliamentary debate, at least for the British, was

the perennial question of whether they would once again miss the European bus. The single currency project is going ahead, and will start on time on January 1, 1999, with at least 10 and probably 11 states participating in the first wave. Britain will almost certainly not join then, but may do in the next Parliament if various economic criteria are met.

This restatement of Britain's old pragmatic tradition came after an extraordinary three weeks of leaks and counter-leaks from a Labour government which is unable to make up its mind, and which is starting to squander the feelings of relief and goodwill with which Britain's European partners greeted its victory in the May election.

"Monetary union is not a sticky proposal, as some in Britain have suggested. It is a strong one," Santer later told a press conference. "It is our view that a country that stays out [of the euro] cannot take full advantage of the opportunities offered by the single market. Over 80 per cent of Britain's trade is with Europe, and your exporters tell me that they now face problems because the pound is high. They would not face such problems inside the monetary union."

The current European Council president, Luxembourg's prime minister Jean-Claude Juncker, then told the Guardian that "a country that stays outside the euro will have a greater risk of currency instability, and that will be a disadvantage. But that is a choice for Britain to make."

Juncker's successor when his six-month term as Council president ends in January will be Tony Blair, creating the odd situation where Britain will preside over the key decisions on a currency it is not committed to join, or at least not yet committed to.

The arguments for and against joining the euro are complex. There is no doubt that Britain would qualify, on the current healthy state of its economy. But Britain's interest rates and the pound are uncomfortably high, because it is at the peak of the economic cycle while the French and Germans are just climbing out of the trough.

MORE GENERALLY, once inside the European monetary union Britain's freedom of movement over national economic policy would be much diminished, though given the usually wretched performance of the Treasury's economic policy-makers over the recent decades, that might come as a relief.

The real question is whether Britain could continue to offer a more open, entrepreneurial economy with lower taxes than continental Europe once their governments start imposing interest and tax rates to finance a social model that requires a Labour government in Britain. The current European Council president, Luxembourg's prime minister Jean-Claude Juncker, then told the Guardian that "a country that stays outside the euro will have a greater risk of currency instability, and that will be a disadvantage. But that is a choice for Britain to make."

## Netanyahu's aside infuriates Israelis

David Sharrock in Jerusalem

RABBI David Ariel Yoel arrived at his synagogue early one morning during last month's religious holidays to find it desecrated. Broken windows, swastikas, graffiti threatening, "Cursed be you evil ones — die!" It is the latest in a series of attacks on Jerusalem's Har-El synagogue, which include threatening phone calls, hate mail, vandalism, acid poured on its lawn and human excrement smeared on the entrance. Elsewhere in the city, a kindergarten has fallen victim to arsonists and Jews are attacked at the Western Wall.

Is this the start of a new wave of Palestinian violence? No. The victims are Reform and Conservative Jews, the suspects ultra-Orthodox adherents. Among the charges levelled at the liberal stream of Judaism is that the Reform faith is a "derivative muckery" and its rabbis "terrorists".

In Tel Aviv and the suburb of Ramat Aviv a controlling interest in a new shopping and cinema complex has been acquired by an Orthodox diamond merchant, Lev Leviev, who wants it closed on the Sabbath. Shopkeepers who sign contracts allowing them to open on Saturdays — and the majority secular residents — protested that it violates the character of their neighbourhood. The courts ruled in Leviev's favour.

Last week politics and religion collided. It began with a rally by Orthodox Jews in support of the "Conversion Bill", which would enshrine in law their right to decide who is a Jew in Israel. Rabbi David

Yosief told supporters: "The Reform and the Conservative movements have created a different religion that has nothing in common with Judaism. When they return to Judaism they will leave the bizarre religion they have fashioned."

Enter Binyamin Netanyahu, prime minister, leader of the right-wing Likud party and self-professed anti-terrorism expert. At a recent religious ceremony he crouched next to the eldest of Israel's sages and spiritual leader of the Sephardis, Rabbi Kadouri, and whispered: "The left wing has forgotten what it means to be Jews. They think we will put our security in the hands of the Arabs — that Arabs will take care of us. We'll give them part of Israel and they'll take care of us."

"Whoever heard of such a thing! It's as if the [biblical] spies [12 of whom were sent into the Promised Land to report back to the Jews in the desert] came and said, 'Not only are they [the Palestinians] mighty and we're afraid of them, but they're mighty and we'll let them protect us!'"

The remarks were picked up by a microphone and broadcast on national news, creating a furor. Netanyahu refused to apologise, but last weekend, at the prompting of Rabbi Kadouri — who had appeared to nod in agreement with the prime minister — put out what was intended to be a conciliatory statement, urging Jewish unity.

Yaron Ezrahi, a political scientist at Jerusalem's Hebrew University, believes the failure of the peace



Prime minister Netanyahu and Rabbi Kadouri celebrate their birthdays: their whispered conversation inflamed Jewish divisions

process and difficulties over the Conversion Bill have created "the greatest crisis within the Jewish people since 1948". Mr Ezrahi is not surprised by Mr Netanyahu's comments. "It is consistent with his strategy of politicising the category of Jewishness," he says.

The process, says Mr Ezrahi, has accelerated since Yitzhak Rabin's assassination two years ago, but is contiguous with Mr Netanyahu's tacit support and, some say, promotion, of the anti-Rabin campaign before his murder. "He was elected on a platform of 'Rabin divides the nation — I will unite it,'" says Mr Ezrahi. "Now he has failed to unite his country around an alternative to Oslo..."

But the underlying text is that the peace process is un-Jewish. Mr Ezrahi, who has visited the United States regularly since the early 1960s, says he cannot remember "anything remotely like the

anger there" among its powerful and overwhelmingly non-Orthodox Jewish community about the Conversion crisis that is feeding Washington's disenchantment over Mr Netanyahu's handling of the peace process.

There is an even bleaker forecast: that the message of last year's elections is that Israel is now a federation of tribes whose common denominator is dwindling by the day.

This thesis has become the backdrop for the new Labour leader Ehud Barak's plans to win the next election. Barak's courting of religious parties is a new departure for the "establishment" Ashkenazi and secular Labour party, one with which many of its leading lights are uncomfortable. But, for the first time in Israel's history, those parties have emerged as key political players.

## Serb refugees die of despair in the cold

Christopher Elliott in Belgrade

THE neighbours heard four shots. In a squalid and freezing room at the refugee centre near Belgrade they found Milka Dokmanovic, aged 68, and her husband Duro, aged 67, dead. They had been shot by their son Milan, aged 43, who had then turned the gun on himself.

They are part of an alarming rise in murders and suicides among the 566,000 Serbian refugees in the Federal Republic of Yugoslavia.

The first wave of refugees arrived in 1992, at the beginning of the Bosnian war, but the most dramatic influx occurred during the ethnic cleansing of Krajina in Croatia in August 1995, when more than 200,000 people were expelled almost overnight.

According to the Red Cross, the exiled Serbs are the largest refugee population in Europe, living among the 11 million people of the republics of Serbia and Montenegro. But the refugees' plight goes largely unrecognised in a world that finds little sympathy for anything Serbian. As winter approaches many verge on starvation because basic rations have been cut by three-quarters by international humanitarian organisations short of supplies from donor countries.

Nova Pazova is a small town 50km northwest of Belgrade. A local population of 17,500 is swelled by more than 7,500 refugees, most of whom live with local families. Of the deaths last year, refugees accounted for nearly half.

The cluster of dilapidated prefabricated barracks near the village of Stara Pazova that once housed factory workers is now home to 206 Krajina Serbs, and where the Dokmanovic family lived and died. Their room was 2m by 3m. Naked electric wires hang from the ceiling, only some of which carry electricity. The ceilings leak when it rains and the one tap with running water was installed last week by UNHCR, the United Nations refugee organisation. There are no efficient sanitary facilities.

The family disagreement that led to their deaths reflects the biggest dilemma facing all the refugees. Milan wanted to settle in Serbia and had even identified a house near Novi Sad he hoped to buy with savings. But his parents wanted to return to their home village.

"The parents were homesick, they said they had no intention of staying here and they had a fight. He snapped," said Branka Pantelic, a Red Cross social worker.

Jelena Vlakovic, a psychologist who has worked with traumatised refugees for four years, says that the number of suicides is rising sharply. The refugees are also "smoking and drinking enormous quantities so that, when you look at it, it is prolonged suicide," she said. The reason is simple: they can see no way out of their situation.

The Dayton accord, which ended the war nearly two years ago, guaranteed "reparation" for all those wanting it. But fewer than 2,000 of the 566,000 Serbian refugees have

returned. The harder-headed who remain recognise there is no going back; the elderly hang on to the dream.

Radmila Milentijevic, a history professor from the United States who has returned to her native Serbia as minister of information, said the government had hoped to provide more grain to refugees this year but this had not been possible because of an export contract with Russia.

She acknowledged with a candour rare among Serbian ministers that they have signally failed to dispel the images of atrocity committed by Serb soldiers during the war, and that the refugees suffer a sort of guilt by association.

"The US holds the key. We are suffering from being unable to go to the world's financial institutions because of the outer wall of sanctions," she said. "The refugees are suffering from the West's perceptions of what the Serbs did. But the Serb government is well fed, they're not suffering — just innocent women and children."

● A senior official of Yugoslav President Slobodan Milosevic's ruling left-wing alliance was shot dead last week in an attack apparently linked to political and gangland violence in Belgrade.

The shooting of Zoran Todorovic, aged 38 and secretary of the powerful neo-communist United Yugoslav Left party, followed, the unsolved murder this year of Mr Milosevic's police chief, General Radovan Stojicic.

### 5½ YEAR INTERNATIONAL EXTRA INCOME BOND

NIL STOCKMARKET GROWTH REQUIRED  
FOR FULL RETURN OF CAPITAL AT MATURITY  
LIMITED PERIOD ONLY

**11% pa**  
GROSS FIXED INCOME

fixed income for 5½ years  
— yearly or quarterly option

OR 80% GROSS FIXED GROWTH

Introducing an International Extra Income Bond with assets backed by an AAA rated bank, the highest possible and underwritten by EURO LIFE ASSURANCE.

This bond should be suitable for ex-patriates, other non-UK residents or trusts including charities, small self-administered pension schemes as well as non tax paying individuals.

The Bond matures after 5½ years and full capital return requires nil stock market growth (FTSE 100, S & P 500 and DAX 30). Even if these indices were to fall The Bond guarantees a minimum return (income and capital) at least equal to your original sum invested.

MINIMUM £7,500  
MINIMUM AGE 18

MAXIMUM £250,000  
NO MAXIMUM AGE LIMIT

THIS IS A STRICTLY LIMITED OFFER AVAILABLE IF INVESTMENTS ARE RECEIVED BY US BEFORE THE 5TH DECEMBER 1997.

TELEPHONE: 01908 249048 or FAX the coupon to us on 01908 233518.

InvestorsDirect  
Tel 01908 249048

I am interested in receiving details of the International Extra Income Bond without obligation.

Name

Address

Postcode

Daytime Telephone No.

Return to InvestorsDirect, Moorpark House, 201 Baffins Lane, Milton Keynes, MK9 1JZ

If selecting the growth option, the minimum guarantee will be 100% of the original capital invested, spread across a number of quarterly payments received by investors. The Extra Income Bond is not directly comparable to a bank or building society deposit. Investors Direct is a trading name of Moorpark House Plc which is regulated by the Personal Investment Authority (PIA).











## Gas guzzling to disaster

THE GLOBAL storm of criticism aroused by Bill Clinton's statement on global warming has had one positive result everyone now knows that the United States accounts for a quarter of the world's output of greenhouse gases. The conclusion that the US should be required to make a correspondingly heavy cut is irresistible. Mr Clinton did not attempt to counter this logic except on the grounds that he faced domestic criticism on both flanks — and so would take the middle road. He has done a balancing act between the huge fossil fuel and automotive lobby and what he perceives as the green lobby and the rest of the world. It may be practical politics, but it is also playing politics with an environment that does not belong to the US.

Looked at from outside, Mr Clinton's plan is grossly inadequate and well below world expectations. It creates a damaging diplomatic split in what should be a common enterprise, ranging the US, Australia and Canada on one side, and China and the developing countries on the other joined by the EU. Britain is an important part of this enterprise, though the Government needs to resist the temptation to muffle its criticism of the US. In between is Japan, which is far more energy-efficient than the US and also has better targets. Worse still, Mr Clinton's fudge is a disincentive for anyone else to do anything serious, and threatens to sabotage the Kyoto conference in December.

The US proposal would also require China, South Korea and Mexico to accept at least some targets for it to be ratified by Congress. But Beijing and the other fast-developing countries are not going to act unless it is clear the US will take its fair share of pain. Why should they accept restraint when the main damage is being done by others? They will put their foot down as they did in June when the Earth Summit review conference ended in failure. Yet pain is precisely what the US gas-guzzling system seems incapable of taking. The steady growth in the US's greenhouse gas emissions — now at 12 per cent above the 1990 level — shows the futility of voluntary restraint. The White House argues that the Clinton plan would reduce emissions by 28 per cent "from the current path" by 2010. This is playing with figures based on the unacceptable assumption that the high growth rate will otherwise continue. The new proposal goes back on the Earth Summit commitment to stabilise emissions at 1990 levels by 2000. This hardly encourages confidence that even the new modest targets would be achieved.

Swapping arrangements of the type proposed by the US are not totally out of the question. One can imagine a scheme by which Washington would help Beijing with advanced technology to reduce Chinese emissions that could then be "credited" to the US score. But that is only politically feasible on the basis of a separate significant cut in US emissions. Action must begin at home before credit can be earned abroad.

There is a "common sense" view that because the US is the biggest and most powerful country in the world, it does not need to negotiate. There will have to be a deal in Kyoto based, as Mr Clinton proposes, on his plan. This is a concession too far to super-muscle-power. It would be better to postpone agreement by a year, while more pressure is brought to bear by governments and public opinion. In a greening world, US manufacturers may find themselves the target of increasing criticism — even boycotts. And they might — the auto companies included — begin to discover that there is money to be made by investing instead to combat climate change.

## A milestone for human rights

THE HUMAN Rights Bill and its accompanying white paper published last week are to be applauded. The Home Secretary, Jack Straw, was not exaggerating when he described it as an "historic day". For the first time, a code of fundamental rights will be enshrined in British law. It is not an arcane issue of interest merely to constitutional theorists. Those who up to now have had to wait years before obtaining redress at the European Court of Human Rights in Strasbourg — vulnerable minorities including prisoners, homosexuals

and immigrants — will be able to argue for their rights in British courts. More than that, the bill could have a profound impact on the fabric of British political culture. Ministers and officials will in future be required to be "fully seized" of the implications for human rights before enacting new legislation. A parliamentary human rights committee will be set up.

Judges will in future flag up a "declaration of incompatibility" if they rule that a statute is in conflict with the European Convention of Human Rights (incorporated in the Human Rights Bill). Such declarations, according to the white paper, will "almost certainly prompt the Government and Parliament to change the law". But the Government rightly stressed that "parliamentary sovereignty means that Parliament is competent to make any law on any matter of its own choosing and no court may question the validity of any Act that it passes". This is a basic constitutional principle, emphasised not least with the Conservative opposition in mind. The shadow home secretary, Sir Brian Mawhinney, claimed that for the first time British judges were going to be able to tell Parliament what laws they should or should not pass on the basis of the view of foreign judges. He is quite wrong. As the white paper — entitled *Rights Brought Home* — points out, British judges will in future have more, not less, impact on European human rights jurisprudence.

However, the bill and the white paper do raise important, unresolved questions. For one thing, the Government has, at least for the time being, rejected a proposal for a Human Rights Commission that would provide an important role helping to promote awareness of, and access to, the opportunities provided by the new bill. For another, the European Convention lays down a number of rights, including the right to privacy, and the right to freedom of expression which, left on their own, will allow judges to rule on what in many ways are broad, abstract principles. The trouble with judge-made law is that it would be established on a haphazard, case-by-case basis, with no clear public interest defence or other entrenched safeguards that the British media needs. It cannot be right that controversial legislation such as that involved in the concept of privacy should be made by judges, with no discussion by Parliament at all.

In general, however, the Human Rights Bill is thoroughly welcome. It is an important foundation for other building blocks which should include a fully-fledged British Bill of Rights with stronger and more specific statutory bulwarks against the abuse of power by public and corporate authorities.

## Europe on the choccy standard

GETTING agreement for a common European currency looks like parish pump politics compared with the civil war breaking out over the plans for a single European chocolate bar. Euro MPs last week voted by almost 3-1 to force Britain and Ireland to change the name of milk chocolate to reflect its actual ingredients. If their views prevail we'll have to get used to television commercials like: "And all because the lady likes cocoa bars with vegetable fat and high milk content". Fortunately the European Parliament doesn't have the last say in this matter. The row is likely to rival the debate over the euro, which it increasingly resembles.

Belgium is leading the dispute. It doesn't want the new Euro-choc to be weaker than its own 100 per cent cocoa standard — just as the German Bundesbank won't tolerate the euro being weaker than the mark. Britain boasts an attachment to chocolate going back centuries and fears a fierce campaign by the Sun newspaper to halt foreign recipes at Dover. This may have to be solved in the same way as the currency problem by setting up a Central Chocolate Bank to control the standard (don't laugh, something similar has already been proposed by Belgium) and to monitor convergence criteria. Only when all member countries have satisfied three basic criteria would they qualify — a vegetable fat ratio below a ceiling of 3 per cent by weight, price per kilo no greater than the three strongest economies and a milk content reduced to under 5 per cent over four years. In this way a strong single market in chocolate, ready to take on the rest of the world, will be completed by the time the euro circulates in 2002. People wondering whether their cash will be safer invested in chocolate or euros should remember this. Cocoa has already risen this year by 20 per cent.

## Mixed feelings colour the Edinburgh mood

Martin Woollacott

NEW Labour, new Commonwealth? Some see the changes in the way the Commonwealth is presented and the ideas about its development that Tony Blair has proposed as a departure from tradition. Yet the most enduring tradition of the Commonwealth, and of the Empire before it, is of the periodic re-launch, assisted by state-of-the-art public relations.

The parallels with the past suggest that the Empire of yesterday and the Commonwealth of today are more alike than is usually thought. They share the problem of a disparity of peoples, faiths, and interests, which places obstacles in the way of attempts to bring about political, economic or moral coherence. Hence the relaunches, of which the last before this Government's effort was early in the reign of the present Queen. Such attempts, it seems, never wholly fail, but also never fully succeed. A century ago, at the Colonial Conference that first set in train the regular meetings from which Commonwealth conferences descend, another successful, populist British politician was grappling with this problem. Joseph Chamberlain, the Colonial Secretary, was, like Blair, a fan of new technology.

The brilliant and very new imperial show of the diamond jubilee incorporated the Colonial Conference, at that time just of the colonies of white settlement. It was an attempt to put the Empire on a sounder footing by expanding trade, exploring military union, and reaching agreement, in effect, on the Empire's political purposes. The difficulty Chamberlain eventually had to recognise was that the Empire, self-governing white dominions and colonies proper alike, was a collection of autonomous entities that could not be marshalled by its supposed master, which had neither the power to coerce nor the resources to persuade them into policies that they did not want.

Chamberlain famously compared the Empire to a "great estate" that had been neglected but had huge potential for development. Blair's speech last week, in which he called for the Commonwealth to become an "economic powerhouse" repeated Chamberlain's theme almost word for word. The revival of the "economic Commonwealth" was given impetus by the report last year of the Commons foreign affairs committee. Blair and the Foreign Secretary, Robin Cook, have taken that report's conclusions on "the vast potential of the Commonwealth and the benefits to the UK of developing this with vigour" and run with them. The Edinburgh meeting has, for the first time, a theme: trade, aid and development.

The British government may find, as Chamberlain did in his day, that trade cannot be that easily ordered. But the difficulties with the economic priority go beyond that. There are many supporters of the Commonwealth who believe in its economic potential but still feel, first, that there is a middle developing between the Commonwealth's economic interests and the marketing of Britain and, second — and more important — that the Commonwealth is nothing if it does not have a moral purpose. After all, it was the pursuit

of British economic advantage that created so many of the difficulties. Commonwealth countries now face.

By all means let us have an Edinburgh Declaration on economic co-operation, they say, but what about putting the Harare Declaration, a politics and human rights, agreed six years ago, into more effective practice? The attempt to give a spiritual moral meaning to a territorial agglomeration that had come about through the exercise of British power began long ago. For Chamberlain, it was about the special responsibility of the uniquely gifted and therefore uniquely responsible Anglo-Saxons and the need to consolidate the Anglo-Saxon grip on the world. The evolution of that message into the democratic and anti-racist rhetoric, and sometimes practice, of today, is the intellectual story of the Commonwealth.

This year morality means, above all, Nigeria. Here, too, the influence of the past is critical. An African emergency, the Boer war, which some called Chamberlain's war, weakened the Empire at its roots. African emergencies, in South Africa, Rhodesia, and now Nigeria, have shaped the Commonwealth. In Africa, the unsettled question of whether Britain did more harm than good in empire is most open. The Commonwealth has found little good in empire to repeat, most often because of Africa, a drama of what the historian Denis Judd calls "resistance and atonement".

THE concept of a free association of five states represents an ideal which breaks down in reality, at which point Britain takes the blame, and is supposed to take the lead in settling things right. For the sake of economic advantage and, in the past, of white racial solidarity, blunts her efforts. In the case of Nigeria, so do the mixed feelings of other African and Asian countries. The result is the essentially ill-defined decision on the Nigerian question, which does little today but promises thunder and lightning tomorrow if the Abacha regime does not behave.

Blair says that Britain wishes to be a "pivot" in foreign policy, which is a large ambition, and that a renewed Commonwealth is part of his vision. If so, that Commonwealth needs both more resources devoted to it and more moral muscle exerted. It may be that the essential function of the Commonwealth goes beyond particular decisions and even particular failures, regrettable though they may be. The conference is a psychological place, as well as a real one. The issues of the past are engaged again, in the shape of current problems, but with the power values of the players changed. Britain, never so strong as it once appeared and never as weak as it sometimes seemed, is atones, and tries, through various means, to assert that the organisation embodies the best of empire, and, hence, that it was worth keeping.

The other members' sense of between resentment, friendship, forgiveness, and the thought of circumstances and the thought of expression to the ultimate end of indifference. For the Commonwealth is an organisation, it is a line between reconciliation and revenge is, and perhaps will remain, a blurred one.

## Confident Algeria rejects deal with IMF

Jean-Pierre Tuqul in Algiers

SPREADING to Le Monde on October 21, Algeria's 47-year-old finance minister, Abdelkrim Harchaoui, said: "Algeria will not be signing a new agreement with the IMF [International Monetary Fund]."

He said that Algeria was going to break free from the stewardship of the IMF in the spring of 1998, when its agreement with the international organisation comes to an end. As justification for this decision — which will restore Algeria's sovereignty but deprive it of a financial safety net — Harchaoui pointed to his country's "good health".

"We no longer need support to repay our foreign debt. General equilibrium has been restored, and revenues from oil exports are set to increase over the next few years," he said, while also pointing out that Algeria would continue to pursue a free-market economic and financial policy of the kind advocated by the IMF. "Algeria will still be pointing in the same direction," he added.

Harchaoui paints a positive picture of the Algerian economy. A slight fall in imports combined with higher gas and oil exports, which account for almost all Algeria's foreign earnings, enabled it to achieve a comfortable balance of trade surplus of \$4.6 billion up to September, which is bigger than for the whole of 1996.

The balance of payments was also in the black, and foreign currency reserves have reached their highest level in the country's history. "The current figure is \$7.5 billion, or the equivalent of more than nine months' imports," Harchaoui said.

To justify maintaining such high foreign currency reserves, when massive youth unemployment



Two women leave a polling station in Bentalha, near Algiers, after voting in local elections last week

ought to be prompting the government to stimulate industrial production, Harchaoui cited Algeria's determination to be rated highly by the international financial community.

"Our foreign debt is \$32 billion," he says. "Our reserves are there to prove that Algeria is a trustworthy partner, a country to which people can continue to lend money. Our creditors can be quite certain they will be repaid."

Harchaoui expects gross domestic product to grow by 5 per cent in 1998, a rate he says would have been achieved this year if agricultural output had not been affected by drought. To be able to face up to the problem of drought in future, the

government has decided to give priority to water provision in the next budget, which will show a surplus like the two that preceded it.

Housing and higher education will be the other two budget priorities, according to Harchaoui. Algeria intends to push ahead with its privatisation programme, which has been criticised by the IMF for falling behind schedule. Half a dozen of the 250 companies due to be sold off will be listed on the Algiers stock exchange which, it is planned, will reopen by the end of the year — with Canadian help. It will be located in the building that housed it before the country gained independence from France in 1962.

Harchaoui, who was elected deputy of the National Democratic Rally (RND) at the general election in June, is scathingly critical of France, which no longer maintains any financial ties with Algeria.

He regards the risk premium demanded by Coface, France's state-owned credit insurance institution, for Franco-Algerian trade as "excessive". "It makes French products more expensive without justification, and encourages our operators to turn to other suppliers."

The dispute is all the less likely to be resolved because, to Harchaoui's great regret, there are currently no plans for a bilateral meeting with his French opposite number, Dominique Strauss-Kahn.

(October 23)

## Afrikaners set up 'republic'

Frédéric Chambon in Johannesburg

THE Boer Republic is up and running," claims Fritz Meyer, the 30-year-old initiator of a plan for an independent Afrikaner territory located about 100km northeast of Johannesburg.

A prominent agitator in the ranks of the white South African far right, Meyer brandishes his membership form — 150 of which have now been signed. The document, priced at \$250, which bears the flag of the former Republic of Transvaal, entitles its holder to a 200-hectare plot of land sold by farmers sympathetic to the Afrikaner cause.

This kind of project is not new. Before the multiracial elections in 1994, a handful of extremists who feared the coming to power of a black majority bought the village of Orania, in southwestern South Africa, and turned it into a whites-only territory.

Three years after Nelson Mandela became president, the launch of a similar initiative confirms that the more extreme elements of the Afrikaner community still persist in rejecting a multiracial South Africa.

"We do not recognise the pre-

sent government. Nelson Mandela is not our president — he's a criminal," says Meyer, who is practised in the art of provocation in speeches to South Africa's extremist parties, including Eugene Terre-Blanche's neo-Nazi AWB.

Meyer denounces a rising crime rate, employment policies tilted in favour of blacks, and the growing influence of Afrikaner culture. He says the creation of a "Boer republic" is justified because his people are subjected to "discrimination" to which his people are subjected. "It's a question of survival for the Afrikaner nation. Our country is in the hands of a communist regime that has plunged into anarchy and is trying to eliminate us," says Meyer.

The aim is to enlarge the territory, now simply an agricultural estate bought by a co-operative, and turn it into an autonomous housing and agricultural business zone, before going on to ask for its independence.

Andreas Campher, one of the first occupiers, sums up the philosophy of the scheme: "It's an act of peaceful resistance. We're not bothered by the blacks. If they leave us alone, we'll leave them alone too."

(October 21)

## Tunisia's double-edged sword

COMMENT

THE predominant view in French government circles is that it is irresponsible to criticise the regime of President Zine El Abidine Ben Ali. Tunisia, which he has ruled for 10 years, has eradicated serious poverty and illiteracy, despite having no great natural resources. It has an ever-increasing and enterprising middle class. It has successfully geared its economy to the needs of European markets, and is poised to take up the challenge of entering into a free-trade agreement with the European Union early next century.

The International Monetary Fund (IMF) and World Bank have showered Tunisia with plaudits. After five years of free-market policies, per capita income has doubled. And the code of personal status — a legacy of Ben Ali's predecessor, President Habib Bourguiba — gives women's rights greater protection than anywhere else in the Arab world.

Compared with neighbouring Libya and Algeria, Tunisia cuts a fine figure. A favourite destination of European tourists, its 9 million inhabitants seem to have escaped the surge of Islamic fundamentalism for the foreseeable future. Ben Ali, a former head of security, has seen to that by imprisoning and torturing alleged

Islamists and continually harassing their families.

The trouble is that, now that the evil has been rooted out, the machinery responsible for doing so is still in place. Tunisia is an arbitrary and sometimes brutal police state, which has cracked down on all Ben Ali's opponents — from the far left to the centre, including human rights activists and trade unionists. The fact that they are non-religious and often agree with some aspects of Ben Ali's policies makes no difference. Newspapers are gagged, intellectuals censored and telephones tapped. The freedom to travel is rarely granted to critics of the regime.

Fans of the regime in Paris talk about a Tunisian model along Asian lines — a blend of political authoritarianism and economic liberalism, which they regard as "very well suited" to a "still developing" country like Tunisia.

Leaving aside the condescending overtones of such talk, and while recognising the regime's genuine successes, one is tempted to ask whether — contrary to his declared aims — Ben Ali's fierce determination to crush all opposition might not eventually foster the emergence, or re-emergence, of radical Islamism?

(October 21)

## Unsavoury facts revealed from the past

EDITORIAL

WAS it a good idea to try Maurice Pappon, former prefect of police and budget minister, who is accused of having committed crimes against humanity early in his civil service career? Philippe Séguin, president of the neo-Gaullist RPR party, thinks not.

He has not said so in as many words, but there can be little doubt about the message of his diatribe in Le Figaro newspaper last month. He said things like: "Maurice Pappon's trial is no more than a pretext", "in this affair the jurors and the law itself are no more than hostages", "a noxious atmosphere", "a manipulation of minds", "a worrying debasement of the public spirit", and so on.

In other words, he thinks the trial is a dirty trick aimed at France and at Gaullism. Séguin suspects Lionel Jospin's government of hoping, in an underhand way, to "holster the National Front", and calls on French democracy "to keep its head".

It is tempting to give exactly the same advice to Séguin, who in this case is clearly being economical with the truth. His attack on the government and on public opinion does not align with the facts.

Jospin, one of the few Socialist leaders to have kept his distance from François Mitterrand — because of the latter's friendship with René Bousquet, head of the Vichy police — is not in any way responsible for the delays leading up to the trial. In any case the public debate triggered by the trial is not the result of any "machination", as Séguin claims.

The Bordeaux court has uncovered two uncomfortable facts: first, that a civil servant loyal to the Vichy regime could, without showing any remorse, prove just as loyal to the republic founded by General de Gaulle; and second, that under De Gaulle, the newly fledged Fifth Republic was, like its predecessor, a secretive and repressive state capable of allowing its police to murder large numbers of Algerians with complete impunity, as it did in 1961.

France is doing the honourable thing in facing up to these facts. Contrary to what Séguin says, to forget or secrete them away is to play into the hands of the National Front, which has a habit of glossing over the Vichy regime and France's colonial wars.

In Bordeaux, a man is being called to account in a fair trial. It is an occasion that has enabled the French to discover that history is more complicated than Gaullist mythology would have them believe.

Instead of clumsily giving the centre stage to the far right, Séguin would do better to allow the trial to continue and the country to contemplate its past objectively.

(October 23)

Handwritten note: "The trial is a pretext"



## Where men play second fiddle

Nicole Lapierre

Une Société Sans Père Ni Mari  
(A Society Without Fathers or  
Husbands)  
by Cai Hua  
PUF 371pp 149 francs

**T**HE Na community, high in the mountains of Sichuan province in China, upsets some of the best-established anthropological theories: it exists and perpetuates itself without fathers or husbands. This means that marriage and dual filiation, the very basis of the family as we usually know it, do not apply to all human communities.

In Na society, which Cai Hua analyses in *Une Société Sans Père Ni Mari*, free love is not a form of dissent, or a daring, blameworthy licence, but a rock-solid institution, and all Na children are — in Western parlance — illegitimate.

The 30,000-strong Na people live in the remote Yongning basin, which lies at an altitude of 2,760 metres near the border between Yunnan and Sichuan provinces. They are linked to the rest of the country by two roads; there is almost no mail service and only a skeletal telephone network.

In the not-so-distant past, only a few caravaneers reached the Na. Yet rumours of their strange customs began to filter through to the outside world from a very early date. Li Jing, for example, a writer who lived under the Yuan dynasty

(1279-1368), was upset by the indecent conduct of Na women. His contemporary, Marco Polo, spread the news to other continents. He mocked the "dolls" who "do not care if one of them touches another's woman, as long as it is the woman's wish", and who even encourage all the women of the household to offer themselves to strangers.

An anonymous early 20th-century document mentions the cheerful disposition of the Na and describes how "a great number of traders who come from afar and travel through the region become attached to it and spend their whole fortune there".

In the sixties, Chinese ethnologists espoused the Engels-inspired evolutionist argument that groups like the Na, who had remained at a backward stage of group marriage, should go on to the final and desirable stage of matrimony. The effect of their publications was so unfortunate for the Na that when Hua came to tell the true story of their society he had difficulty in overcoming their mistrust.

The Na believe that, just as rain allows grass to grow, men are "waterers" who allow women to have children. Their role is necessary and beneficial, but nevertheless secondary, because the "bone", which is regarded as the vehicle of hereditary characteristics, comes from the mother. All those who are descended from the same female ancestor are said to be people of the same bone;

they live together and share "the same pot and the same fire".

When a child is born, it automatically belongs to the mother's group. The kinship system, made of residence and economic unit are all strictly matrilineal: the mother, her children of either sex and her daughters' children live together in each household from generation to generation. Any men in the household are necessarily brothers or maternal uncles. The latter play the role of the father, who does not even exist in the Na vocabulary.

Kinship exists only between those who have a common "bone", and are thus considered blood relatives. They are consequently bound by the prohibition of incest, which exists among the Na as it does in other communities. It is very strictly applied: there is a ban on any sexual allusion, risqué remark or even proximity between such relatives (they cannot travel at night or watch television together).

This strictness contrasts with the very great sexual freedom they enjoy outside their own lineage. The "furtive visit" is the Na's favourite activity. It always takes place at the home of the chosen woman, whose suitor joins her at about midnight and leaves at dawn.

Either the man or the woman can make the first step, and either may accept or decline the proposal if they wish. The only rule is that blood relatives should never witness any advances. Even if they know about their sexual relations — which are

so common that a burglar caught in someone's house can wriggle out of the situation by claiming to be a "visitor" — they are not supposed to see or hear anything. Encounters are often short-lived. Young people are chiefly interested in running up a succession of relationships: young men and women of particular charm, beauty or ardour sometimes have more than 100 lovers.

There are, however, cases where the "furtive visit" becomes a "conscious visit", after an exchange of gifts and a welcoming of the suitor by the female head of the household without any men being present. But he is not, for all that, accepted as a resident. And other relationships may well persist — though the man and the woman usually have a tacit agreement to grant each other exclusive rights. Either of them may break off as they wish.

**C**HINESE communist authorities have regularly put pressure on the Na to change their ways. They think that the Na's lifestyle "hinders the people's awareness of the class struggle", and that it is counterproductive because all they can think of is sex instead of working, and unhealthy because it encourages the spread of venereal disease.

There have been four successive attempts at "matrimonial reforms". The first attempted to persuade the Na of "the superiority of socialist monogamy". On two occasions, in 1966 and 1971, a working party tried to impose marriage on all those who practised "conspicuous visits"; but most couples separated after it had departed.

In 1974, women were forced to name the actual or supposed father of their child(ren) and make their relationship official in order to receive their annual cereal ration. The result was a "social earthquake": young people did not meet any more for fear of ending up married.

But it is schooling rather than arm-twisting that has slowly changed things. Some Na leaders use the Chinese language to educate the community's youngsters (the Na language does not exist in written form). Pupils discover different values: their primary school manuals talk about fathers, not just maternal uncles. Biology tells them that heredity has nothing to do with the "bone" of the mother. It looks very much as though the Na "bachelor society" — despite its persistence — will eventually disintegrate, and remain no more than an oddity in the annals of anthropology.

"The case of the Na shows the marriage and the family cannot be regarded as universal, either logically or historically," Hua says.

What then is the common feature of all human societies, apart from the prohibition of incest? Hua argues that it is the "desire principle" which encourages the possession of a partner or, on the contrary, a multiplication of relationships.

A given society can institutionalise only one of those contradictory systems, thus inhibiting the other. There are, therefore, "marriage societies" and, in the present case of our knowledge, only one "visiting society", that of the Na. (September 12)

why they refuse to talk or exhibit themselves. He expertly dissects a society that likes "the putrid, the rotten, the high" and flaunts its "celebration of the Perfect", those whose writings are never likely to call anything into question.

BHL lingers on the case of Romain Gary, whom he knew and loved, and who lies at the epicentre of the whole affair. He argues that "the dream of every writer who feels at bay" is to write a book under an assumed name (as Gary did, calling himself Emile Ajar). But that is not a way of vanishing for ever into appearance.

There remains a nagging doubt that BHL, who has an answer to everything, may be guilty of forgery. Is Comédie the portrait of a gambler or a comedy of truth? The ball is in the narrator's court. When BHL lists, with a remarkable lack of modesty, those who have attempted similar self-portraits before him, he quotes the Aragon of J'Abais Mon Jeu and wonders what risk one takes "when one lays one's cards on the table" and what risk is involved when "one pretends to lay them on the table but keeps them up one's sleeve".

Only BHL has the answer to that question. Has the answer begun with Comédie? It is only in retrospect that we shall know — as indeed he may too. (October 10)

**Le Monde**

Directeur: Jean-Marie Colomb  
World copyright by  
© Le Monde, Paris  
All rights strictly reserved

GUARDIAN WEEKLY  
November 2 1997

## The Right Balance On China

EDITORIAL

**C**HINESE President Jiang Zemin arrived in the United States last Sunday for the first U.S.-China summit in a dozen years and the first since the 1989 massacre at Tiananmen Square. That imbues this week's events with significance. What is important is not to burden the meetings also with undue expectations.

Mr. Jiang and President Clinton meet at a time when there is no shortage of sources of friction between the world's lone superpower and its most rapidly emerging potential rival. China's relatively closed markets contribute to a growing U.S. trade deficit, likely to hit \$50 billion this year — second only to the U.S. deficit with Japan. China continues to arouse suspicions with its supplying of missiles, chemicals and other weapon components to what the United States reasonably enough considers rogue regimes.

Its bullying of Taiwan, its continuing brutalization of Tibet and its uncertain intentions toward Hong Kong all command attention. Allegations of illegal Chinese interference in U.S. domestic politics still are being investigated. Mr. Jiang's regime continues to stifle religious and political freedom. And there is a

long-term question of whether the emerging superpower — led as ever by a Communist dictatorship — is gathering strength in order to challenge both America's standing in the world and the democratic values Americans associate with their world leadership.

It's quite a list. But President Clinton, after a journey of many way stations, has formulated a policy that argues for continued engagement despite these tensions. It's a policy that acknowledges the long-term risks, but also argues that China could evolve differently — as a cooper-

ative superpower with a gradually liberalizing political system. That calls for dealing frankly on issues such as trade and human rights without making any single issue a litmus test or a basis for cutting off contact.

This is a policy of some coherence, and Mr. Clinton has enhanced its credibility in the past couple of years by firmly pursuing another aspect of it, less spoken of but equally important: the maintenance of a strong U.S. presence in Asia. By doing so, the United States reassures China's neighbors — and reminds China — that the United

States intends to counterbalance China's growing strength.

Still, the administration's zig-zag course to its current policy and suspicions of its susceptibility to pressure from commercial interests will continue to provoke anxiety about its ability to maintain a steadfast policy of engagement without appeasement. The mythical lure of the Chinese market has clouded more than one policymaker's vision, and most Americans will recoil if Mr. Clinton does not accord sufficient weight to the thousands of prisoners of conscience suffering in Mr. Jiang's gulag today.

## Allies Criticize Clinton's Warming Plan

William Drozdzak in Berlin

**M**AJOR U.S. allies in Europe and Asia criticized President Clinton's long-awaited plan to curb global warming last week as weak and ineffectual, claiming it does not measure up to U.S. responsibilities to protect the environment as the world's leading polluter and lone superpower.

The skeptical response to Clinton's package of incentives and modest goals reflects the new light in which the world has begun to assess American leadership in the post-Cold War era.

Clinton pledged last week to "harness the power of the free market" for an assault on greenhouse gas pollution, outlining a package of incentives and modest targets he said will counter global warming while allowing businesses to prosper.

Clinton released long-awaited details of his climate change policy in a speech to about 400 invited government officials and guests at the National Geographic Society's headquarters in Washington.

He outlined a strategy that beginning in 1998 would offer \$5 billion in tax breaks and other incentives to U.S. companies to encourage rapid improvements in fuel efficiency and spur the development of new "clean-energy" technologies to reduce greenhouse gas emissions.

But the specific goals and timetables he proposed for cutting international emissions fall far short of

what some scientists and many environmentalists say are needed. They also are considerably less ambitious than the proposals proffered by other industrial powers.

The president's plan calls for stabilizing the industrialized world's output of carbon dioxide and other greenhouse gases at 1990 levels between 2008 and 2012 — followed by further, unspecified reductions by the year 2017. The European Union, by contrast, favors limiting emissions at 15 percent below 1990 levels by 2010.

In terms of the environment, the United States is being scrutinized to see if it is willing to accept sacrifices to cope with global warming that are commensurate with its overwhelming influence. By that yardstick, Clinton's prescription on how to curtail greenhouse gases has come up short in the court of world opinion.

Germany's environment minister, Angela Merkel, called the U.S. proposals "disappointing and insufficient." Japanese Prime Minister Ryutaro Hashimoto lamented that "there might have been room for further efforts." Britain's deputy prime minister, John Prescott, said the plan does not go far enough, and he urged the United States to become "much more ambitious" in preparation for final negotiations on a global warming treaty in Kyoto, Japan, in early December.

"It is simply not good enough," said Peter Jorgensen, spokesman

for the 15-nation European Union's executive commission in Brussels. "There must be something better coming from the White House if the United States wants to face up to its global responsibilities."

Most countries of the world tax gasoline so heavily — both to encourage energy conservation and to provide government revenue — that the fuel costs \$5 a gallon or more at the pump. With prices about one-fourth that in the United States, the global warming controversy has convinced many foreigners that Americans are not just wasteful gas guzzlers, but a menace to the rest of the planet.

While administration officials sought to portray the Clinton plan as a balanced formula to accommodate the anxieties of industry and environmentalists alike, governments in Europe, Japan and the developing world say it is woefully inadequate for a nation that represents four percent of the world's population but produces 25 percent of the carbon dioxide and other gases that may provoke a disastrous rise in the earth's temperature.

Greenhouse gases are produced mostly by the burning of fossil fuels as primary energy sources, such as coal and oil. The gases emulate a greenhouse effect by trapping the Earth's heat in the atmosphere and raising temperatures. Scientists say this warming effect will elevate sea levels by melting polar ice caps and cause drastic shifts in weather pat-

terns, provoking floods in some areas and drought in others.

Clinton's plan was unveiled as delegates from 150 nations gathered in Bonn to try to narrow differences on a global warming treaty prior to the final round of negotiations in Kyoto. The Bonn talks will focus on two key goals: where to set targets for industrialized nations in curbing greenhouse gases and how to contrive a formula that would encourage developing countries to reduce emissions of their own over the next two decades.

Developing nations, led by China, Brazil and India, object to any restrictions on their emissions, because, they argue, such measures would hinder their economic growth. They insist that developed nations should bear the brunt of any sacrifice because their industrial economies have largely spawned the global warming threat through the rampant burning of fossil fuels.

Europe and Japan have accepted that premise and proposed binding reductions below 1990 emission levels that are more ambitious than the goals of the Clinton administration.

Four years ago, Clinton vowed to return to 1990 levels by the end of the decade. But administration officials say he was forced to break that pledge because an unexpected boom in the U.S. economy has accelerated pollution levels. At current growth rates, U.S. greenhouse gas levels will rise 13 percent above those of 1990 by the end of the decade.

## Money Talks Teamsters Into Trouble

COMMENT  
E.J. Dionne

**T**HE campaign money scandal that could have more long-term significance than the campaign finance scandal involving President Clinton and Vice President Gore may be the one only now getting serious public attention. It's the money laundering scheme set up to re-elect Ron Carey as president of the Teamsters' union. Grizzly pleas have already been entered — though Carey insists on his own innocence. Carey's narrow re-election over James Hoffa, son of the Teamster boss Jimmy Hoffa, has been overturned by a federal election officer. A new election must now be held and it's not yet clear if Carey will be allowed to run.

If you like irony, this is your case. Carey was elected as a reformer to cleanse the Teamsters of mob influence and racketeering. He's done as he promised. He's placed 70 corrupt locals into trusteeship.

He has done this as he has restored his union's fighting edge. His victory in the UFS strike showed that you could be both a foe of corruption and a tough planner, organizer and negotiator.

So why is Carey in such trouble? It turns out that like his father, James Hoffa is a skilled politician. Carey expected to win an easy re-election. He ran a sluggish campaign while Hoffa hit the hustings hard. As the voting approached, Carey's polling showed Hoffa closing in. The Carey campaign panicked. In politics these days, when you panic, you look for more money fast.

Enter three longtime partisans of the liberal left: Jere Nash, Carey's campaign manager, Martin Davis, a direct mail specialist, and Michael Ansara, a liberal activist in Massachusetts who ran a telemarketing company. They concocted schemes to launder money into Carey's campaign and pleaded guilty last month to conspiracy and embezzlement.

The left did not want the Teamsters to fall back into the hands of the much investigated (and less liberal) old guard. It saw Carey as a powerful leader of a new era of union militancy. His Teamsters were a linchpin of the coalition that elected the new, more aggressive leadership of the AFL-CIO.

Even if their motivations were pure, what the three did was not only against the law, but also a disaster for the very causes in whose name they acted.

Carey denies he knew anything about what was happening. But the episode hardly reflects well on him even if he didn't. Supporters of John Sweeney, the new AFL-CIO leader, can paint a nightmare scenario for their side. If Hoffa were to take over the Teamsters, they could be expelled again from the AFL-CIO. The loss of Teamster votes would threaten Sweeney's majority within the federation. The union factions that lost when Sweeney won could unite behind a new champion, and a movement which has enjoyed a certain new elan would be thrown into turmoil.

## Philosophy with fanfare

Josyane Savigneau

Comédie  
by Bernard-Henri Lévy  
Grasset 278pp 115 francs

**B**ERNARD-HENRI LÉVY'S *Comédie* is the latest episode of a career that began exactly 20 years ago with the publication of his controversial essay, *La Barbarie à Visage Humain*. Lévy, a handsome and wealthy young philosopher, wanted to play in the big league from the start. The trouble was that at that time his mentors cramped his style: Sartre was still alive, as were Lacan and Barthes, and there were some serious contenders to succeed them, such as Foucault, Derrida, Deleuze and Châtelet.

But with an intelligent, articulate person like Lévy on board, and with an editor (Françoise Verry) who had an innate sense of theatre, the mix was right and the so-called "new philosophers" proved immediately popular.

But to catch up with his masters and step into their shoes, he needed to do a lot of spadework, some of it behind the scenes. But the young Lévy did not, in his own words, "ask himself questions about the borderline between appearance and reality".

Now dubbed BHL, he experienced what he calls "the distracted projection" of his own energy. With his wayward shock of hair, saturnine eyes and open-necked white shirt, he became the intellectual darling of the media during the 1980s.



BHL: dissects a society that likes 'the putrid, the rotten'

As one might expect, that position involved him in a good deal of polemic and filmflam. It also required courage. BHL turned out essays (including a controversial one on France's Vichyist past), novels, a not very successful play (so he could be seen as a "total" writer and intellectual, like Sartre before him) and a series of TV programmes.

He publicly committed himself to a number of grand causes, standing up for Salman Rushdie at a time when many were still too scared to do so, and for the Bosnians, with his documentary film *Bosna*. Last year, he made his first feature film, *Le Jour et La Nuit*. It was a total flop.

For 20 years BHL had been both unbearable, discerning, funny, stuck-up, provocative, stimulating, incredibly manipu-

lative of others and perhaps more naive about himself than he imagined.

He had to face what he describes in *Comédie* as "solitude", the fundamental characteristic of an age which, "once it has recorded an image, is above all unwilling to let go of it, and once it has recorded a sound, wants to hear the same sound again and again *ad infinitum*".

He had been insulted by malice and envy: "I thought I was invulnerable. It all excited me. It prompted in me a degree of detachment, cynicism and contempt and a lot of hilarity."

BHL, the man who admitted he could no longer bear the "media caricature" he had become, suddenly saw the dark side of things. The failure of his film, which was not only slated

by the critics but spurned by the public, was only the catalyst.

"There is nothing more ridiculous than a guy who has a delirious vision of how ideas live, and who whinges when the scales are tipped against him. But this time it really hurt."

Out of that experience came *Comédie*, his first stab at a self-portrait, "not *mise-en-scène* but self-questioning", a watershed book which BHL describes as "carefully composed", but which gives the impression of having been written with an urgent need to speak out — and with, at the same time, a fear of doing so. For it is a fact that the man we used to see as being "consumed" by the media and self-promotion had never before risked talking about himself.

Starting with an imaginary rendezvous in Tangiers with his "erstwhile teacher" — an easily recognisable Derrida — he embarks on a narrative that is based on "the great detour" caused by his film, and which enables him to answer a number of questions — those the film "posed but also left me to deal with", and the question of failure too, "and the place it now occupies in my life".

Although BHL admits in private that the film was probably a failure, possibly because the cinema is not his means of expression, in his book he sometimes lashes out at the wrong targets (such as auteurist cinema) and gets involved in fallacious arguments over form and content.

With great courage — he will get a lot of flak for it — he asks questions about writers who have become unchallengeable icons, "the great silent writers", or those who go on TV to explain

Lévy on Lévy



## Lobbying Distracts Whaling Commission

Anne Swardson in Monte Carlo

**S**AVING the whales has come to this: After nearly 24 hours of vociferous argument, the international commission that regulates whaling was unable to decide last week whether one Indian tribe in Washington state should be allowed to kill four gray whales a year.

Instead, the International Whaling Commission passed a vaguely worded resolution that the American delegation said permitted the Indian whale hunt and opponents said did not. The issue now will move to the U.S. courts.

The debate was only one part of the annual meeting of the commission, the 50-year-old body that since 1988 has imposed a general moratorium on whale hunting in the face of near depletion of many whale stocks. Since then the commission has monitored compliance and regulated various exceptions to the ban, including for some aboriginal groups.

But the contentious debate served as a demonstration of the political power of the whale lobby, of the competing political appeal of aboriginal

whale hunting and of the unavoidable fact that broad policies in such controversial areas as whale hunting are almost always influenced by hardball lobbying every step of the way.

Commission delegates, in fact, put off until their next gathering a serious proposal by Ireland for a partial resumption of whale hunting under limited circumstances. Several representatives worried that the commission was becoming so hamstrung by lobbying on both sides that it would never make any firm decisions on tough issues. "The rules of the game are partly dictated by the fact that there are observers who may exercise powerful lobbies back home," said Monaco delegate Frederic Briand. He added that he meant not just American environmental interests but also Japanese whaling interests.

The only real flap at last week's meeting was over the request made by the U.S. government on behalf of the Makah Indians of Washington's Olympic Peninsula to resume the practice of hunting whales, which they discontinued in the face of commercial competition and

shrinking stocks more than 70 years ago.

The Makah, with a 1,500-year tradition of whaling, are the only American Indian nation in possession of a treaty right to hunt whales. Fearing litigation under the 1855 accord, the United States has been pushing for a Makah whale hunt for more than a year while opposing any resumption of commercial whaling around the world.

Environmental groups were adamantly opposed, fearing that, in the words of Patricia Forkan of the Humane Society, "this will open the way for commercial whaling around the world." Such nations as Australia, Austria and New Zealand agreed.

The Makah, their arguments went, literally had forgotten how to catch whales; when one washed up on the shores of Neah Bay in 1995, the tribe had to send to Alaska for someone who knew how to butcher it. The fear was that the tribe would win the right to hunt whales for food but would instead find a way around the current trading ban to sell whale products overseas. The Makah denied this.

Then the United States found some appealing partners: a tribe of Russian Chukchi Indians who did know how to catch whales, who had never stopped catching whales and who were poor enough to actually plan to eat what they caught.

The Americans paired their proposal with a Russian proposal to let the Chukchi catch as many as 120 gray whales a year off the Bering Strait. Nations that supported the Russians and opposed the Americans sputtered with indignation. "Nothing we have seen [about the proposed Makah hunt] persuades us their needs are established," Australian delegation member Chris Puplick said.

The resolution allowing whaling for both tribes was adopted on Thursday morning last week without a vote, but by the afternoon delegates on the floor were overheard asking one another whether they were agreed to allow the hunt or not.

Certainly, said the Americans, Mexicans and other allies — and the Makah. "That's the U.S. interpretation of what has happened here today," alternate U.S. commis-

sioner Will Martin said. "It's very clear that the resolution constitutes acceptance of what we were proposing."

Not at all, said the Australians, the Austrians and the environmentalists. "They [the Americans] can do whatever spin control they want, but their arguments aren't going to fly when they get to court," said the Maine Society lawyer Leesteffy J. Jans. In addition, there is some U.S. congressional opposition to the Makah hunt.

"I think the commission has been deliberately ambiguous," added a commission staff official.

Meanwhile, no action was proposed to deal with Norway, which uses loopholes in the moratorium to kill about 500 whales a year, or Japan, which in the name of scientific research kills roughly the same number. And with nowhere nearby debate accorded to the American proposal, the commission agreed to let the Inuit, or Eskimo, of Danish Greenland increase their annual catch of minke whales by 20 whales a year.

The minke are not endangered either, but it was another indication, in the opinion of Danish scientist Erik Born, that "it's all politics when it should be more scientific."

GUARDIAN WEEKLY  
November 2, 1997

## Africa Nations More Ready to Intervene

James Rupert in Kinshasa

**A**FRICAN governments have displayed a new readiness to intervene in neighboring countries in recent months. And Angola, which mounted a swift strike into the Congo Republic that helped end its civil war last month, seems to be one of the readiest.

After decades as colonies of Europe and clients of the Cold War powers, the African nations are acting more independently, including by using force against their neighbors. Uganda supported Rwandan Tutsis in a 1990-94 civil war that overthrew the Hutu-dominated government in Kigali, for instance. And Rwanda, Uganda, Burundi, Angola — and according to Western diplomats, Ethiopia and Eritrea — continued to President Laurent Kabila's battle for power here in Congo, the former Zaire, last spring.

Now Angola has acted even more boldly — sending troops, tanks and warplanes into the Congo Republic's war, in full view of foreign residents and TV cameras, to help overthrow President Pascal Lissouba. None of these interventions has cost its authors more than a scolding by the foreign powers that once sought to control such situations.

The United States and European governments — and the international institutions they dominate, such as the U.N. Security Council — have to a large degree become marginalized as African leaders decide on moves — including military actions — designed to further their own national interests.

"We're not the main players any more," said a Western diplomat in Kinshasa, the capital of Congo. "African countries now have the military capacity and the will to intervene on their own account."

In the turmoil of central Africa, where eight contiguous states have suffered rebellions of varying intensity this year alone, several governments have been tempted to attack across their borders to strike at rebel bases. Angola's intervention in the Congo Republic's civil war, for instance, was the second time this year it has helped overthrow a neighboring government that was assisting the Angolan rebel movement, UNITA. Angola also intervened in neighboring Congo.

Rwanda also offered strong assistance to Kabila as he fought his way to power in Congo, largely because of Rwandan Hutu guerrillas based there and tolerated by the late dictator Mobutu Sese Seko.

In helping overthrow neighboring governments, Angola is not trying to establish itself as a dominant regional power, said a Western diplomat, adding, "There has been a very specific motive of strategic defense."

After 20 odd years of civil war, the Angolan government is desperate to force UNITA, Jonas Savimbi's National Union for the Total Independence of Angola, to abide by a 1994 peace agreement. But UNITA has failed to implement the agreement, instead rearming, first through Congo, when it was Zaire, and then through Lissouba's Congo Republic. More than most African states, Angola also has the capacity to in-



A militiaman loyal to Sassou-Nguesso, whose victory was assured by Angolan troops, guards a checkpoint in Brazzaville. PHOTO: GEORGE MULLA

tervene. Its combat-toughened military of about 90,000 soldiers is one of black Africa's largest. And with military transport planes, "it has a modern airlift capacity, which no one else has — not even South Africa," a diplomat said.

But Angola's willingness to carry battles beyond its borders has only limited success, diplomats in the region point out. Angola intervened against Mobutu partly because he had provided UNITA's main supply line. His top aides ran flights to deliver arms and supplies to UNITA territories and to buy the diamonds that UNITA mines to finance its operation.

As Mobutu fell last spring, UNITA scrambled to move its arms stocks out of Congo — much of

them to Congo Republic just across the Congo River. Supply flights were shifted to the Congo Republic's port of Pointe-Noire, U.S. diplomats and others said, making the second Angolan intervention necessary.

Angola's intervention against Lissouba permitted rebel leader Denis Sassou-Nguesso to seize the capital, Brazzaville, and left Pointe-Noire in the hands of the Angolan forces and Congo Republic forces on the side of Sassou-Nguesso. Those victories appeared to herald an end to the war.

In the days since, however, Sassou-Nguesso's continued progress has been less certain. Sassou-Nguesso represents a small tribe, and it is unclear how he might build

a coalition capable of ruling. Lissouba and a third militia leader, Bernard Kolelas, have vowed to keep fighting. Kolelas said that he has 4,000 fighters who will continue a guerrilla war.

Angola also has failed to get all it sought in helping Kabila oust Mobutu last spring. According to sources in Congo and Angola, planes have continued to fly to UNITA bases, ferrying in supplies and bringing out diamonds for sale.

A source based in Luanda, the Angolan capital, said he saw a plane with a Congo registration number at the UNITA base in Cuango this fall. And, he said, U.N. military observers protested to UNITA at being barred from inspecting similar planes at Cuango and other bases. The source said there is no evidence that the continued traffic includes weapons.

Nonetheless Angola "is very angry with Kabila," said the Luanda source. He said the Angolans had not concluded that Kabila's government is responsible, believing that the traffic may be conducted by Rwandans, who were Kabila's chief backers against Mobutu.

In addition to the problem posed by rebel presence across borders, central Africa is strewn with the losers of decades of civil wars — army units, militia groups and individual soldiers scattered, often in impoverished exile, in camps in the countryside or mixed with refugees or local residents.

These dislocated fighters often can survive only as mercenaries or bandits.

Rwandan Hutu fighters who lost their civil war in 1994 and soldiers of Mobutu's defeated army reportedly fought for pay on both sides of the Congo Republic's 4-year-old war. Perhaps 7,000 other Rwandan and Mobutu fighters have fled to northern Angola, finding refuge in UNITA-held territory, analysts said.

## Generation Gap Divides Cuban Exiles

Donald P. Baker in Miami

**W**HEN pop singer Gloria Estefan recently defended a member of a local arts commission who suggested Cuban musicians should be allowed to perform here, she was subjected to a torrent of hostile criticism — and worse — from fellow members of the Cuban-American community.

Usually mobbed when she visits Little Havana, the sprawling political and cultural epicenter for Dade County's 675,000 Cuban Americans, the hugely successful Estefan who fled Cuba with her family at age 2 was scolded by community leaders. Callers to talk radio shows denounced her, calling her names and questioning her patriotism and womanhood.

Although she was merely defending the commission member's right of free speech, Estefan had violated a rule that Miami's Cuban-American community has long held sacrosanct: Thou shalt do nothing, even indirectly, to support the Castro regime.

In 1996 Dade County put this rule into law with an ordinance prohibiting local governments from entering into contracts with any firm that does business directly or indirectly with Cuba. The ordinance has been interpreted to include Cuban artists because they are required to give part of their earnings to the Castro regime.

But even as she crossed the line, Estefan exposed what Liz Balzaseda, a columnist for the Miami Herald, called a growing generational divide between Cubans who fled their homeland nearly 40 years ago and their America-reared children and other newcomers that is beginning to challenge some of the old assumptions.

Estefan, in fact, is just the latest of the younger generation to encounter the ire of what University of Miami scholar Max Casella calls a Cuban-American "gerontocracy . . . that keeps change at such a glacial pace." Last year, a popular Little Havana restaurant was firebombed after it booked a 73-year-old Cuban cabaret show girl, forcing cancella-

tion of her five sold-out shows. When a renowned Cuban jazz musician gave a concert at a downtown auditorium, protesters showed up and harassed and spat upon patrons as they entered the concert hall. Many of the patrons were younger Cuban Americans, eager to learn more about Cuban culture.

But for many older members of the Cuban community, these performers were seen as emissaries of the Castro regime who, therefore, should not be encouraged to appear in the United States and, if they do, should be boycotted.

Younger Cuban Americans here often say they are a generation caught between two aging men: Fidel Castro, 71, on the one hand, and hard-line emigre leader Jorge Mas Canosa, 58, whose Cuban American National Foundation is determined to continue the embargo against Cuba and remove Castro from power. The frail and ailing Canosa recently was hospitalized for a serious lung infection, prompting thousands here to attend a special Mass on his behalf.

Estefan's trouble began when she took issue with Dade County Commissioner Bruce Kaplan for firing his unpaid appointee to the county's Film, Television and Print Advisory Board, Peggy McKinley. McKinley had called for lifting of the 1996 Dade County ordinance.

Her dismissal "touched an issue that has been simmering for a long time," said McKinley, whose family emigrated to Chicago from Lithuania as displaced persons after World War II. McKinley, who spoke up at a public hearing in her capacity as the chair of a second volunteer group, the Miami Beach Fashion/Film/Television/Recording Advisory Board, said that while the city should "keep in mind the political sensitivities of Cuban exiles," it could not allow "the feelings of a few" to impede economic growth and development of the community as a whole.

The public hearing had been called after a French-based company, Midem, threatened to cancel the remaining four years of a contract with the city-owned Miami

Beach convention center unless the ban on Cuban performers was lifted.

The ban was in force because the city appropriated about \$25,000 to help woo Midem's first American show to Miami. The four-day Latin American and Caribbean Music Conference in September attracted thousands of music-industry officials from around the world and generated an estimated \$20 million for the local economy this year.

Kaplan said he found McKinley's remarks "totally inappropriate and insulting to the community I represent," which includes Little Havana. When Commissioner Katy Sorenson supported McKinley, Commissioner Javier Suoto warned, "This might come back to haunt you tremendously . . . There are a lot of Cuban people out there who vote."

Estefan then weighed in. She supports the ban on Cuban performers but, in a letter to the Miami Herald, she wrote that "as an American, I am frightened to see one of our most basic liberties being trampled on in the march for political gain. As a Cuban American, I am embarrassed that non-Cubans might think that we are all narrow of mind. I cannot imagine how we could explain to the people of Cuba, who have suffered so much oppression, that the very freedoms that they so desperately desire and deserve are being annihilated in their name."

McKinley, who has enlisted the aid of the Florida chapter of the American Civil Liberties Union to seek reinstatement to her unpaid post, said she was "astounded" at Estefan's support, which she said took "tremendous courage."

"Another popular young Cuban American, Dade County Mayor Alex Penelas, criticized McKinley's dismissal, but said he opposes granting a waiver of the ordinance to Midem that Miami Beach Mayor Seymour Gelber has sought."

"International conferences and cultural events, including Midem, will continue to seek our community as a venue precisely because Dade County is increasingly seen as the business and cultural capital of the Americas," Penelas wrote Gelber.



In Philadelphia the Million Women March focused on the message of 'repentance, resurrection and restoration' PHOTOGRAPH BY GARY GARDNER

## Sisterhood Hits the Streets

**H**OPING to ignite a renewed sense of unity among women of African descent, hundreds of thousands of black women rallied for the Million Women March, an assembly that at once resembled a family gathering, an intense and uncensored call to duty and a huge open-air bazaar, write Michael A. Fletcher and DeNeen L. Brown in Philadelphia.

All along the mile-long march site, flowing from the steps of this city's famed Museum of Art, marchers ignored the raw, damp weather last Saturday to pose for pictures with families, hug old friends and pore over the array of items being hawked by scores of vendors along the way.

At the same time on the main stage, singers and poets performed and a long line of speakers admonished black women to ignore their differences and unite as one.

"From this moment, sister, no longer will you walk by your sister and not acknowledge her existence," said Asia Conley, one of the two Philadelphia activists who called for the march.

The bulk of the marchers seemed disconnected from the intonations of the eclectic series of speakers. In part because the speakers' platform was barely visible from many parts of the assembly. A faulty, low-tech sound system didn't help the proceedings either.

But those problems seemed secondary to many of the women who gathered, often with the hope that they would be a part to history.

"You can tell this was important for a lot of women because they came without knowing whole lot about it," said Josephine Gettings of Chicago. "I came because I wanted to tune into this. It was a sisterhood thing."

## Brazil: A Giant in the Midst of Change

Anthony Faola in Brasilia

**I**N THE heart of this capital city, the Palace of the High Plateau, Brazil's equivalent of the White House, often has stood as a national monument to dashed hopes. Leaders, many of them military dictators, would issue bold but empty promises from these stark white halls as the poor grew poorer and corruption infested the palace like termites.

In these same halls a new sense of credibility, stability and upward mobility is taking root in Latin America's largest nation. The shift stems, experts say, from a democratically elected intellectual, President Fernando Henrique Cardoso, who has won domestic popularity and international respect for the economic and political transformation he has set in motion in Brazil — a country bigger than the continental United States and with a population greater than Russia's.

Brazil's new order, although still fragile, holds strong implications for the United States, which is discovering that this nation is demanding a more-equal footing with Washington. Brazil is muscling into the role of the hemisphere's second voice on the world stage, seeking a permanent seat on the U.N. Security Council. And, as the core of a fast-growing trading bloc called Mercosur, a South American variant of the European Union, it has succeeded in influencing politics and economics throughout the region.

Indeed, one of President Clinton's missions on his visit last month was to sell the Brazilians on a massive Free Trade Area of the Americas — something the Brazilians fear may hurt their economy if implemented too quickly, and, experts say, they could easily block.

"When Nixon came here [in the 1970s], he said, 'Where Brazil goes, Latin America goes,'" said Alexandre Barros, a Brazilian political analyst. "At the time, it was just flattery . . . Now it's true."

Cardoso, who came to power in 1994 after a long line of disappointing administrations, including the impeached Fernando Collor de Mello, is credited with ending hyperinflation, opening up the economy and restoring a measure of faith in this country's highest office. His approval rating stands above 60 percent, one of the highest in South America, and pundits say his road to re-election next October likely will be softer than the cheese bread so popular here.

"The people have found in their bellies," said Roberto Macedo, an economist at the University of Sao Paulo. "But they also have refrigerators and ovens now, too. In Brazil, that's powerful motivation to like your president."

Yet serious problems continue to plague Brazil, a country with a long history of poverty and social crises. Even as his economic policies have improved the lives of the poor, critics say the gains may not last because Cardoso is not taking the bold steps necessary to "close" the country's

massive gap in the distribution of wealth. In Brazil, 5 percent of the people control 95 percent of the land.

There also have been nagging concerns that Cardoso has focused more on changing the constitution to allow for his re-election than on enacting the social security and tax reforms he has promised.

Critics also say he is moving too slowly to privatize state-run industries, that his administration has become permissive on logging in the Amazon and that he has not done enough to curb corruption and police brutality, the subject of a number of recent human-rights reports.

Cardoso responds that one must look at how much Brazil already has changed during his term. "Not just because of the economy," he told a group of reporters, "but because we are now putting on the table our social problems. The Brazilian government is not trying to cover up what is wrong in Brazil."

Since taking office, Cardoso's biggest impact has come through economics. A former leftist university professor who once conducted weekly study groups on Karl Marx, he did an about-face to embrace free-market theories by offering Brazilians his "Real Plan."

The plan opened Brazil to foreign investment and linked its currency, called the real, to the U.S. dollar. The plan ended hyperinflation.

The Real Plan has best aided this nation's vast legions of the poor, experts say, by giving them extraordinary new buying power. With the

value of the money in their pockets more stabilized, the poor have received access to credit, enabling them for the first time to purchase such goods as microwaves, televisions and refrigerators. The cost of basic foods like bread and milk has decreased.

The number of people living below the poverty level in Brazil has dropped 9 percentage points, to 21 percent nationwide, during Cardoso's first three years in office.

But the plan has come under criticism both inside and outside Brazil, and from both the left and right. Some of the loudest clamor has come from the middle and upper classes, a relatively small segment of Brazil that had found ways of benefiting from hyperinflation by putting their money in speculative bank accounts. Now affluent Brazilians also have discovered that many of their costs — such as real estate and eating in restaurants in posh neighborhoods — have gone up.

Cardoso is also facing mounting pressure from the massive Landless Movement of the rural poor — 50,000 of whom marched on Brasilia this year to protest the slow pace of land reform. This popular movement has evolved into the country's most potent political voice of dissent. It has won sensational news coverage of its controversial "land takeovers" throughout the country, which have sometimes turned violent and which Cardoso has condemned.

He is not responding to the biggest problem, fuming Brazil — land reform," said Sister Michael Mary Kolan, attorney for the Sao Paulo-based Sem Terra movement.

"If we weren't pushing him . . . he would not be doing and saying [anything] at all."

Opponents of Cardoso on the left argue that the alliances he had to form with right-wing parties to win election have made it more difficult for him to undertake reforms opposed by Brazil's traditional oligarchy. They also say he has not invested enough in national infrastructure or education.

"He has not made the structural reforms necessary," said Ciro Gomes, a former high-ranking member of Cardoso's party who is viewed as his only serious challenger for re-election. "His plan is fragile. We will only see a onetime gain for the poor if we don't make fundamental changes. We're still not spending money on the right things."

Cardoso has tried to bring more foreign investment into Brazil through a massive privatization effort, second only to China's. But the level of foreign investment in Brazil still is not as high as during its brief boom in the 1970s, and some critics say Cardoso has not moved fast enough to sell off the real prizes, the state-owned giants like the oil and telephone companies.

More than once, however, Brazil has teetered on the cusp of success only to fall. Some economists say its foreign debt and trade deficit may foreshadow problems in the years ahead if the government does not take steps to avoid the kind of crisis now happening in Asia. But the sheer size of the changes under way in Brazil, specialists say, make its momentum pretty convincing this time.

AP Wire Photo



# America's Abiding Dilemma Over Race

Richard D. Kahlenberg

AMERICA IN BLACK AND WHITE  
One Nation, Indivisible  
By Stephen Thernstrom and Abigail Thernstrom  
Simon & Schuster, 704 pp. \$32.50

LONG WAY TO GOD  
Black and White in America  
By Jonathan Coleman  
Atlantic Monthly Press, 451 pp.  
\$26.50

A COUNTRY OF STRANGERS  
Blacks and Whites in America  
By David K. Shipler  
Knopf, 607 pp. \$30


AS THE president seeks his place in history through an initiative on race, a trio of important new books has been published with comparably high ambitions. Stephen and Abigail Thernstrom's *America in Black and White* seeks to update, 50 years later, Gunnar Myrdal's *An American Dilemma*. Journalist Jonathan Coleman's *Long Way To Go* aspires to explore race relations in Milwaukee in the early 1990s in much the same way that J. Anthony Lukas's classic, *Common Ground*, described the Boston busing crisis of the 1970s. And journalist David K. Shipler's *A Country of Strangers* comes with the built-in expectations that follow his 1986 Pulitzer Prize-winning book, *Arab and Jew*.

To my mind, Shipler's book, a moving and elegant portrait of contemporary black-white relations, is the strongest of the three. If *A Country of Strangers* is occasionally unbalanced, it nevertheless accomplishes a daunting task: It credibly explains, through a white writer's eyes, the pain and anguish of the daily black experience in America, particularly the burden of having to live with enduring stereotypes that peg black men as criminals and black women as welfare mothers.

Shipler, a former New York Times reporter, spent five years crisscrossing the country, and concludes that not a day passes when most blacks don't think about race. Toward the end of the book, he describes a race-relations workshop in which minority participants were paired with whites. The leader asked individuals to stand if they felt they had to leave their culture at the door when they went to work. Many of the blacks stood, but none of the whites. Which of them had been stopped by the police because of their color? Again, the same result.

Then, Shipler writes, he "asked the question that I had never asked: How many of us had considered not having children because of racism? I caught movement out of the corner of my eye and turned and saw the young African-American woman, my partner, push her chair back and rise as gracefully as if she were at a funeral. I looked up into her sorrowful eyes, and she looked down into mine, through the immense distance that had been revealed between us."

At times, though, Shipler appears so overwhelmed by the persistence of racism that his reporter's skepticism is impaired. At one point, he uncritically cites sociologist Andrew Hacker's experiment in which Hacker asks his white students what they'd have to be paid to be black. A million dollars a year, the students reply. Obvious evidence of the price of racism, Hacker and Shipler conclude. But if Hacker's premise — that white skin has mor-



etary value — is true, then presumably black students would pay to become white. But Hacker doesn't ask them, and if he did, one supposes that, taking appropriate pride in their history and culture, they would respond — as the whites did — that they would need many millions of dollars to make the change.

At another point, Shipler says that conservative attacks on affirmative action and welfare are simply a sophisticated way of hurling the old racist slurs. Under the new standard, he says, "You can't speak of black people as indolent, but it's all right to urge that (black) welfare mothers be required to work. You're not allowed to characterize black people as incompetent, but you may preach the abolition of affirmative action because it promotes 'unequal' blacks over 'qualified' whites."

It is no doubt true that racism pollutes the discussion of welfare and affirmative action, but both issues present tough public-policy questions involving the clash of important values. Many nonracist Americans are animated by adherence to principles of hard work and nondiscrimination, and if one is truly seeking racial healing, it is foolish to dismiss these views as mere manifestations of racism. In all, Shipler spends less than

five percent of the book on our most vexing racial issue, preferential affirmative action. This reticence is not entirely surprising. After one has painstakingly built a powerful case that we should not negatively stereotype blacks as criminals and welfare mothers, it is hard then to defend the two major strands of affirmative action that profoundly rely upon stereotypes: the "diversity" rationale resting on the assumption that there is a "black point of view"; and the "equal-opportunity" rationale resting on the assumption that blacks, no matter what their economic status, are more disadvantaged than whites.

But in most cases, Shipler sees the complexity of the issues, moving beyond the liberal morality-play of white racists and the conservative morality-play of undeserving blacks. He's for opening up the traditional Eurocentric curriculum but refuses to embrace the more fantastical notions of Afrocentricism. He's savvy enough not to defend misogynist rap lyrics just because their authors are black, and notes that in

fact rap often feeds feelings of white supremacy. He acknowledges that blacks, who make up 13 percent of the U.S. population, commit 51 percent of the country's robberies and 54 percent of its murders. But he also notes that because crime is segregated, a white person is 5.6 times as likely to be murdered by another white as by someone black. "If fear were logical, whites would be more afraid of other whites than of blacks," he writes. In the end, readers are likely to come away from this book with new insights and a better understanding of our contemporary racial dilemma.

Journalist Jonathan Coleman, formerly of CBS News, is less successful in capturing the essence of American race relations in *Long Way To Go*. Coleman starts with a promising approach — using the city of Milwaukee as a prism through which to view race — but unlike Lukas's *Common Ground*, the book has no coherent story to tell.

Coleman begins in 1990 with the sensational threat by the head of the Black Panther Militia to engage in

**Today, left and right have largely converged to ensure that race remains America's proxy for class**

sniper attacks on whites if conditions in the inner city did not improve by the end of 1995. But this threat ultimately proved empty, and so the story meanders from the Jeffrey Dahmer murders to local reaction to national developments, such as the Clarence Thomas nomination and the L.A. riots. The result is at times a reporter's memoir driven not by local events so much as his schedule of interviews with assorted figures. Disappointingly, the book contains little about the racial dynamic of Milwaukee's noteworthy school-choice program, which provides vouchers for inner-city youths to attend private schools.

Still, Coleman's larger subject is important. Whereas much of what passes for racial discussion today focuses on whether upper-middle-class blacks should receive admissions preferences at Princeton, Coleman takes on America's greatest remaining failure in race relations: the perpetuation of the urban underclass. Coleman's outrage is properly focused on society's tolerance of a ghetto environment where

kids sleep on the floor to avoid bullets, and where 11-year-olds plan their funerals instead of birthday parties.

Stephen and Abigail Thernstrom's *America in Black and White* is more scholarly than the other two — he teaches history at Harvard, she's a senior fellow at the Manhattan Institute — and their unvarnished and powerful description of the horrors of Jim Crow serves as a good counterpoint to the commonly heard plea that racism is as bad as ever. In 1940, there was not a single black policeman in the Deep South states where 40 percent of blacks lived, and in that year black poverty stood at 87 percent. In 1944, when Myrdal wrote, a majority of whites said that "white people should have the first chance at any kind of job."

In 1958, just 4 percent of Americans approved of interracial marriage. And in 1962, Colin Powell, about to leave for Vietnam, was driving through Virginia with his wife and could not find a gas station that would allow him to use a bathroom.

In the book's core section, on public policy, the Thernstroms do much to expose the sloppy thinking of affirmative-action proponents. When Bill Clinton's assistant attorney general for civil rights cites cabdriver discrimination as a reason for racial preferences in employment, education and contracting, the Thernstroms ask: What precisely is the connection? While rejecting absurd genetic explanations for group inequality, they also demolish the myth that all such inequality can be attributed to discrimination. The difference in earnings between black and white families is smaller, they note, than the difference between the earnings of whites generally and those of Americans with Chinese or Latvian ancestry.

But unfortunately, the authors don't stop there. While the Thernstroms try to pose as moderates, their policy analysis places them well to the right of center. To take one important example, they are hostile not only to racial preferences but also to a 1971 Supreme Court decision, *Griggs v. Duke Power*, which established a rebuttable presumption that employment practices resulting in a statistical racial imbalance in the work force are discriminatory. The decision, which allows employers to justify imbalances with nonracial explanations, represents to most people a fair balancing of competing

interests. The vote among justices was 8 to 0, and it was overturned by the U.S. Senate on a vote of 5 in 1991, but the Thernstroms nevertheless criticize it.

At times, the authors seek to Myrdal's opposites, showing ways in which black civil rights, just as surely as white supremacists, fail to live up to the American Creed. But unlike Myrdal, the Thernstroms don't travel the country talking with people, so give undue attention to the way of black demagogues. On the issue of intermarriage, for example, I quite true that black leaders, Louis Farrakhan have made racist statements in a way that leaders generally do not. But, on average, blacks and whites are significantly more likely to prove of black-white marriage: whites (68 percent vs. 45 percent) and so Myrdal's old story of racism is ultimately confirmed.

The Thernstroms' major recommendation is that we do racial preferences; but, surprisingly, they lay out no agenda what is to replace racial affirmative action. They criticize fellow conservatives for being unwilling to admit that "there was a terrible history racism in this country, and it is too much remains," but then offer no solutions, not even conservative boilerplate about school choice or enterprise zones.

Taken together, these three books demonstrate the very different ways in which Americans view black-white relations. Much disturbing, to my mind, is the authors' apparent agreement on every questionable premise.

First, across the gamut of public ideology and discipline, their unanimity tells us that they are concerned not with race in America, but with a subset: black and white.

At one time, this limited focus made sense. When Daniel Patrick Moynihan wrote *The Negro Family* in 1965, the available census data was limited to white and nonwhite — which mattered little, Moynihan noted, because almost all nonwhites were black. Today, the narrow focus on black and white is quite simply anachronistic. For instance, why Jonathan Coleman blames cab drivers for not picking up black passengers, he seems oblivious to the fact that, in many cities, the vast majority of the cab drivers are white.

The second premise, broadly shared by the authors, is that America's central problem involves not race but class. In 1968, as the swept the nation, Robert Kennedy, told journalist David Halberstam that "it was pointless to talk about the real problem in America being black and white, it was really about black and poor, which was a much more complex subject." But today, left and right have largely converged to ensure that race remains America's proxy for class. President Clinton seeks his legacy in appointing yet another advisory commission on race, rather than taking the long overdue step of addressing, at long last, the enduring legacy of economic inequality.

There is much to learn from these highly ambitious books on black-white relations, but in the end, they may not have been ambitious enough.

Richard D. Kahlenberg, a fellow at the Center for National Policy and author of *The Remedy: Class, Race, and Affirmative Action*, is writing a book on economic segregation of the public schools.

GUARDIAN WEEKLY  
November 2 1997

Southeast Asia in turmoil: Nick Cumming-Bruce in Bangkok and Andrew Higgins in Hong Kong report

## Thais seethe as economic noose on jobs tightens

SCENES of horrific carnage at Bangkok's Thammasat university are to feature on a "historical wall" to be built on campus, including sculptures commemorating key events on Thailand's path to democracy. Some wonder if, by the time of its expected completion in two years, there will be a new episode warranting inclusion, writes Nick Cumming-Bruce.

Turmoil in stock markets around the world last week makes the question of interest beyond Thailand and even Southeast Asia. The assault on Hong Kong's share market and currency at the centre of the financial drama followed a sequence of events that started when Thailand broke its currency's link to the dollar in July.

And in the same way that Thai-

land's economic woes triggered a critical reaction from investors to weaknesses in other Southeast Asian tiger economies, so street protests in Bangkok by the middle classes exposed another dimension to regional misfortunes.

As the International Monetary Fund wades in, prescribing austerity, countries relying on rapid economic growth to smooth over deep-rooted ethnic and religious tensions face the growing risk that mass frustration and bitterness will boil over into violence. Thailand, Indonesia and the Philippines face elections in the coming year which could provide a focus for discontent.

A Bangkok garage sale last weekend, catering for yuppies, exemplified what for some analysts is the consoling thought that the threat

may be more apparent than real. Rows of everything from Mercedes-Benz to Rolex watches illustrated the contention heard in other Southeast Asian capitals that the economic crunch is hitting hardest the middle classes. No revolutionary impulses there, the argument goes.

This comfortably ignores the price workers are paying for the economic downturn and mountains of short-term corporate debt imprudently accumulated by their bosses. Two million or more Thais, and a similarly large number in Indonesia, stand to lose their jobs as the downturn bites next year.

Paradoxically, Bangkok's protest ebbed last weekend, less because the embattled prime minister, Chavalit Yongchaiyudh, revealed a new cabinet line-up late last week

than because he will now rush through legislation clearing the way for elections in February under a newly drafted constitution.

The fear among economic analysts is how an already bitter public will react if Mr Chavalit uses the next few weeks to subvert the new charter and, as he did last year, buy his way to victory with rural votes.

Plans for Thammasat's wall emerged on the anniversary of the 1976 storming of the campus by a rightwing mob, who murdered among students in their path. It was, according to Banthoon Lamsam, president of one of Thailand's biggest banks, an example of what can happen when Thais lose control. Reflecting on the political mess, he warned: "Things will boil and boil and explode."

## China watches as Hong Kong burns

WHEN the silent, terrifying storm hit last week, British architect Graham Powell found himself stranded on a Hong Kong construction site with a group of nervous builders, writes Andrew Higgins.

"We were talking about ceilings and plumbing, but they all kept looking at their watches. I couldn't work out why everyone was so uninterested. Suddenly the meeting was disbanded, and they were all on the phone shuffling stocks and buying dollars."

Unsure what was going on, Mr Powell returned to his office. His desk was covered with messages from his girlfriend: "Go to the bank and buy dollars!"

Across Hong Kong panic spread like wildfire — not the noisy, wild-eyed panic caused by physical danger but the stunned, mute fear of 6.4 million people looking over the edge. Hong Kong was supposed to be different from the rest of Asia, where currencies fall, markets crash, and froth about Confucian miracles turns to dust. It had not binged on easy credit. It had not gone flat on corruption. It had barely even blinked at the hoisting of the red flag over a metropolis of unalloyed capitalism.

As recently as September, Joseph Yam, head of the Hong Kong Monetary Authority, boasted to the Institute of International Finance that the much-hyped handover from British to Chinese rule had, in the end, been "rather uneventful". He said he had always predicted a "rather dull year" and then crowed to his audience: "I am glad that this has, more or less, turned out to be the case. Even the volatility of Asian currencies did not affect the Hong Kong dollar."

Mr Yam, who gets paid \$1 million a year for such insights, last week stood at the eye of the storm. It swept from screens flashing in the skyscrapers of Central, Hong Kong's business district, leashed across Mr Powell's building site, through factories in Kowloon and into bars in Wanchai and then battered London and New York.

Like all typhoons, it passed. By Friday last week, the Hong Kong stock market recovered nearly half the 10 per cent lost the previous day.

when the market recorded its biggest point drop. Bank queues thinned as the peg tethering the Hong Kong dollar to the American currency held, and speculative fever raced north to ravage the Korean won instead. Overnight, interbank interest rates came down from stratospheric heights.

Tung Chee-hwa, Hong Kong's chief executive, declared victory. Returning from London, where he had met Tony Blair to intone a mantra of "business as usual since the handover", he warned off predators: "We will make sure they do not succeed in whatever they are trying to do."

Hong Kong is in better health than Thailand, Indonesia or Korea. Its economy dominated by services (82 per cent) instead of manufacturing. Hong Kong operates the world's fifth largest foreign exchange market, the busiest container port, and sits on the rim of one of the globe's fastest growing economies.

But last week's turbulence was more than a freak accident. Marc Faber, a local investment guru, has long warned the end is nigh. He calls his newsletter for investors the Doom and Gloom Report and infuriates Hong Kong's cheerleaders. He missed his moment of vindication but, calling from Barcelona, he predicted more gloom: "We have had the first wave. Now we have a rebound. Eventually, everything is bound to go lower. This is just the beginning."

On the bedrock of Hong Kong's prosperity lies one of the world's most inflated property markets. A 750sq ft flat in a New Territories concrete block costs \$650,000. Underpinning such madness is an axiom: too many people, too little space. Prices, the theory goes, can only go up. This dogma is showing signs of fatigue. It has also severely damaged Hong Kong's competitive edge as a place to do business.

If Mr Tung's determination to defend the US dollar link propels interest rates too high, the property market will wobble and could even crash. "I think we are in for a property meltdown. It will not happen overnight but will be a slow process, like in Japan," predicts Mr Faber. "This process is always painful. In



An investor sees Hong Kong stocks tumble

PHOTOGRAPH LARRY CHAN

Hong Kong it will be very painful. So many people have money in property."

The less lugubrious hope for a managed "correction" in property prices that will improve rather than shatter Hong Kong's "prosperity and stability" — the credo embraced by Britain in China when it fixed Hong Kong's future in 1984. Should this turn into a recession, however, developers and families who have mortgaged their lives to buy a flat would not be the only ones screaming. China would, too.

While the handover has played no major role in last week's panic, it has altered the equation fundamentally. Had the British government ever seen Hong Kong as anything other than a potential headache — and a treasure trove for Conservative party fund-raisers — they would never have allowed Sir John Cowpertwait, the colony's financial secretary from 1981-7, to enshrine "positive non-intervention" as a guiding philosophy.

For China, though, it is different. Hong Kong not only helped kickstart China's economic reforms nearly two decades ago, but its capital markets are now crucial to the Communist party's ambitious privatisation of state industry. It needs

a buoyant market in Hong Kong to raise funds. A collapse could cripple the entire venture.

Mr Tung, unlike Sir John, has to report to people who want him to do more than simply keep Hong Kong out of their hair. China would prefer to retain the US dollar peg as a point of pride, but if it becomes too painful Mr Tung will be reminded that what is good for China is good for Hong Kong. Any hint it might make would be eagerly seconded by Hong Kong industrialists, who think staying in line with the US dollar is making Hong Kong exports too expensive.

Hong Kong's great asset is the resilience of a population mad about making money. But these same people panic. And if panic takes hold, no amount of lecturing on strong fundamentals from Mr Tung will bring calm.

At a busy intersection across the road from a Wanchai karaoke parlour on Friday last week, dozens of people huddled around flashing screens. The carousel of the market had started turning again. "It is our sport," said Lau Kwok-kin, a cook from a nearby Cantonese restaurant. "You can see. Hong Kong is now stable again. I must admit, though, it did get scary."

## FINANCE 19

### In Brief

**FRAUD** investigators across Europe are to widen their investigation into allegations that a huge money laundering and insider dealing ring has been operating for years in Amsterdam. The scandal left the Dutch coalition government struggling to contain a crisis of confidence in fraud controls at one of Europe's busiest bourses.

**T**HE complex battle for control of US telecoms group MCI took another twist with rumours that one of its suitors, WorldCom, is seeking the support of US regional telecoms company SBC to add a cash element to its all-paper offer. Meanwhile MCI posted a third-quarter loss of \$182 million.

**B**OEING, the world's biggest aircraft-maker, was forced to admit that it had been caught on the hop by the boom in orders for new airliners and would be forced to shelve \$2.6 billion to meet production targets.

**G**ERMAN car makers BMW and Daimler-Benz emerged as front runners in the race to buy Rolls-Royce Motors, which was put on sale by Vickers.

**E**UROPE'S car makers are showing signs of recovery on the back of sales growth fuelled by new models and more competitive exchange rates. Three leading manufacturers — VW, BMW and Volvo — all reported higher third-quarter figures.

**M**ICROSOFT, the software group under fire from competition authorities, reported record first-quarter profits even after a \$296 million write-off related to its purchase of WebTV. Net profits rose nearly \$50 million to \$663 million. However, it was not all good news for Bill Gates. He saw \$1.5 billion wiped off his paper fortune as Microsoft shares were caught up in the Wall Street crash.

**L**ONG-TERM prospects for deep coal-mining in the UK, involving 8,000 jobs, look bleak after the Government ruled out intervention in the coal market.

### FOREIGN EXCHANGES

	Starting rates October 27	Starting rates October 30
Australia	2.3935-2.3968	2.2304-2.2333
Austria	20.50-20.62	20.31-20.34
Belgium	80.00-80.16	59.51-59.61
Canada	2.1142-2.3103	2.2674-2.2688
Denmark	11.09-11.10	10.99-11.00
France	9.75-9.77	9.679-9.689
Germany	2.9132-2.9168	2.8869-2.8888
Hong Kong	12.78-12.81	12.62-12.63
Ireland	1.1153-1.1174	1.0652-1.078
Italy	2.646-2.650	2.619-2.621
Japan	201.50-201.74	197.63-197.92
Netherlands	3.2862-3.2882	3.2582-3.2591
New Zealand	2.5332-2.5368	2.3362-2.3397
Norway	11.73-11.74	11.57-11.58
Portugal	206.50-206.82	204.08-204.42
Spain	245.84-245.80	243.47-243.58
Sweden	12.52-12.54	12.39-12.40
Switzerland	2.4076-2.4102	2.3901-2.4008
USA	1.8259-1.8265	1.8300-1.8310
ECU	1.4749-1.4770	1.4652-1.4670

FXB100 Wire Transfer 30.10.97 11.00.97, FXB100 Index down 149.88 at 4767.6, Gold down 81.55 at 529.25.



## 20 APPOINTMENTS & COURSES

# UBS

Union Bank of Switzerland

### UNION BANK OF SWITZERLAND is looking for a German-English translator

to join its ENGLISH TRANSLATION GROUP IN ZURICH. Candidates should be English native speakers, aged 25 to 40, with an excellent knowledge of German, a translator's degree or equivalent university language qualification and preferably a number of years' translation experience in any of the following fields: economics, banking, finance, investment, insurance, legal, advertising, public relations. The job demands a quality-driven, team-based approach, the ability to research topics independently and to work under pressure. Candidates will be at ease with the latest language tools and will enjoy developing their creative skills in a lively team of language specialists. The position offers a varied range of work including economic, investment and financial publications, public relations and advertising texts as well as legal documents and internal communications.

#### Interested candidates should contact:

Mrs B. Maas (tel. +41 1 236 73 80) for further details of the position. Written applications should be sent to Union Bank of Switzerland, Rudolf Koller, Personnel Services, Konzernsparten, Bahnhofstrasse 45, 8021 Zurich, Switzerland.

### EMERGENCY PREPAREDNESS RESPONSE MANAGER

Ethiopia - Addis Ababa

£22,145 p.a.

SCF (UK) established itself in Ethiopia in 1973 in response to the famine and has since developed a programme embracing the transition from relief to development. Activities included child protection and child rights, food security, famine early warning, transport of food aid, agriculture, education and rural health.

You will have overall management of all SCF's emergency preparedness and response project work, including the nutritional surveillance programme and food aid unit, and will be responsible for discrete emergency interventions in areas where SCF is not operational. You will also act as SCF's representative nationally on emergencies and as a member of the national management team, feed into SCF's strategy and policy at all levels.

To meet the challenge of this post you will need substantial international experience of Early Warning and Food Aid related emergency work in Africa. An adept communicator and negotiator, you will also have excellent financial and programme management skills and the ability to lead and develop staff to meet programme objectives.

The post is offered on a 25 month contract and has accompanied status. The salary should be tax free. You can also expect a generous benefits package including accommodation, flights and other living expenses.

For further details and an application form, please write with your CV to Jenny Thomas, Overseas Personnel, SCF, 17 Grove Lane, London SE5 8RD.

Closing date: 24th November 1997. Interviews w/c 15th December 1997.

SCF aims to be an equal opportunities employer.

## Save the Children

Working for a better world for children

### IFAE INTERNATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR ADULT EDUCATION

IFAE is a non-profit forming educational foundation

#### DIPLOMA IN SOCIAL SCIENCE

by Distance Education (Home Study)

THE INTERNATIONAL FOUNDATION FOR ADULT EDUCATION is a non-profit forming Educational Foundation and is providing an eight month Diploma Course in Social Studies with individual tuition which allows you to qualify for a Diploma in the comfort of your own home. No classes attended.

IFAE's higher education programmes offer teaching and training. Join participants from all over the world and gain a qualification in Social Science that will allow you a unique chance to develop sociological and psychological thinking on cultures of the world. Essential for Business, Medicine and Arts Graduates.

Social Science brings together material from Sociology, Social Psychology, History, Social Economics, Human Geography, Counselling and Cultural Anthropology. Among the issues studied are Culture, Family, Personality, Risk Society, Conflict, Education, Research methods, Crises, Social Problems, Crime, Poverty, Communication, Personal Development, Leadership and many more.

This is an excellent opportunity to improve the proficiency of your English and build language excellence.

For information please contact Sandra at: IFAE DEPARTMENT OF SOCIAL SCIENCE PO, Box 93, Eglington Street, Cork, Ireland. Tel: +353 22 29358 Fax: +353 22 29166 Enrollment closing 30th November.

### Advertisements

It is a condition of acceptance of advertisement orders that the proprietors of The Guardian Weekly do not guarantee the insertion of any particular advertisement on a specified date, or at all, although every effort will be made to meet the wishes of advertisers; further they do not accept liability for any loss or damage caused by an error or inaccuracy in the printing or non-appearance of any advertisement. They also reserve the right to classify correctly any advertisement, edit or delete any objectionable wording or reject any advertisement.

Although every advertisement is carefully checked, occasionally mistakes do occur. We therefore ask advertisers to assist us by checking their advertisements carefully and advise us immediately should an error occur. We regret that we cannot accept responsibility for more than ONE INCORRECT insertion and that no republication will be granted in the case of typographical or minor changes which do not affect the value of the advertisement.

The Guardian Weekly

university of botswana

### professor in the department of nursing education

(Vacancy No. E/NE 5/97)

The appointee will provide leadership in the implementation of Nursing Science programmes; teach in the area of Nursing Science at the undergraduate and graduate levels; and participate in research and other activities of the Department. Applicants should have a Master's Degree (or preferably a PhD) in Nursing Science with a clinical specialty in any area of Nursing. They must have at least eleven years' teaching experience, three of which must have been at the rank of Associate Professor, at a university or comparable institution, and an excellent record of research and publications in the field of nursing.

Remuneration per annum: Professor P108,840 - P117,120. Fringe Benefits: Include Motor Car Purchase Advance Scheme, an optional UB funded medical aid plan, car allowance at 1% of basic salary and free tuition for spouse and up to four dependent children on courses offered by the University but not at its affiliated and associated institutions. Additional benefits for expatriate staff include gratuity at 25% of basic salary on successful completion of a two year contract.

Applications quoting the vacancy number of the post applied for, full and up to date CV (including telephone, tele and fax numbers), certified true copies of educational certificates and names and addresses of three academic referees including that of the current employer or immediate supervisor should be addressed to the Senior Assistant Registrar (Academic Staffing), University of Botswana, Private Bag 0022, Gaborone, Botswana (tel. (267) 3552027; fax (267) 3555911). Applicants should attach referees to submit references direct to the University of Botswana before the stipulated closing date. Please note that applications with incomplete information will not be acknowledged.

Closing date: 28 November 1997.

A member of the Association of Commonwealth Universities

Cranfield UNIVERSITY

School of Management

### OPERATIONS MANAGEMENT

Lecturer in Service Operations Management  
Lecturer in Project Management

The success and the expansion of our post-graduate degree and executive development programmes has created the need for additional faculty appointments in the areas of Service Operations and Project Management. Business experience and academic achievement will be given equal consideration when making these appointments. The prime requirements will, however, be an ability to maintain credibility in an exciting and demanding teaching environment together with the drive and initiative to generate new areas of research and business development.

Appointees will be expected to develop a major area of activity in the relevant area. For Service Operations, an interest in either profit or non-profit organisations would be relevant. Appropriate candidates could have experience in such fields as banking, hotels, airlines, fast food, etc. For the Project Management position, all aspects of project management would be relevant but we would particularly like to hear from applicants with experience in a matrix management environment.

Successful candidates will have a unique opportunity to access and work with a wide range of major international organisations. In return, we offer an attractive salary package depending on level of experience and academic achievement.

Application forms and other details are available from the Personnel Department, Cranfield University, Bedford MK43 0AL. E-mail: personnel@cranfield.ac.uk Alternatively telephone our 24 hour Recruitment line on (01234) 750111 quoting reference number 71668.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Although every advertisement is carefully checked, occasionally mistakes do occur. We therefore ask advertisers to assist us by checking their advertisements carefully and advise us immediately should an error occur. We regret that we cannot accept responsibility for more than ONE INCORRECT insertion and that no republication will be granted in the case of typographical or minor changes which do not affect the value of the advertisement.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

Closing date for applications is 28th November 1997.

GUARDIAN WEEKLY  
November 2 1997



### Mid Sweden University

has about 12,000 students, and 950 employees, located in the mid Sweden region. The University with its four campuses is situated in an area which offers an excellent quality of life. The Post Graduate School of Electronics is now accepting additional faculty members.

The information technology profile at Mid Sweden University is reflected both by its research within electronics, telecommunication and computer science and in the various programmes and courses offered.

#### Professor in electronics

particularly electronics manufacturing  
Stationed at: Campus Östersund, initially in Stockholm  
Ref no: Dnr 31/9700609

#### Professor in telecommunication

particularly implementation of algorithms in telecommunication  
Stationed at: Campus Sundsvall, Ref no: Dnr 31/9700611

#### Associate Professors in electronics

particularly electronics manufacturing  
Stationed at: Campus Östersund, Ref no: Dnr 31/9700612

#### Associate Professor in electronics

particularly electronics manufacturing  
Stationed at: Campus Östersund, Ref no: Dnr 31/9700613

#### Associate Professor in telecommunication

particularly implementation of algorithms in telecommunication  
Stationed at: Campus Sundsvall, Ref no: Dnr 31/9700615

#### Associate Professor in telecommunication

particularly implementation of algorithms in telecommunication  
Stationed at: Campus Sundsvall, Ref no: Dnr 31/9700617

Complete announcement at URL: [www.msu.se/forstarkola/elektronik](http://www.msu.se/forstarkola/elektronik). Additional information: Contact Head of Department Lenaart Bergström, tel. 084649 148629, mobile 084610 2242015, email: lenaart.bergstrom@msu.se. Applications may be sent to the Registrar, Mid Sweden University, S-851 70 Sundsvall, Sweden, no later than 28 November 1997.

To place your advertisement

TEL +44 (0) 161 834 8888

or FAX +44 (0) 161 834 4436

The Guardian Weekly,

184 Deansgate Manchester

M60 2RR England

### CLASSIFIED

#### CAR HIRE

PAMBER CAR HIRE U.K. TEL: +44 (0) 1734 442412  
62 LEADING ROAD, WOODLEY, READING, RG5 3DH. FAX: +44 (0) 1734 696295  
SEND PHONE OR FAX FOR A QUOTE. TODAY FOR FULL DETAILS.  
WE MEET YOUR LEASE AND YOU CONTINUE YOUR JOURNEY WITHOUT DELAYS.

#### WINTER BARGAINS

A ROVER NISSAN	£105	D FORD ROVER	£165
FORD FIESTA		PEUGEOT 1.6 ESTATE	
B FORD ESCORT	£140	E PEUGEOT 1.6 LX	£170
ROVER 200		PEUGEOT 405 1.9 Q.R.	
C ROVER 418 & 216	£185	F ROVER 820 SL1	£185
FORD MONDEO 1.6			

#### INCLUSIVE WEEKLY RATES

GROUP	7 DAYS	14 DAYS	21 DAYS	28 DAYS
ONE	95.00	185.00	275.00	357.00
TWO	110.00	210.00	310.00	400.00



In 1958, Britain gave Christmas Islanders a present that would last a lifetime. Barry Huggill reports

## Poisoned legacy

**T**HE TOURIST clothes gave her away. If the elderly white woman squatting in the centre of the palm hut had worn a simple black dress and a wide-brimmed hat she could have passed for one of the missionaries who landed in 1857 with Bibles and prayers. But it's 1997, and Jess Munn is not a missionary. And in any case, the family she is talking to declared for Jesus many years ago, living, as they do, in the Republic of Kiribati, the most devout Christian nation on Earth.

Few have heard of Kiribati (part of what were the Gilbert and Ellice Islands), and even fewer know how to pronounce it. It was two days before Mrs Munn realised she was in Kiribati: on an island she'd always known as Christmas Island (now Kiribati), the largest of a scattered group in the Central Pacific granted independence by the British in 1979. "Discovered" by Captain Cook on Christmas Eve 1777, it lies two degrees north of the equator, 4,800km from Australia, 6,500km from New Zealand. Cook thought it unfit for human habitation, but he was wrong and it became the remotest outpost of the Empire, a fearsome place where the temperature rarely falls below 25°C at night and can rise to 50°C during the day.

Britain brought Christianity to Kiribati and a century later delivered another present: a series of H-bomb tests — with awful consequences for a local people who have never been to war and, to this day, never had an army.

Although not a missionary, Mrs Munn is on a pilgrimage. An Elder of the Church of Scotland, she arrived here last week to retrace the steps of her husband, who died of leukaemia two years ago. Phil Munn was a soldier in 1958 and witnessed the giant mushroom cloud — in fact, he was ordered to watch it by his officers.

Munn was not alone. In all, 12,000 men, mostly conscripts, were exposed to radioactive fallout in the Christmas Island tests. Up to 60 per cent of those troops suffered illness as a consequence. Many have died, some in the most horrible circumstances. To this day, their sons and daughters are prone to genetic disorders. Munn and his comrades were made to parade on the beach as the bombs went off. The troops were dressed in standard army gear for the tropics, shirt and shorts, without goggles or protective clothing. Nor did they have radiation checks afterwards.

It was no accident that the troops were there. In fact, you could say that Munn was murdered by the British government. Classified documents unearthed at the Public Record Office in London make it clear that one purpose of the tests was to ascertain the effect of radiation on soldiers. His widow still finds it difficult to talk about. "He died a bitter and angry man," she says, her head shaking, still unable to comprehend how anyone could allow such an experiment to be conducted.

Munn would not talk to his wife about the tests but frequently spoke about the Islanders. Mrs Munn arrived on Kiribati armed with a photograph of a woman called Nemi clutching a child to her breast. Munn took the picture in the fifties, and on the plane descending to the tiny landing strip his wife holds it in

her hand, confident its subjects will be found. And so it was that the 64-year-old former tax officer from East Kilbride, near Glasgow, found herself squatting in a palm hut, thousands of kilometres and a hundred years from anything she had ever experienced.

Kiribati has no television, no newspapers, nor radio. But news spreads rapidly and Nemi was soon tracked down. She is old now, her husband even older. The two sit on the floor, stiff-backed, bemused by the arrival of the white woman in her strange European clothes. The photograph of Nemi is produced and slowly they comprehend. A neighbour translates Mrs Munn's explanation and soon there isn't a dry eye in the hut.

Speaking through an interpreter is never easy, but Mrs Munn does her best. As she tells of the death of her husband, one wonders if she will be able to continue. It's hesitant, stilted, painful to watch. Finding Nemi is part of the process of coming to terms with the death. What ought to be private has become public. Nemi's husband has only one leg, his hands are crippled by arthritis and he winces as his visitor shakes hands. As Mrs Munn talks haltingly to the couple, giant crabs scuttle back and forth and hundreds of ferocious-looking dragonflies hover. It is unbearably hot and the mosquitoes are biting. The villagers gather round to gawk.

At one point, a middle-aged woman emerges from the crowd — she is the child in the photograph. Her father speaks, gestures to her, and she goes away, to reappear with a necklace of local stones for the honoured guest. Mrs Munn bursts into tears. It's a while before the guest regains her composure. "It's so hard to say what I feel. Nemi was in tears and she thanked me for coming to see her. And they are so very poor. I expected poverty but not like this. I feel so humble."

Travelling with Mrs Munn is another Scot. Ken McGinley is 59 and has been unable to work since 1973 — made sick, he believes, by nuclear fallout. He witnessed the tests and his face is scarred by the blisters that erupted days after the explosion. Like many test "veterans" he is sterile.

He takes Mrs Munn to the spot where he and Munn were forced to parade. "It was a glorious day, April 28, 1958. We were told to sit on the beach and a voice came from the Tannoy. Three... Two... One... Zero. Cover your eyes. I had my fists shoved into my eyes and my back to the area where the bomb was going off. There was a flash and I was able to see straight through my hands — the veins, the blood and worst of all I could see the flesh



**Mrs Munn says how she felt that her husband Phil was 'with her' as she had stood on the beach in the afternoon. 'I know he was there. He was talking, telling me I had done the right thing in coming. He said, "Well done, hen."'**



One of a series of Pacific nuclear tests in the fifties. PHOTOGRAPH: TOPHANN

itself. There was a scorching pain and I screamed. Look at the bomb now, ordered the voice on the PA."

Three days later, the blisters started to appear on his face, hands and neck; his leg became numb. The army doctor told him not to worry. Ginger Redman, Mr McGinley's mate, was probably told the same. A few days after the blast, Redman died — the cause of his death was "unknown".

That evening, Mrs Munn says how she felt that Phil was "with her" as she had stood on the beach in the afternoon. "I know he was there. He was talking, telling me I had done the right thing in coming. He said, 'Well done, hen.'"

One reason she has come to Kiribati is to see if the Islanders suffered in the way the troops did. As she and Mr McGinley travel round, it becomes apparent there are very few old people on the island. The local doctor confirms this — very few people, he says, live more than a few years beyond 50.

Eritane Kamaiti was the chief medical officer for Kiribati. He is now the only doctor, ministering to all 3,000 Islanders. It is a hopeless task. Walking round the local "hospital", Mrs Munn is staggered: "I've got more medicine in my bathroom cupboard." The doctor is one of the few old people on Kiribati. "We do the best we can, given our resources." If he has any resources, it is not clear

what they are. The hospital, he says, does not even have plasters.

He tells of a patient in her teens who died recently of leukaemia. Her parents were on Christmas Island at the time of the tests. Of course, he suspects the obvious but he shrugs his shoulders. Kiribati lacks the technology to do the necessary tests. "If a patient has cancer... well, that's the end," he explains.

This is a country without records. When people die there are no inquests, no certificates. Dr Kamaiti has only been here a short while. All of the evidence he has about the effect of the bombs is anecdotal: "Older people tell me stories. Radioactive fallout is carcinogenic. People living here have reason to be scared."

**A**T THIS point, the doctor changes the subject. He talks about the tonnes of equipment the British left behind here. After a pause, he presents, calmly and matter-of-factly, a hypothesis. Suppose they left it here because it was radioactive?

The two Scots went searching for the debris. It's not hard to find. No attempt was made to hide it; if one didn't know otherwise one would have thought the army was in retreat, abandoning all in order to escape. By a lagoon, there are hundreds of oil barrels and Mrs Munn goes to investigate. She quickly retreats — a colony of rats has made them home.

Off the beach, two little boys fishing from the top of a building. The few postcard-sized items show a tropical life but it's not so much paradise as carcinogenic rubbish. Mrs Munn cannot believe what she is seeing. She utters a constant stream of "oh my God"s.

That night, Australian divers, working on a badly damaged sewage system for the island, plain the extent of the problem. The first place on Earth to see January 1, 2000. The present tourism is obvious and the government is eager to make the most of it. But shortages of water and poor sanitation are major obstacles. The new sewage system is under development. They have produced a report for the Kiribati government that makes frightening reading.

"The British have left... hundreds of rusting drums and jerry cans, produce thin layers of bluish seepage... As you travel, you cover more rusting drums, abandoned cars, trucks and buses. Because of drought, wells have to be sunk and sticky black mud has been found on top of the table. Derelict and dangerous tanks stand abandoned; oil locks, liquid gas cylinders — still charged with gas. This is on criminal negligence. Adequately boilers, old buses, the rubble and two large transformers. Extremely toxic carcinogens. The British should remove it."

The debris is ugly, but worse, it is toxic. At best, the supply is being contaminated by heavy metal poisoning. At worst, it contains radiation. Mrs Munn has two questions: "Why did we let this happen? Why did we let it happen so much expensive machinery? What were we frightened of?"

David Yeilding is the Kiribati government representative on the island. He, and the government, are in a difficult position. Kiribati is extremely poor and cannot afford to pay potential aid donors. Mr Yeilding tries to be diplomatic: "Many people complain of illness. We have the old agencies to test for radiation. I don't want to blame the British. They thought they owned the island. They carried out the tests. They left the rubbish. Many people believe it has caused the deaths of fathers and mothers. But we do have the records to prove it. We need to clean up ourselves. The British should accept responsibility."

For seven days the two Scots were treated like royalty. Rooms were opened and meals prepared. Only towards the end did they realise the sacrifice involved. At the best of times Kiribati has little food. There is no starvation because of the ocean and the fish, but fish and vegetables are scarce. Fish and rice is the staple diet, but last week the rice was running out. Yet the lagers were happy to share what little they had with foreigners.

Defenders of Britain's colonial heritage point to the roads, the hospitals, the schools that the British brought. The troops did build roads here, but that was the extent of it. Kiribati has but two legacies of British rule — Christianity and education. Evidence of the former is abundant, to prove the effects of the latter is more difficult. Or is it? The island has few visitors and most are just "tourist attractions". It is proudly, a few hundred metres from the ocean: a seven-headed palm tree, only one in the world. Palms are supposed to have one head. But that still left her with the problem of delivering 12,000 extra pendants from a workshop in Ghana.

GUARDIAN WEEKLY  
November 2 1997

## Can we no longer bear the naked truth?

A recent custody case in Britain has highlighted a culture of suspicion around nudity in the family. **Maureen Freely** is shocked by the puritanism

**B**ITISH judges can be disapproving, says Lady Justice Butler-Sloss, but they should never be shocked. That was where Judge James Wigney got it wrong at a custody hearing last June, when he decided in favour of the father because the mother had allowed their nine-year-old son and six-year-old daughter to spend five minutes in the bath with her fiancé. Although he did concede that the two regulations had made a promise in good faith never, ever, to do such a thing again, he still saw fit to remark that he had known children to be taken into care for less, and that he found the attitude of social services "startling".

Lady Justice Butler-Sloss took him to task for these remarks when she overturned his decision last month and ordered a new custody hearing. "The judge appears to have allowed his instinctive reaction of the uninhibited behaviour of this couple... to override everything else in this case," she said. "He was plainly wrong. These are perfectly decent, respectable people. They may have been unwise, but there was nothing to suggest the children were at any risk of abuse."

She went on to say that in a "happy, well-run family, how members behave in the privacy of their own home is their business and no one else's". Does that mean it's safe to get back into the bath? Not quite. She went on to say that although she did not doubt this couple's "innocence", they may have been "careless and indiscreet".

Society has become more concerned about the treatment of children in the past few years; this means that everyone has to be more sensitive about matters such as nudity in the home and even on the beach. And the people who have to be most circumspect are new parents.

Which sounds like very sensible advice. What exactly does it mean,



Splashing out: Fun at bathtime could become a thing of the past

PHOTOGRAPH: JOHN HARRIS

though? How does it translate into real life? Two things worry me. One is this generous offer of privacy to all families that are happy and well-run — but, by implication, not to any family that tends to chaos or is in any way troubled.

What are the criteria here? And who is the judge? For example, I think my own family is as happy and as well-run as any could be, given the circumstances under which we operate. But I am aware that many people out there would put it into the unhappy, chaotic category simply because it includes two sets of children from previous marriages, plus another two younger children born out of wedlock. And so I take care, just as Lady Justice Butler-Sloss advises.

When the older children are in the house, I am mindful of the fact that anything I do could get reported back to their other parent, taken out of context and even end up in court. I never run the bath without thinking: "Let's see, who's here today? Is it going to be enough to lock the door, or should I make sure I am seen to lock the door? Will the towel to hand make me 'decent' enough when I walk back to the bedroom, or should I be fully

dressed?" So far, I have not had to resort to bathing fully clothed.

I am fortunate in that both of the other parents are sensible people who would not jump to suspicious conclusions without good cause. On the other hand, I cannot forget that one of them took a child to a doctor to discuss a problem with balance (after the child had been seen falling over in the school playground). The first thing the doctor asked, when she saw the bruises on the child's legs, was: "Tell me, when you stay at your other house, do they beat you?"

**A**NYONE who has been the object even of informal questioning will know that once the suspicion is planted in someone's head, it's very hard to talk them out of it. That's why I put so much effort into suspicion prevention. But here we come to the other worrying thing Lady Justice Butler-Sloss said — about standards and attitudes around children having changed over the past few years.

She is right, of course. What was perfectly all right five years ago could well land you in court tomorrow. I had a nasty jolt last autumn when, just after I had taken a roll of

holiday film to be developed, I remembered that it contained not one, but two photographs of my then three- and four-year-old daughters naked in a Greek garden.

The circumstances were, as they say, innocent: we had just come back from the beach, they had just had an outdoor shower — in front of many Greeks who, like me, didn't think anything of it, because it's perfectly acceptable there for little ones to go without swimming costumes. Now suddenly I was thinking about what the TV newscaster Julia Somerville and her partner went through over similar photographs.

As it happened, nothing happened. But when I picked up the pictures, I looked at them in a way that was not at all innocent. Instead of admiring their little shapes, their tan marks and smiles, I was anxiously checking their genitals, and noticing with embarrassment that, in one picture, one child had her hands cupped in a way that drew attention to them.

I'll be more cautious in future. But what about my wicked past? Are our new standards of suspicion retroactive? If they are, I'd better start burning my photo albums. They contain 18 years' worth of beach and

bath nudity, not just of my own children, but also of friends' children.

Should I be worried? Carolyn Douglas, a family therapist who is the founding director of Exploring Parenthood, assures me that I'm taking my new awareness too literally. Good parental judgment, she says, is never one-sided. "It's living on a balanced edge," she explains. "One's picking one's way along a track, looking down one side, and saying, oh no, I don't want that, and then looking down the other side, and saying, no, I don't want that either."

"The requirement for parents is that they should not sexually distress children." Equally, they should not make them feel ashamed of their bodies. Inevitably, some parents would make mistakes, but it was enough if they learned from them.

Is it realistic to expect parents to adapt such a happy-go-lucky approach in the present climate? Our suspicions about abuse these days know no bounds. Even if one accepts a particular photograph of a child in a bath is "decent and respectable" and therefore "innocent", there remains the worry about what the "wrong sort of person" might do "if he got his hands" on the negative.

Even if judges and lady justices agree that a five-minute bath with mother's boyfriend does not equal abuse, there's still, says Tiffany Jenkins, of Families for Freedom, "that element of doubt. Still they're implying that these people were a little bit unwise." The moment you draw a line, and say, it's probably all right because these are decent people, you're assuming, and without waiting for proof, that "there's a large minority of parents wandering around indecently". You're encouraging parents to ask themselves constantly if they could be, could have been, might one day become, that sort of person. And it is that, as much as real abuse, that makes the innocent gaze close to impossible these days. Our new awareness, Jenkins says, "assumes the eye of the beholder belongs to an abuser".

We are not making the world safer by pandering to bogymen. We are just focusing on genital sexuality at the expense of sensuality and tenderness. We are falling back on to our old puritanical ideas about the body as the source of all evil, and we are setting up walls between ourselves and our children that the Victorians would have found... yes, I think the word I'm looking for is shocking.

**Daloni Carlisle** meets the woman who convinced a Japanese business that fair trade can benefit everyone

## Equal to the challenge

**W**HEN Charlotte di Vita first sold fair trade goods to a Japanese mail order company, it was almost a disaster. Their computer told them they could shift 1,000 of the hand-crafted pendants she was offering; their customers ordered 13,000.

Summoned to the Kobe HQ to explain, she told them: "You put into your computer that it's a three-inch pendant with a certain cost. But it's not programmed in that there is a fair trade aspect, where people are being given employment in a very poor area and that the profits are going to pay for agricultural improvement." She suggested that they diversify to build on this good will. The company agreed. But that still left her with the problem of delivering 12,000 extra pendants from a workshop in Ghana

that could turn out 1,000 a month. They were given 28 days to deliver. They did it.

The story is typical of Di Vita's unconventional approach to business. She set up the charity Trade Plus Aid and its associated trading arm in 1992 after witnessing an impending famine caused by drought in northern Ghana, where she was on holiday. "You see people with nothing to plant, you know they are facing famine, and your level of what's possible and what's not disappears. I had £800 (\$1,300) in my pocket and I knew I had to turn that into the £30,000 they needed to buy seed — and do it fast."

So she asked them what they could make that she could sell. The answer became the fashion item of jewellery that year —

carved wooden figures sold as pendants. They sold out in Camden Market, London, and the farmers couldn't supply enough to meet the demand. So she moved the operation to the larger cities of Kumasi and Accra. They now make \$400,000 a year each and trade independently.

She ploughed back the profits into Bayin West, the area she had first set out to help, working with the development charity ActionAid. Farmers in the area now have a community seed bank, which loans them seeds for a season. They repay it with seed generated through that year's harvest. They've begun dry-season cropping, soil composting, tree planting and stone bunding — building a ring of stones around fields so that rainwater stays in the fields rather than running off. The women have started a collective farm to grow cotton, which they pay local weavers to make into fabrics that are sold locally.

The profits have also been used to build a school for shepherd children, who were missing

out on education because they spent all day tending livestock. Di Vita paid for the materials; the community built it.

Always putting money into existing projects where craftspeople were paid a fair wage for environmentally sustainable work, she now supports 18 producers across three continents, employing 295 people — who in turn support 1,475 family members. The profits are used to help communities help themselves.

Having established links with 300 traders in Europe and the United States, she decided not to expand her empire but to put traders in developing countries in direct contact with Western markets and let them get on with it. "I figure they don't need me any more, and I don't want this organisation to grow; I don't want to be responsible for all the jobs here." So she concentrated on developing new markets.

Trade Plus Aid is not the only player in the fair trade market, which started in the 1970s and is now worth an estimated \$70

million in Europe and the US. Oxfam and Trócaire dominate the alternative trading market in the UK. The UK market is shifting, with more emphasis on quality and increasing availability of food for which producers are guaranteed a fair price for their crops. "People will pay more for something they want that is ethically produced," says Rachel Wilshaw, Oxfam's fair trade adviser, "but they won't pay for something they don't want or that is not good quality."

Meanwhile Charlotte di Vita is in the process of putting traders in developing countries in direct contact with the Japanese, who "find it very hard to understand that I want to give away my supplier base."

"People also find it very hard to understand what Trade Plus Aid is about. It's not purely charity, but it's not purely business either. My focus is not on raising money for aid, but on getting people employed and training in business so that they can become self-sufficient."

Handwritten note: "The 1st of 1.16"







Cartier's pre-war clients piled on the diamonds until they could barely stand — and rarely let taste get in the way. **Veronica Horwell** joins the incredulous throng at the British Museum

## Flaunt-it brigade

**YOU CAN'T** shimmy around the British Museum's Cartier show with the nonchalance it deserves, because most visitors shuffle in a slow conga line close to the displays.

It's half necessary — the workmanship of the firm from 1900 to 1939 is, when visible at all, miniaturised near the scale of computer chips. And it's half reverent, since rocks on that *masse enroulée* megapower. Almost a magical power — the introductory cases of diamonds backed by new-in-1910 lightweight platinum metallurgy really do coruscate: the verb "to sparkle" isn't mobile enough to describe the agility with which the light refracts off diadems for grand-duchesses of the Tsarist court. These aren't the most intelligent of Cartier's output, but you will never have seen diamonds in this quantity before, so get in line, enjoy the scintillation and emit your wows.

After that, you need your wits back to appreciate that the best of the firm's output is owed to its chief designer in Paris, Charles Jacques. His watercolours, a point of white gouache simulating the glint off each gem, connect brilliantly with other applied arts from 1910 to the second world war. He seems to have absorbed Aubrey Beardsley's ability to outline form with infrequent dots, plus a decorative repertoire including the latest geometric forms from Modernist and Deco designers. He barely alludes to the living world, as jewellery had always done: his bracelets and clips could be architectural bandings or a door handle in an apartment in some too, too terrific New York skyscraper.

Anyway, his little scraps of brown paper (and the delicate pieces resulting from them) are more covetable than many of the surrounding goodies. Cartier's wares for pre-revolutionary Russia are ersatz

Fabergé, but even frumpier than the real thing.

The Egyptian craze is vile, especially where fragments of genuine antique objects — a gentle face from a tiny turquoise falence figurine, say — are crusted in diamonds and black onyx, as though glitzy moulds were growing over them.

There is something nasty about customers who could want, or a company that would supply, a vanity case — a flauntable box holding a mini-lipstick and about one puff's worth of powder for a shiny nose — assembled from chunks of a carved inscription to the gods, or made, however exquisitely, to parody a pharaonic coffin.

Captions let you know who the buyers were — a shortish social register — how they purchased items, returned them three days later, had them broken up and remade, so fashionable and so careless of the craftworkers that what once were beautiful creations are recorded now only by battered plaster casts.

**SO YOU'RE** quite grateful to Daisy Fellowes (chum of the previous Prince of Wales) that her "hindoo necklace" of rubies and sapphires was so absurdly delicious that only its mode of fastening was updated by her daughter. But of course Woolworth heiress Barbara Hutton kept a comb in her handbag, just like the Bakelite versions from Daddy's dime stores, but in tortoiseshell surmounted by more sodding diamonds. And the cereal queen Marjorie Merriweather Post would have worn that shoulderful of carved emeralds, creating cold and powerful as an Atlantic wave.

When you see a piece with a character that makes you whistle appreciatively like a Raymond Chandler private eye encountering a classy dame, it was always com-



The Maharajah of Patiala models a diamond bib necklace and collar made by Cartier for his father

missioned by some great gal. Those swiftest-elegant bracelets apparently made from carved fruit gums belonged to Mrs Cole Porter.

And those rock-crystal and diamond cuffs, which perfectly mirror the sophisticated-god-fm-sophisticated woman of 1930? Gloria Swanson bought them with the invested cinema earnings of her youth, and you can see them on her

wrists, 20 years after, when she gestures as the outmoded movie diva Norma Desmond in *Sunset Boulevard*. "Square-cut or pear-shaped — those rocks don't lose their shape: diamonds are a girl's best friend."

Cartier: 1900-1939 is at the British Museum, London until February 1

## Just for the Ludovic

CINEMA  
Richard Williams

**SOMETIMES** we complete that a movie isn't able to make up its mind whether it wants to be a comedy or a tragedy. And once in a while, come across something like *Ma Vie en Rose*, the story of a seven-year-old boy who wants to be a girl, a film that knows better than to define itself in usual terms.

Directed by Alain Berliner, a 34-year-old Belgian making his first feature, it begins like Jacques Tati satire on the middle-class suburb of Paris, the domestic scenes shot with the exaggerated brightness of a breakfast-cereal ad. But inside the perfect household of Hanna and Pierre Fabre (Michèle Laroque and Jean-Philippe Écoffey), the behaviour of his Ludovic (Georges du Fresnoy), the youngest of their four children, is beginning to cause concern.

For the boy has decided the he would rather be a girl — the indeed, he already is a girl, but back only by a lack of the primary characteristics of girlhood, and believing that one day he will acquire them quite naturally.

Content at first to let him grow his hair and try his big sister's make-up, Hanna and Pierre begin to fret when he turns up at a barbecue dressed like a gypsy bride, announcing his engagement to the boy next door.

"Young children search for their identities," a neighbour says, reassuringly. "I read it in *Murle-Claire*." But the hatching of these summer lawns takes on a more menacing tone when the other boy's father, who happens to be Pierre's boss, orchestrates the community's disapproval.

While the Fabres' life begins to unravel, the boy slips in and out of a pink and orange fantasy land ruled by a couple of Barbie-and-Ken doll figures. Berliner handles these difficult transitions with care, gradually draining the colour from the "real" world as Ludovic's parents begin to buckle under the strain of defending something they cannot comprehend.

Confronted by a series of anxious and angry adults, Georges du Fresnoy quietly constructs a performance of amazing subtlety. His seriousness grounds the film, allowing the grown-ups to spin off at their own tangents — in particular, the magnificent Laroque and Ecoffey — and the director to take increasing risks with the fantasy element.

*Ma Vie en Rose* has great surface charm, but also claims the rarer virtue of being an intellectual, non-stylish comedy. As a film about difference, and about the giving and withholding of understanding, it is a masterpiece.

GUARDIAN WEEKLY  
November 2 1987

## 'If England were a Restoration Comedy, then I'd be Mr Doolots'

Jeremy Sams has — so far — excelled as a translator, director, librettist and lecturer. **Michael Billington** wonders if he is too talented for his own good

**JACK OF ALL Trades.** Too clever by half. These are the phrases that have clung to Jeremy Sams. After all, he has written music for more than 50 shows, directed plays, musicals and opera and translated everything from Molière to Mozart. In a society where everyone has to be classified like a character in a Restoration Comedy, he is seen, not unlike Jonathan Miller or Stephen Fry, as suspiciously versatile. "It's true," he says, "that I've had to fight the idea that I'm a dabbler or a dilettante. If England really is a Restoration Comedy, as you suggest, then I'd be classed as Mr Doolots."

At the moment he's certainly living up to the name. Last month his sparkling new translation of *Lehar's The Merry Widow* opened at London's Shaftesbury Theatre in Graham Vick's Royal Opera production. Then he was off to New York to direct Stockard Channing in *The Deep Blue Sea*. Next year he'll revive *Amadeus* in the West End and, later, if he can clear his diary, he hopes to sit down and do what his diverse talents have long been driving him towards: writing an original musical.

Snoobs, of course, may wonder why the Royal Opera is devoting its resources to *The Merry Widow*, but for Sams there is no question that the work is a masterpiece in its own genre. "Graham Vick's concept" is to strip the work of its accretions and reveal it for what it is: the story of a couple who can't get it together because of their pride, their stubbornness, their lack of commitment. Graham's a stern taskmaster and faxes have been winging back and forth daily with suggested re-writes.

For instance, for the famous *Merry Widow* waltz I came up with a last line I was quite pleased with that

ran, "Can't you hear the music sing the same old song? I love you and yes you knew it all along." But Graham pointed out, embarrassingly, that the whole plot depends on Danilo not being able to declare his love till the very end. So it now goes "Let the magic linger, let the darkness fall. We can choose to let the music say it all." It's more oblique but I still manage to keep my internal rhyme so I'm perfectly happy.

Sams describes translating opera as a complex jigsaw puzzle: "You have to write something that, if the composer had received that English text, would have led him to come up with that music." But he talks passionately about the business of theatrical translation, banishing the idea that it's a purely technical process. In fact, it's a demanding profession riven by furious internal schisms.

"I recently went to a conference of 250 translators from all over the world," he says. "I'm not sure what the collective noun is: a polyglot perhaps. A lot of people argued it was morally and ethically wrong to do versions of plays if you don't speak the original language. I dispute that. I'd rather hear Tom Stoppard's version of a language he doesn't speak than Professor Somebody's who understands every nuance. I had to address the conference and made myself extremely unpopular by saying 'Work on your English'. I would argue, in fact, that a literal, line-by-line translation is an inaccurate translation: what you have to try and do is recreate the impact of the original in a different context, to translate the audience as well as the text."

A French play I translated a wonderful French play by Eric Emmanuel Schmitt, *Le Visiteur*, which is about God going to see Freud in 1938



Sams... 'Theatre is a bring-and-buy sale' PHOTOGRAPH BY DAVID SILFUE

Vienna: God is depressed about the state of the world but the trouble is Freud doesn't believe in him. I've now been asked to re-translate it for Broadway. The point is that a French audience will stand for a certain amount of tirade and debate while a Broadway audience requires rat-a-tat rallies rather than a base-line game. Every translation must have in mind precisely who the audience is."

Sams also, like a theatrical Joan of Arc, hears voices when translating. "With *The Merry Widow* I had the advantage of knowing I was writing for Felicity Lott and Thomas Allen: I've used the fact that she can deliver a potentially filthy line with a

butter-wouldn't-melt-in-the-mouth look while Tom can find a lubricious meaning in the most innocent phrase. So translation is always defined by circumstance."

Though he talks with the experience of a hard-nosed theatre nut, Sams is, by his own admission, a bit of a Jeremy-comic-lately to grease-paint. His father, Eric Sams, is a Shakespeare and *lieder* scholar who taught both him and his brother French and German, the piano and keyboard harmony at an early age. But at Cambridge Jeremy scarcely went near a theatre and, on graduating, he became a *lieder* accompanist. It wasn't until Steven Pimlott asked him to write music for *King*

Round The Moon at the Royal Exchange in the early eighties that he found his vocation. "Theatre," he says, "is, bizarrely, a place where, whatever your gifts are, they can be used. It's a real bring-and-buy sale. The fact that I can speak languages and write tunes is very handy, but it wasn't until I started working in theatre that things began to make sense."

Sams's whole life — not unlike Stephen Fry's — has been heavily shaped by a highly talented father: "The best I could do by way of adolescent rebellion," he says apologetically, "was to like composers he didn't." And if there is another dominant father-figure in Sams's life it is clearly Stephen Sondheimer: Sams has worked as an MD on his shows, directed *Passion* in the West End and shares the composer's love of complex word games. But how is he going to escape his influence when he writes his own musical?

"It's difficult. In this field he has taken the ball and run so far with it... In an earlier age there was Rodgers and Hart and then Hammerstein, Porter and Berlin, and now Stephen has become the dominant figure. His style is wide-ranging. His choice of theme is enormous. But obviously he is a big roadblock as well as a great innovator: he's thrown a *cordeau sautillant* round the whole genre and I honestly don't know what the answer is."

One solution would be for someone to lock Jeremy Sams in a room with a pile of manuscript paper and tell him to get on with it. He is highly intelligent, musically sophisticated and claims to be "temperamentally drawn to sadness and pain". In short, at 40 he has exactly the right qualities to rescue the British musical from the ersatz-American doldrums in which it currently languishes. All he needs to do is take the phone off the hook and, for a while at least, show Mr Doolots the door.

## Time's winged chariot plucks Percy from the Street

TELEVISION  
Nancy Banks-Smith

**LAST MONTH** Percy Sugden was shuffled off to Coronation Street's (ITV) Twilight Home for the Tiresome. Percy is one of the elite few with his own appreciation society. Fred Elliott, ah said Fred Elliott, is another.

I tried to catchline this piece Percy but the computer wouldn't stand for it. Percy, it said sharply, is an invalid name. I suppose so. Who's called Percy now except the Duke of Northumberland and my cat? In one swift swoop Maud and Percy, the last people in the Street to wear hats, have disappeared into Mayfield Court, a home for old soap stars. The horror of Mayfield Court is you are never quite sure if the residents are alive or dead. They appear occasionally and then they will stop appearing...

Both Percy and Maud are mark II models of earlier originals. Percy is nearly Albert Tallock. Maud is not quite Ena Sharples. There will be no mark III model. They really

do not make them like that any more.

Percy has lived with Emily Bishop for nearly 10 years. They were — Jack and Vera Duckworth apart — the last stable couple in Coronation Street. It was a courteous, irritated relationship, free from the faintest taint of impropriety. They were always Mr Sugden and Mrs Bishop to each other. Indeed, it was Percy's outrageous suggestion that Emily had interfered with his pyjamas which precipitated their parting. Mrs Bishop reeled back. Which was unfortunate as he also suspected her of drinking.

In some ways they reminded you of Sieptoe and Son: irritable together, inconceivable apart. Not that Percy was a dirty old man. You could see his military moustache reflected in his shining shoes. Life had effectively stopped for him in his finest hour when he was in the Catering Corps — "I've baked furry cakes under fire!"

The first words Ena Sharples ever said, as she entered the corner shop, were: "Half a dozen fancies and no eclairs." (Sometimes I

wonder what is wrong with eclairs. Mostly I don't.) Something has gone out of the Street with that generation. A sharp tongue and a sweet tooth.

Coronation Street has looked very odd lately. This week the Cadbury chocolate figures at the start began to talk to each other, and if that's not weird, tell me what is? Nothing seems to make much

**His finest hour was in the Catering Corps: 'I've baked furry cakes under fire!'**

sense. If, for instance, you see Kevin Webster as a demon lover, then I wonder if I can also interest you in Tower Bridge? Very reasonable. The buyer collects.

Currently half the Street believes they are haunted. The ghoulish cult is Les Battersby, who is entering houses via the roof space, eating Percy's buns, drinking Ken's

brandy and watching TV. Presumably EastEnders.

Believing Emily has taken to the bottle, Percy hightails it to Mayfield Court. This is ludicrously out of character. A soap is not a lottery, it is a family. People behave predictably within their parameters. Emily has never in her life had more than one small sweet sherry and Percy is not the man to leave a landlady in distress. You could not dislodge Percy from his bounden duty with a crowbar. That is one of the most irritating things about him.

Oddly enough, as the pensioners are pensioned off, Coronation Street's new producer promises an Asian family "stretching over three generations". And last year when a Micmac Indian from Newfoundland visited the studios it turned out that he really wanted to meet Percy. The Micmacs believe Percy is the sage, the elder, the top man on the totem pole.

The old should make a point of being born Indian or, as Agatha Christie said, marry an archaeologist. As you get older, you get more interesting.

## Wild boy comes of age

DANCE  
Judith Mackrell

**STEPHEN PETRONIO'S** work has always represented the definitive New York dance experience. His dancers weave around the stage with a reckless ease akin to rollerbladers on 5th Avenue. They fly into each other's embrace then plunge into the crowd. They are tough, buoyant, wild, and they fight to make themselves known above the thud of their accompanying music.


But Petronio is also one of London's own. He first appeared at the Dance Umbrella festival in 1983, and he's been visiting with his own company since 1985. We've watched his work in all its phases — from pink-corded erotica and ghoulish Cindy Sherman visuals to the notorious foreplay in his duet with lover Michael Clark. Now, at 41, Petronio says he's shed his wild-boy image.

In fact, ReBourne isn't as drastically new as its title suggests. The first section, set to music by the Beastie Boys, uses a familiar vocabulary of ailing legs, skewed lines and ferocious stop-start dynamics. Yet this would once have been executed in slash-and-burn style, the dancers scything through space and scorching across the stage so fast that few images could linger and

grow in their path. Now Petronio lets us briefly hold the movement in our gaze. Even though the dancers in their electric blues, oranges and greys move at speed, pauses are built into the choreography that show how dance phrases are layered to create bright, glancing textures of movement, how the choreography leaps from one dancer to the next.

The effect is to make the structure more visible, but it doesn't make the dance any less physical. Petronio's choreography has always rained into the music's beat with thrilling force; now his style is juicier, increasingly the dance is slowed down so that its images settle into our brain and, right at the end, Petronio, slung from a harness in one corner, starts to fall very slowly forward. This isn't, however, a man falling on his

Petronio... chaos theory made into dance PHOTO: ANNE LIEBOWITZ



## Only Brian Wilson knows

MUSIC  
David Bennum

**BRIAN WILSON** played musicians the way musicians play their instruments. The Beach Boys' songwriter based his technique on Phil Spector's, meticulously arranging sounds and voices, stretching the borders of his studio technology. The talents of his bandmates complemented his own genius.

You can guess all this just by listening to his masterpiece, *Pet Sounds*. Here, if you need it, is the proof: *The Pet Sounds Sessions* (Capitol). As well as four complete editions of the album — the original mono, a new, well-executed stereo mix, an entirely vocal version and another instrumental one — this four-CD set is stuffed with vocal takes, backing tracks, out-takes and studio chatter. Brian Wilson's building blocks.

It's questionable whether individual albums deserve treatment this lavish, but if any do, this must be one of them. Brian Wilson himself helped to supervise this exhumation, and while his judgment on just about everything else is questionable, to say the least, you have to as-

sume that when it comes to *Pet Sounds* he knows what he's on about. He even got roped in on the stereo mix, even though he produced the original in mono for the very good reason that he's deaf in his right ear (which is extraordinary enough in itself, like a world-class athlete with just the one good leg).

What's on offer is an audio documentary. If you're an amateur musicologist with an endless appetite for dissecting great records, then there's enough material to bore what few friends you may possess for months. If you just love *Pet Sounds* and would like some insight into how it was created, then it's fascinating, and you'll probably have the good sense to play it on your own.

A few months after *Pet Sounds*, Brian would be recording close-harmony tributes to vegetables and denouncing himself in the belief that his songs were somehow triggering blazes in nearby buildings. Neither he nor the Beach Boys ever really recovered. It's lucky that he lit on something as timeless and sublime as *Pet Sounds* while he was still lucid and focused enough to put his greatness into practice. If you want to, here's where you can hear him doing just that.

'Pet Sounds' is a masterpiece



## An eye on the past

Tim Radford

Royal Geographical Society  
Illustrated  
introduced by John Hamming  
Scriptum Editions 339pp £45

From The Field:  
A Collection of Writings From the  
National Geographic  
edited by Charles McCarty  
National Geographic Society  
458pp £19.99

**T**HE WORLD always looks mouth-watering on a plate. The Royal Geographical Society, familiarly known as the RGS, used to have a journal called *Illustrated Travels*. It was edited by the old Amazon hand Henry Bates and it contained rather warty reports about the absence of Dr Livingstone, and dispatches from crazed polymaths like Arminius Vambery, the Hungarian Jew who survived a Catholic education and then passed as a dervish in Ottoman Central Asia. It was illustrated by engravings copied from jobbing artists who dropped in, looking for work. One of these was Gustave Doré.

But almost a decade before Doré began knocking off studies of the Alhambra for a piece on travels in Spain, travellers with cameras were already fixing indelible images in the mind for those at home. While Colonel Grant (who, with John Hannigan Speke, found the source of the Nile) was photographing a slave market in Zanzibar, a certain Mrs G Leakey was setting a trend further north, with studies of buffalo, camels, pyramids, palm trees and water carriers. You have the whole story on three consecutive pages. The explorers arrive, and a few years and a few hundred miles behind, the sightseers are already gawping, and changing the world as they gawp.

What this stunning assembly of 300 photographs selected from a collection assembled over 136 years illustrates most of all is the swiftness of that change. There are pictures that made history, of the conquest of Everest by Hillary and Tenzing 44 years ago — and the Asia photographs are introduced by Sir Edmund Hillary, writing now. There is a study of a Saudi boy



Huli 'wig men' from the southern highlands of Papua New Guinea, by Edward Mendell, from Royal Geographical Society Illustrated

taken 50 years ago by Wilfred Thesiger when he crossed the Empty Quarter — and the same Thesiger introduces the astonishing Middle East collection.

In the studies of faces then, and faces now, there is an enduring repose. Plains Indians sit by their tepees in 1880, and two tribesmen pose for Prince Roland Bonaparte in 1884, and they have the same contemplative pride as a Northern Territory tribesman, his septum pierced by an ornamental bone, memorialised on film only six years ago.

Photographers have a way of constraining the wildest landscape, so the cunning photographer chooses something strong in the foreground — a barnacle whale breaching in the southern ocean, a man and donkey on a shaky bridge in the Chirai

to suggest the hazard, the distance, the sheer scale of it all. A huge US navy icebreaker looms enormous over the ice of McMurdo Sound in Antarctica in 1900, just as Shackleton's *Endurance* lurches crazily in the pack ice, 300 miles from land, in 1915: the distance between the two is less than a lifetime, but it seems like an aeon.

This dazzling book carries a series of essays to introduce each section, all by people already well known for their travel books. Paradoxically, along comes something from the US National Geographic Society with no pictures at all. The National Geographic has never been famous for its writing, or indeed its grasp of the real world: until 1977, it had never carried an article about the US South that mentioned segregation, lynching, the Ku Klux Klan, sit-ins, freedom riders or black poverty. Until 1977, it had not got around to addressing inescapable geographic realities such as Cuba under Castro, and apartheid in South Africa.

But *From The Field* is a rap over the knuckles for the mockers, all the same. Here is ex-President Theodore Roosevelt on safari ("We were fortunate enough not to lose a single white man on the expedition..."). Here is Joseph Conrad up the Congo in the heart of Africa ("a great melancholy descended on me"). Here is Amelia Earhart ("Over my warm flying clothes I wore an inflatable rubber vest").

Here are Alexander Graham Bell, Charles A Lindbergh, Jane Goodall and Diane Fossey, Paul Theroux on the Zambesi, Owen Lattimore playing snooker in Manchuria, Robert Peary at the North Pole, and even David Attenborough on a zoo quest in Guyana, chasing a three-toed sloth. Ed Hillary is here, too. ("We stagger up the final stretch. We are there. Nothing above us, a world below.") Don't be a sloth, buy both.

## Epistles at dawn

Peter Conrad

The Proud Highway: The Fear and Loathing Letters, Volume I: 1955-67  
by Hunter S Thompson  
Edited by Douglas Brinkley  
Bloomsbury 686pp £20

**L**ONG before anyone else had heard of him — which happened in 1966 when he published *Hell's Angels*, his avenging satire on America's sedate, stay-at-home democracy — Hunter S Thompson was a legend in his own mind. He kept copies of his earliest, most innocuous letters, and told them with him as he thumbed his way across the continent, sure that the bales of carbon paper constituted his ticket of admission to the American literary canon.

In the letters, he brawls and blusters his way into "The Big League". When an agent rejects his work, he threatens to "cave in your face and scatter your teeth all over Fifth Avenue". Thompson was a notorious bruiser, who began his journalistic career by stooping to death an office caddy machine that had gobbled one of his coins. He demands subsidies and patronage from the elders he has vowed to outdo. In 1959, he requested a weekly cheque from William Faulkner, and in 1961 he wrote while drunk to President Johnson, nominating himself as Governor of American Samoa. Faulkner did not reply. LBJ had a secretary solemnly assure the hell-raising outlaw, that he would "be given every consideration".

A rabid, uproarious mythomaniac, Thompson concocted a persona which dramatised the aggression of his revolutionary times. He combined the self-destructive will analysed by Spender in *The Decline of The West* with the adolescent existentialism of Colin Wilson's *The Outsider*. Wilson advertised his alienation by sleeping rough on Hampstead Heath (which in the sixties looked like a radical gesture). But the model Thompson adopted most attentively was Marlon Brando as the numbing biker in *The Wild One*. When his disruptive gang rides into the slumberous Californian town, someone asks Brando what he is rebelling against. "Whaddya got?" he snarls. Researching the rampages of the Angels, Thompson bestraddled a bike and transformed himself into an archetypal hero: "Genghis Khan on an iron horse, a monster steed with a fiery anus."

To embellish his belligerent persona, he began referring to himself in the third person. He became "the Hunterfigure", riding a "Huntermobile". As if his given name were a predestining augury, he fancied himself as a hunter. He stalked Manhattan with a hunting knife, in Rio de Janeiro graduated to a pistol "like Sam Spade", and later acquired a .22 Magnum which he used for "queer-balling" in Big Sur. After Kennedy's assassination, he advanced to a .44 Magnum, with which he intended to "croak the chief of police" in Dallas. Instead, fitting a Smith and Wesson, scope to his .44, he gunned down a wild boar in Colorado. What is satire, but imaginary slaughter? Thompson reviled the politicians of the seventies and eighties as a "generation of swine", and treated his journalistic commentary on them as a blood sport. He took pride in pulverising a con man who raided his garbage can: his shotgun, he said — inadvertently, paraphrasing Swift, on the effects of the lash — "does awful

things to a small animal at a range". His domestic pet of the inevitable, was a doberman.

His articles were often studded by photographs he had shot — visual target practice — and sharpshooting. When he armed himself to write, he did best to turn the typewriter into an offensive weapon, and was pelted by his all-night cranks: "The man downstairs is banging the floor. It is four in the morning, and I guess the typewriter just nerves."

Despite this percussive and alcoholic fury, the letters of Thompson as an American satirist, as ingenious in his assault as Gatsby. (Corresponding friends abroad, he refused to accept the limits of the format's offensive.) Fitzgerald's novel recurs throughout this volume as a good and a bad, like the illusory green light on the horizon by Gatsby himself. Mentally employed by Time magazine, Thompson kept his style by corruption by typing out all of *Great Gatsby*. He reread the book Portuguese while living in Rio, and remarked that if Fitzgerald had been a South American, "he would have made him an emperor."

During his time in the air force he looked out of a plane and so "the little green light on the wing" blinks "except that it shudders as if the wing were about to be off" and send us all hurtling to ground. Forty years after Gatsby Thompson chronicled an America which had fallen, forfeiting its claim to be a born-again Eden.

**H**IS MOST acute comment on this sacred text was provoked by his association, which he called "the most profound act of the twentieth century", remembering the murder of Gatsby, he commented that Harvey Oswald had rewritten the end of the book. Thompson wrote a novel to equal Gatsby, but he could fictionally flex them: his *Hell's Angels* and *Fear and Loathing in Las Vegas* he dedicated new kind of journalism, which he called "fictional liberties with facts."

"I got into journalism by being told a newspaper when applying for a job. 'Man,' he assured the New York Times when applying for another job, 'if you only knew how dig facts.' But he dug them because, like Mailer or Tom Wolfe, he declared fiction itself to be dead because American truth was stranger than any novelist's fiction; facts, however, as reported in Time or the network news, were only 'lies added up.' Hence Thompson's skittish merger of the two."

Gatsby's optimistic frontier has now irrevocably closed down. No longer yearning for augmented horizons, Thompson holes up in a fortified Colorado compound and amuses himself by feeding wild local law-makers. In 1970, he ran successfully for sheriff, campaigning on the *Freak Power* ticket: he was not to have rectified any of the abuses that ravage the land, but, almost pitying his prophetic conceit, he remains America's unavailing science, snapping like a doberman.

If you would like *The Proud Highway* at the special price of £16 send your order to CultureShop (see ad below).

GUARDIAN WEEKLY  
November 2 1997

### Paperbacks

Nicholas Lezard

Nietzsche in Turin: The End of the Future, by Lesley Chamberlain (Quartet, £7)

**W**HEN people in Britain think about Nietzsche — which doesn't happen all that often — they think of an extravagantly mustachioed proto-Nazi who went bonkers. Even those who know that this is a wretched calumny sneakily turn to the final pages of his biographies in order to gawp at his insanity. Chamberlain sorts this out once and for all by concentrating on 1888, the philosopher's last sane year, and writing in that same-guessing biographical style — trying to imagine every thought going through his head, often on the slenderest of evidence — that is guaranteed to draw in the curious and easily satisfied.

What makes her book so good is its triumphantly appropriate tone: you can believe in her version of Nietzsche. "I sometimes wonder what people thought of him in the train... This strange man, given to plotting the crime of the millennium against humanity? Und so weiter. This might be tendentious, but it draws us in, and the consistency of tone allows us to imagine that her portrait is at least coherent. Read Hayman's biography (Phoenix, £12.99) for more facts, but read this for the atmosphere and insight. There is, though, no index, which is a shame, cheese-paring economy.

The End of Time, by Damian Thompson (Minerva, £8.99)

**"NO DINNER PARTY"** conversation about the millennium is complete without a prediction that the world is about to experience mass popular convulsions just like last time (ie, 999 AD). Wow. Thompson must go to some pretty exciting dinner parties. To be fair, this is a fascinating book about end-time prophecies, from ancient Judaism to the Heaven's Gate cult. An ex-religious affairs correspondent, he is not harshly dismissive enough of some of the nutters he comes across — but then it wouldn't have been much of a book if he had been.

Apocryphal Tales, by Karel Capek, trans Norma Comrade (Corgi, £3.99)

**CAPEK** (pron. Chop-ek) died in 1938, his heart, so the story goes, pierced by Chamberlain's umbrella. Here are 36 very short stories, most of which take a historical moment and twist it arsy-versy: people fleeing Attila are more monstrous than the Hun; a baker complains that Christ's miracle with the loaves has ruined him. This kind of game is commonplace now, but Capek was its pioneer. Each conceit guaranteed to produce a sardonic bark or a wry smile.

The Official Tamagotchi Pet Care Guide and Record Book, by Doris Betz (Virgin, £3.99)

**AS PEOPLE** get too scared, selfish or miserable to have children of their own, they turn to this weird electronic equivalent. I would not recommend it. Tamagotchi hands on misery to Tamagotchi. It deepens like a coastal shelf. So get out as early as you can, and don't have any Tamagotchis yourself. Then again, cyber-poo would seem to have several advantages over the real thing.

## Quiet torment of a fellow traveller

Karl Miller

Walking in the Shade: Volume II of My Autobiography (1949-62)  
by Doris Lessing  
HarperCollins 369pp £20

**D**ORIS LESSING once accused her friend Edward Thompson of treating her like something that had "wandered out of the bush dazzled by bright lights". Her early days in Southern Rhodesia are chronicled in the marvellously remembered first volume of this autobiography, and she has now turned to the years 1949 to 1962. The bright lights may have proved harder to write about than the bush, but the same powerful readability prevails. Exile, escape, homecoming, survival — ancient themes make their appearance in these books, which have in them the outposts and metropolises of an empire, and the end of that empire.

She is now in a cold country, at the start of a cold war. She is a single parent, whose life and works are arranged to accommodate the rearing of a young son. Her mother follows her out of Africa, returns there, but remains on her back, where she can be located to this day ("I could have killed her there and then," thought little Doris Taylor on her African farm, the strong-willed child of a strong-willed parent).

She was soon to commit what she now thinks of as the most neurotic act of her life — joining the Communist party at a point when her misgivings on the subject had become "a steady, private torment". She was already well on the way to regarding Stalin as a thousand times worse than Hitler. Khrushchev's 20th Congress repudiation of Stalin did not go far enough for her, but she was

at first able to admit this only in private.

Becoming a communist placed her in one of the false positions to which she feels she has been prone, and it gave plenty of scope for her "natural disposition" to irony. But there is no irony when she gets round to facing the now "incredible and unforgivable fact that some of the most socially concerned, hopeful, dedicated souls connived at the crimes in the communist world, by refusing to recognise them and, then, by refusing to recognise them openly." All over Europe, she writes,

though less so in America, it was the kindest people who had become communists. The book tells how she became a famous leftie in a London full of famous lefties. Henry Kissinger seemed unaware, when he visited her, that "in Europe 'everyone' had been a communist or been in a communist ambience".

The ironic inverted commas round "everyone" — a favourite word of hers — are absent from other such claims. "Most of the people in the arts then had been communists of some kind." She went to a Trafalgar Square rally in London with John Osborne. Lindsay Anderson was there, "disapproving of everyone as usual." "Nearly everybody I knew seemed to be there."

There was a pleasure in taunting the police, who beat some of them up, but not the famous ones, when the cameras had quit the scene. By

now, however, she was sick of demos and marches and taunts and comrades. When she refers, halfway through, to "my own side" she means what she later calls "our great country, Britain": the irony involved in that second reference can't conceal that she liked it here, and not just because of the bright lights.

Quite a lot of irony was needed when she came to recall the occasion when she shared a bed, for conversational purposes, with the critic Kenneth Tynan, and noticed that his walls were hung with whips. Those critics. There were people at the time who suspected that he was less interested in sadomasochism than in talking about it, and that the same might be said of his socialism. What she calls "his somewhat perverse musical Oh, Calcutta" was called by him "after-dinner entertainment for civilised people".

Spoken like a savage Red. Critics, she thinks, were deficient in their response to her novel *The Golden Notebook*, written at this time and often seen as her principal achievement. Especially in mind here are the feminists who wrangled over it. But the novel has meant much both to feminists and to others, and this was predicted at the time. One reviewer said straight away that "it is the sort of book that determines the way people think about themselves".

In this sphere — criticism — as in others, she thinks that things have got worse since she was young. When the party line was finally discredited, when the god failed, she felt that "everything" was falling apart, but these were better years, she suggests, than the "grudging, cold, cautious time" we have now. It is a time when children who don't get on with their mothers are apt, unlike herself, to remain with them.

Not everyone on the left, during the years in question, was either a heartless or a doubting Stalinist. Most of them, with no secret about it at all, were against Stalin's mass murders and show trials. It is with this sort of socialism that her affinities must always have lain, despite the card she carried for a while, and it is unlikely that these affinities have been diminished by her conversion to a Sufi style of thought. She has a fine feeling for working people, for the hardships she shared with them. Her zeal for democracy in Africa, rarely a favourite concern of "the comrades", has survived both its trials and its triumphs.

There are many moments in the book when you are conscious, not of irony, but of its opposite: of a plain-spoken directness, no doubt responsible for the tactlessness which was alleged of this "difficult" woman. It can be seen as an aspect of her energy, of the energy shared with her mother. "This happened," she announces at various points, and she is off like a force of nature, like zebras across the savanna. The wise woman she hasn't wanted to be thought can be very fast on her feet at seventy-something.

The most Doris Lessing-like pages of this volume include those in which she speaks of her writing habits and their domestic setting — her cat naps, her cats — and in which, to great effect, a cat's eye is trained on the people in the flat.



Doris Lessing: zeal for democracy in Africa

PHOTO STEVE PINE

## Through a distorted lens

Natasha Walter

Life and Death  
by Andrea Dworkin  
Virago 252pp £6.99

**ANDREA DWORKIN** is one of a generation of women who revolutionised the way that we think about femininity, about sexuality, and about violence against women. So it's not surprising that now and again, in this patchy book of essays, Dworkin draws this revolution to our notice with a hint of deserved pride. In 1971, Dworkin was a battered wife. "No one knew about battery then, including me," she says. "It had no public name. There were no shelters or refuges. Police were indifferent. There was no feminist advocacy or literature or social science..." At the time, so far as I knew, I was the only person this had ever happened to.

Her work and other women's courage in speaking out mean that now everyone knows about battery. Every year, more and more women feel able to leave abusive husbands or to take partners and acquaintances, as well as strangers, to court for rape and assault.

Dworkin's relevance doesn't just lie in the past. One of the most striking essays in this book is about a recent case, that of Nicole Brown Simpson. Dworkin draws attention to her that Nicole's own words — her diaries, the stories she told her friends; the call she made to a battered women's shelter just five

days before her death, terrified that her ex-husband was going to kill her — were excluded from O J Simpson's trial. This essay reminds us that women who are abused and who suffer violence still don't have justice; their words still aren't given real respect and their bodies still aren't given full protection.

With so much right on her side, it's maddening when Dworkin gets it wrong. I suppose you can't expect fierce, brave, radical thinkers to be rational, coherent and convincing on every occasion. But her burning anger leads her to set up a monolithic world view that warps and simplifies many issues. Above all, her demonisation of pornography as equivalent to, or even worse than, concrete rape and abuse leads her into bizarre rhetoric.

Now, you may not believe that the smiling women in pornography are really having fun. You may think that men who use a lot of pornography may have undesirable attitudes towards women. But would you make the leap into saying that the women you see in pornography are invariably suffering not just abuse, but the equivalent of genocide, and that the men who make it and use it are doing the equivalent of genocidal murder?

Dworkin does make that leap. She exaggerates the harm that women experience at the hands of pornographers, and, by doing so, she seems to shrug off the real abuse and inequality that women still suffer.

## How to become a freelance writer

by NICK DAWES

Freelance writing can be creative, fulfilling and a lot of fun, with excellent money to be made as well. What's more, anyone can become a writer. No special qualifications or experience are required.

The market for writers is huge. In Britain alone there are around 1,000 daily, Sunday and weekly papers, and more than 8,000 magazines. Many of the stories and articles that they publish are supplied by freelancers. Then there are books, theatre, films, TV, radio...

With such demand, there's always room for new writers. But, as Mr. E. H. Metcalfe, principal of Britain's leading writing school The Writers Bureau, explains, "If you want to enjoy the rewards of seeing your work in print, one thing you must have is proper training."

The Writers Bureau runs a comprehensive correspondence course

covering every aspect of fiction and non-fiction writing. The 140,000 word course is written by professional writers and has been acclaimed by experts.

Students receive one-to-one guidance from tutors, all working writers themselves. From the start they are shown how to produce saleable work. "At the Bureau our philosophy is quite simple," says Mr. Metcalfe. "We will do everything in our power to help students become published writers."

The course comes on fifteen days' free trial. In addition, the Bureau offers a remarkable money-back guarantee — if you haven't earned your tuition fees from published writing within one month of completing the course, your money will be refunded in full.

So, would you like to be a writer? Why not start now by returning the coupon below!

FAX (+44) 161 228 3533 24 HOURS

### Why Not Be A Writer?

First-class home-study course gets you a flying start. Earn while you learn. Expert tutors, personal guidance, help to sell your writing and much more! It's ideal for beginners. Details free. No cost. No obligation. Send the coupon.

Name (BLOCK CAPITALS PLEASE)  
Address

### The Writers Bureau

Dept. WE117, 7 Dale Street, Manchester, M1 1JB, England.  
Accredited by The Open & Distance Learning Quality Council

### ORDER FORM

To order any book reviewed or any book in print, simply fill in this form and post or fax it to us at the address below.

Book title	Price	p&p	Total
1. _____	£ _____	£ _____	£ _____
2. _____	£ _____	£ _____	£ _____
3. _____	£ _____	£ _____	£ _____
4. _____	£ _____	£ _____	£ _____

I enclose a UK/Eurocheque for £ \_\_\_\_\_ to Guardian Weekly Books or debit my Access/Visa/Mastercard/AmEx/Switch N° \_\_\_\_\_ Switch Issue N° \_\_\_\_\_

Mrs/Ms/Mr \_\_\_\_\_  
Address \_\_\_\_\_  
Expiry date \_\_\_\_\_  
Signature \_\_\_\_\_  
Telephone \_\_\_\_\_  
Postcode \_\_\_\_\_

Post to: Guardian Weekly Books, 250 Western Avenue, London W3 6EE, UK  
Tel: +44 181 324 8503 Fax: +44 181 324 8678  
Cust. care: +44 181 324 8668 e-mail: bld@mail.bogo.co.uk

Airmail postage and packing  
Europe Please add 20% of order value  
Rest of world Add 40% of order value (approx. 7-10 days) or 30% (14-21 days)

Please do not send any further mailings from other carefully selected companies

CultureShop



# England's durable guardian

Mark Cooper

**I**N CHOOSING plants to symbolise themselves, the English have tended to entwine their name with the foliage of three main species — the rose, oak and willow. But a fourth, much neglected, certainly more humble and perhaps more enduring plant symbol for this nation and its countryside is the hawthorn.

The species features more regularly in English place names than any other tree or plant. At one time it would almost have been possible to travel the length of the country without leaving a hawthorn's side, certainly without losing sight of one. As late as the 1940s the country was interlaced with 830,000km of hedgerow, most of it hawthorn — enough white-flowered lanes of *Crataegus monogyna* to stretch from here to the moon and back.

Since the second world war these field borders have borne the brunt of agricultural improvement, and about half of those in eastern England have been destroyed. The plant's recent fortunes thus symbolise the fate of the wider countryside and the modern English violation of their own landscape. Yet hawthorn demonstrates equally that landscape's power to resist.

Wherever the spade has failed to grub out any hard-bitten roots, and whenever humans have turned their back even momentarily, the Mayflower springs back, its arthritic limbs clawing their way towards the light. Hawthorns sometimes manage to grow even on the most exposed cliff tops, where the winds are so strong, the soils so thin and the salt spray so corrosive that the bushes spread horizontally rather than vertically. Here in Norfolk, northerly gales come ploughing over the open expanses of arable so that many hawthorn hedges have been blasted into bitter waves of thorn cresting forever southwards.

Historically, humans have embraced this durability in the plant rather than warred against it. By the early Saxon period English hedge-




ILLUSTRATION: AMY HOBDAV

rows were already well established. In Germany and the Netherlands some hedge systems were probably neolithic in origin. Sometimes their protective function was a matter of strategy as well as husbandry. Hedges found by Julius Caesar in Flanders were laid by the Nervii tribe to frustrate enemy cavalry.

Hawthorns were equally valued for their defence against spiritual forces. In the Middle Ages, Europeans believed in the tree's magical powers to ward off the mischievous spirits of the pagan landscape. And the plant's gorgeous snowstorm of white blossoms ensured that it was deeply embedded in pre-Christian celebrations of spring and fertility. On May Day, the Mayflower was used to deck the houses, the Maypole and the May virgins.

Its central role in these heathen rituals may explain the disapproval of the official church. Certainly Pope Gregory XIII inflicted lasting damage on hawthorn's powerful

symbolism when he instituted the Gregorian calendar. Before its British adoption in 1752 the hawthorn of southern England would have first flowered about May Day itself. But the new calendar moved all dates 10 days forward and uprooted the tree from its ancient talismanic position.

In Norfolk, there remains one living expression of these ancient hawthorn rituals — an 800-year-old specimen in the village of Hethel. It was recorded as a meeting place for rebels during the reign of King John; even then it was probably a good-sized tree. In its heyday last century the tree was almost four metres in circumference and the branches spread across an area of almost 29 metres. Today it has decayed to a fraction of its former self, but it would be rash to discount such a veteran. Its loss of bulk could be a case of vegetable downsizing, a trimming of sails to enable its thorny passage through the next millennium.

## Chess Leonard Barden

**B**AT'S super-computer Deep Blue has abandoned chess in favour of the stock and bond markets, so Garry Kasparov, after a few mandatory sneers at the machine's cowardice in dodging a rematch, has settled down once more to his old routine of cerebrally wiping out fellow-humans. Six rounds into last month's Fontys Tilburg tournament, Kasparov had five wins and a draw with a performance rating of more than 3,000 Fide points.

Later, Kasparov slowed, settling for a first-place tie with his countrymen Kramnik, aged 22, and Svidler, 21. Meanwhile a surfeit of all-Russian K v K contests has provided the rationale for a \$5 million world championship knockout at Groningen in a few weeks' time, which Kasparov has contemptuously dismissed and where the ageing Anatoly Karpov still has a bye to the final.

Judit Polgar, the leading woman player, can handle all the top male GMs bar Vishy Anand, Kramnik and Kasparov. The latter's latest success against her, by a violent and perhaps risky assault at Tilburg, indicates that the great sexist, whose several wins against Polgar include a move retraction and a swindle in the dead drawn endgame of rook and knight versus rook, is starting to believe his own propaganda about women players and that anything goes. Just what he thought about computers not so long ago.

### Kasparov v Polgar

1 e4 e6 A move one divergence from her favourite King's Indian. 2 Nc3 d5 3 d4 Bb4 4 c3 e5 5 n3 hxe3+ 6 bxc3 Nf6 7 exd5 exd5 8 f3 Kasparov's teacher Botvinnik popularised this formation, but he preferred 8 Bd3 with Nc2 and a later e3-e4. e4 9 Ne2 Nc6 10 d4 h6 11 Bg2 Na5 12 0-0 Nf3 13 Ra2 0-0 14 Ng3 Bd7 15 Qe1 Re8 16 e4! A radical gambit, prompted by 16 h3 Qe7 harassing the g3 knight. dxe4 17 fxe4 Nxe4 18 Bf4 Qh4 19 h3 Nf6 20 e5 Rd8! Perhaps missing the reply menacing f7: after Nf7 21 Bxb7 or

Nh5 21 Nxf5 Qxh5 22 Bb5! the pawn, but Black is not in a game.


21 Qf2! Nh5 22 Bb5! gxf6 23 Qx7+ 24 Bb5! inous. 23 Nf5 Qx7+ 24 Bb5! Re6 25 Be3 Be6 26 Bf1! 27 Be2 g6 28 Nh6+ 27 Bf1! 28 Be2 fxe5 29 Bxh5 e4! 30 Nh6+ Kg7 31 R7+ Kd7 32 Nxd4 Resigns. For Nf6 cxd4 Rxd4 fails to 34 Bf7.

The US Junior world champion Kasparov's charisma is one-move own goal.

### Shaked v Kasparov

1 d4 Nf6 2 c4 g6 3 Nd2 cxd5 4 Nxd5 5 e4 Nxc3 6 Bg7 7 Be3 c5 8 Qd2 Qd5! b6 10 Bb5 Bd7 11 Be2 Rd4! Bd3 Nd7 13 Ne2 Rd8 14 Rf1 15 h4 h5 16 Bg5 Rf6 17 Bb7 18 d5 Ne5 19 Bb1 Ne4! Qd4! Be5 21 Resigns.

### No 2496



Alexander Tolush v Gosta Stenlund 1953. The two opponents were among the hardest drinking chess history, but both Russia's lush (volodka) and Sweden's schnapps could be brilliant orative. Here Tolush (White, to move) has the edge; how did he win?

No 2496: 1 Rxc6 and if black 2 Nc3 or Ne3 2 d4 or Qg8 3 Qx5! Tac are 1 Rd3? Nxc5 or 1 Bb2 Nd1 d3? Qg8.

Football Premiership: Southampton 3 Tottenham 2

## Spurs spinning away from reality

Martin Thorpe

**S**PIN doctors are not only a political phenomenon. In football, too, a manager will try to convince people that black is white, lose is win.

Last Saturday the increasingly under-pressure Gerry Francis made a shrewd decision. "We've been struggling away an opportunity," he said. "We need to kill off matches." Yet he also implied, in spin-doctor tones, that things were not all that bad. "We were in control of the game, passing the ball well, we scored two great goals and they were not looking as though they were going to cause us any problems."

Well, er, not quite. Take the passing. Spurs gave the ball away with a damning regularity that was only exacerbated by their sluggish movement of the ball. Yes, the team did control large parts of the game, but with a dullness that produced little.

They did not seriously threaten the Southampton goalkeeper Paul Jones until Jose Dominguez's opening goal on 41 minutes, and did not again until their second goal on 65. Thereafter a shot off-target came from Dominguez and David Ginola was as dangerous as Tottenham's stacking intent goal.

In explanation, Francis rightly argued that apart from Chris Arm-

strong, who is playing while half-fit, all his strikers are injured. The manager also rightly complained that Dominguez should have had a penalty when Jason Dodd brought him down.

But then Francis returned to being economical with reality. For instance, calling Southampton's equaliser "fortunate" was an oversimplification. Yes, Claus Lundekvam's half-fit shot only beat Ian Walker because of a deflection off Sol Campbell. But why was the Southampton player totally unmarked in the area in the first place? Francis's only specific criticism of his defence was directed at Ramon Vega for his failure on Southampton's second goal to react to Kevin Davies's nod on which allowed David Hirst to score on his home debut.

The manager did talk about the problem with Tottenham's "individual concentration situation", though even blaming personal mistakes for this defeat was another oversimplification. As Francis himself admitted: "Everyone can make a mistake, that's how goals are scored."

At both ends of the pitch, indeed. For although Spurs threw the game away through defensive errors, they would not have been in it without Southampton's.

It was the home defence that allowed Dominguez the space to pick up the ball 30 yards out, turn and



Francis: under pressure

walloped a shot past Jones for Tottenham's first.

And as for Spurs' second, Francis Benali committed himself to a tackle on Dominguez, and thus allowed the little man to turn and escape on the break before feeding Ginola on the left. In a further act of generosity, the Southampton defence stood off as the Frenchman gratefully progressed to the edge of the area and unleashed a left-foot shot past Jones.

Of course football is all about opinions, and another view came from the Southampton full-back Jason Dodd. "This is one of the worst Tottenham sides I've played against," he said. "They may be skilful but they don't roll their sleeves up when the going gets tough."

With all these criticisms, and Les Ferdinand now asking for a move because Alan Sugar said he cost too much, Francis must wonder if all the aggro is worth it. Another question without an easy answer.

Sports Diary Shiv Sharma

## Rome police criticised

**I**TALIAN police used excessive intimidation and force against England football supporters during last month's World Cup qualifying match in Rome, according to the Football Association.

Stewarding and ticketing arrangements for the match were also strongly criticised by an FA report into the crowd disturbances.

David Davies, the FA's spokesman, while admitting to a small rowdy minority, said most England fans acted with restraint.

David Mellor, head of the Government's football task force, said: "I am glad that the FA have grabbed with both hands the opportunity to deliver a crisp, honest report into what happened."

Meanwhile the Home Secretary, Jack Straw, has announced that he is to host a summit for European police chiefs and football officials early next year to ensure that the World Cup in France is not marred by hooligans.

**M**ANCHESTER United beat Feyenoord 2-1 in Group B of the Champions League at Old Trafford to consolidate their lead over Juventus, but did not punish last season's runners-up in the Dutch league by the margin their superiority demanded.

Alex Ferguson's team squandered a series of chances before and after Paul Scholes put them ahead just past the half-hour. A penalty from Denis Irwin 19 minutes from time seemed to put the game beyond the visitors, but a goal from Henk Vos eight minutes from time gave the home side some anxious moments.

In Group C, Newcastle United went down 1-0 to PSV Eindhoven. It was the home side's first win and the visitors' first defeat, and the result leaves the two teams level on four points, three behind leaders Dynamo Kiev.

Aston Villa produced a solid defensive performance in the first leg, second round, of the UEFA Cup to hold off Athletic Bilbao 0-0 in front of a partisan Spanish crowd. But Liverpool face an uphill struggle after being beaten 3-1 in Strasbourg, David Zivelli and Dennis Comth getting the goals for the French side.

In the second round of the Cup Winners' Cup, Chelsea were skating on thin ice and sliding towards an ignominious defeat against Tromso when Gianluca Vialli brought some colour to his team-mates' cheeks with two goals in the last five minutes. The game was stopped twice to clear snow from the pitch markings. Although Chelsea lost 3-2, the Italian star's great individual effort should ease his side's task considerably against the Norwegian side in the return leg at Stamford Bridge.

**B**RYAN HAMILTON was sacked as Northern Ireland's football manager, paying the penalty for his team's poor showing in their World Cup qualifying campaign. Hamilton had been in charge for three and a half years but his team's sole victory against Albania — in the World Cup campaign reflected his overall record of only eight wins in 31 matches. Ron Atkinson, former Manchester United boss, is a leading contender to replace him.

**P**HIL TUFNELL, the England and Middlesex spinner, escaped with a \$1,800 fine and a suspended ban until April 1999 when he appeared before an England Cricket Board disciplinary hearing, charged with failing to take a random drug test towards the end of last season. The decision means he will take his place on England's winter tour of the West Indies, which starts in January.

Elsewhere on the cricket scene, Wasim Akram, the Pakistan captain, is expected to take over at Lancashire next season after Mike Wilkinson decided to stand down. Wilkinson, afflicted by an arm injury, had a disappointing fourth season as captain and told the club that he did not want to be considered for the post next year. Wasim is the only obvious candidate, having been appointed vice-captain to Wilkinson last year.

Meanwhile Sussex, having failed to lure Australian leg-spinner Shane Warne, have signed another Australian, Michael Bevan, who became available when Yorkshire, whom he



Tufnell: escaped ban

had been contracted to rejoin after two previous successful seasons at Headingley, preferred to retain Darren Lehmann.

Brian Lara has told Warwickshire that he will definitely be joining them as their overseas player next season. The West Indian played for the English county in their Treble-winning season of 1994.

**S**KIPPER Paul Cayard and the crew of the Swedish boat EF Language won the first leg of the Whitbread Round the World race and with it the Volvo Trophy when they sailed into Cape Town harbour last week. They had covered the 7,350-mile stretch from Southampton in 30 days, 16hr, 54min, 26sec — more than three days faster than the record set by the Maxi-yacht UBS in 1985.

**T**HE Florida Marlins won the 1997 World Series baseball championship with a 4-3 victory over the Cleveland Indians in Miami on Sunday. The Marlins, who have been in existence for only five seasons, became the youngest team to win a championship. Masters of the late comeback, the Florida-based team tied the game at 2-2 in the ninth and then scored the winning run in the 11th inning. It was only the third time in history that a game seven had gone to extra innings.


## Quick crossword no. 390

### Across

- 1 Hurdy-gurdy (6,5)
- 9 Obnoxious (9)
- 10 Dry (of wine) (3)
- 11 Apple drink (5)
- 13 Irish girl (7)
- 14 Method (6)
- 15 Take another look at — a critical report (6)
- 18 Endrite (7)
- 20 Scottish river (5)
- 21 Consume (3)
- 22 Etching (9)
- 24 Growth (11)

### Down

- 2 Donkey (3)
- 3 Delect — the other side (7)
- 4 Craft — project (6)
- 5 Regal (5)
- 6 Asceticism (9)
- 7 Mercury (11)
- 8 Admirer (11)
- 12 Take apart (9)
- 13 Cry out (7)
- 17 Slowly (music) (6)
- 19 Female relative (5)



23 Tavern (3)

### Last week's solution

STOKING POINT  
A H I O M I  
P H I C I T Y E A C T  
A K A Z I E P O F  
G U N P R O O P E R  
O O V W H E R  
M A V E L Y T O M A T  
S M A R T N E L L A  
S H A P E N E L H O L Y  
H A P P Y E D  
E V I T A C A S I N E  
L O O R O S E  
L A N G U A G E C O R P O R A L

## Bridge Zia Mahmood

**L**AST WEEK, the World Championships began in Hammamet, Tunisia. It is the first time that the African continent has hosted the Bermuda Bowl — the Open championship — and the Venice Cup for the ladies.

Britain is not represented in the Bermuda Bowl, its Open team's effort to qualify having fallen a fraction short. But the British women's team — comprising Nicola Smith and Pat Davies, Michele Handley and Sandra Landy, Liz McGowan and Heather Dhondy — are considered among the favourites for the title, not least because they are the reigning European champions.

The British team's main rivals for the top spot are China, France, the US and Germany — the last two named teams have contested the last two Venice Cup finals and the score is one all — the US won in 1993, the Germans in 1995.

Decide how you would play this deal from the 1995 Venice Cup final. You are South in four hearts with the cards shown here (see above):

♠ A 8 5  
♥ A Q 10 9 6  
♦ 9 4  
♣ 9 6 5

♠ K J 2  
♥ K J 8 3  
♦ A Q  
♣ J 8 3 2

### This has been the bidding:

South West North East  
INT 2<sup>nd</sup> 3<sup>rd</sup> Pass  
♥ Pass Pass Pass

- (1) Spades and another suit
- (2) A game try with a heart suit

West leads the six of spades (fourth best), and East contributes the nine. When you draw trumps, you find West with a singleton and East with three small. Winning the third heart in dummy, you lead a club towards the Jack. East plays the queen — and West shows out!

East cashes a second top club, then switches to a diamond in what you know to be this position, needing to lose just one more trick (see above right). But who has the king of dia-

monds? If West has it, you must play the ace of diamonds, cash the ace and king of spades, and exit with a diamond to West's king. She will have to give a ruff and discard, so your club loser will disappear. But if East has the king of diamonds, you must simply take the finesse. Choose the choice between an endplay and a finesse, no self-respecting expert would do the simpler thing. South went up with the ace of diamonds, she cashed her spades, she exited with a diamond, and... East won the diamond, and cashed the ace of clubs. Down. Who'd be an expert?

North  
♠ A 8  
♥ 9 6  
♦ 9 4  
♣ 9

West  
♠ Q 10 7  
♥ None  
♦ 7 10 8 7  
♣ None

South  
♠ K 2  
♥ 8  
♦ A Q  
♣ J 8

Cricket Third Test: Pakistan v South Africa

## Pollock blitz earns South Africa series win

**S**OUTH African pace bowler Shaun Pollock triggered a spectacular Pakistan collapse in Faisalabad on Monday to give his side a stunning 53-run victory in the third Test — and a historic series triumph.

Pakistan needed 146 to win with all wickets remaining, but Natal bowler Pollock took four wickets in eight balls in the morning session to turn the tables.

A holiday was declared in Faisalabad in anticipation of a home triumph in the first series between the two sides in Pakistan, and there was a big crowd at the Iqbal Stadium. But Pakistan were bowled out for 92.

South Africa, who won the series after the first two Tests at Rawalpindi and Sheikhupura were drawn, also owe a debt to off-spinner Pat Symcox. Twice he excelled with the bat for South Africa and also took three wickets.

Pollock, aged 24, finished with five for 37 and seven wickets in the match, but innings of 81 and 55 on top of his wickets earned Symcox the man-of-the-match award.

"I am happy and proud to be a South African," said the overjoyed captain Hansie Cronje. "It was a closely-fought series, especially this match."

On a dismal day for Pakistan, only Moin Khan (32) and Asim Sohail (14) reached double figures, and Pakistan captain Saeed Anwar said: "Full credit to South Africa, they deserve this win. Definitely it is disappointing not to beat them, but it was not easy to chase 145."

The tourists attacked from the

first minute of the fourth day and the four Pollock wickets that fell in two overs proved fatal to Pakistan's chances. They left Pakistan reeling at 31 for five.

Pollock dismissed Saeed Anwar and Ejaz Ahmed in his third over, for naught. Ali Naqvi (6) and Inzamam-ul-Haq (5) were Pollock's other victims, falling to excellent slip catches by Brian McMillan and Daryll Cullinan.

Moin Khan and Azhar Mahmood doubled the score, despite some anxious moments, especially when Mahmood edged one ball from Lance Klusener towards Dave Richardson after making six.

With Pakistan 79 for six at lunch, their hopes rested on Moin Khan and Wasim Akram. But Akram mis-

timed a lofted drive off Symcox and was caught by Gary Kirsten. Symcox then removed the last two wickets in no time, finishing with three wickets for just eight runs.

The Pakistan coach, Haroon Rasheed, said: "Our batsmen played very badly while the South Africans bowled and fielded exceptionally well."

Pakistan's leg spinner Mushtaq Ahmed and Kirsten were declared men-of-the-series. — Agencies

**Scores:** South Africa 239 (Gary Kirsten 100n, Pat Symcox 81; Wasim Akram 4-42) and 214 (Symcox 55; Mushtaq Ahmed 4-57); Pakistan 308 Inzamam-ul-Haq 96; Moin Khan 80 and 92.

**South Africa won by 83 runs**

### Football results

**FA CUP PREMIERSHIP:** Arsenal 0, Aston Villa 0; Bolton 1, Chelsea 0; Coventry 0, Everton 0; Leicester City 2, West Ham 1; Liverpool 4, Derby 0; Manchester Utd 7, Barnsley 0; Newcastle Utd 1, Stockport 1; Sheffield Wed 1, Crystal Palace 3; Southampton 3, Tottenham 2; Wrexham 1, Leeds Utd 0.

**NATIONWIDE LEAGUE:** Division One: Birmingham 0, Oxford 0; Bradford 1, Crewe 0; Huddersfield 1, Portsmouth 1; Ipswich 2, Bury 0; Middlesbrough 2, Port Vale 1; QPR 2, Macc 0; Stockport 1, Wolves 0; Sligo 1, Sunderland 0; Swindon 1, Norwich 0; Tranmere 2, Charlton 2; WEA 2, Shrew Utd 0.

Division Two: Bristol R 0, Blackpool 3; Burnley 2, Bournemouth 2; Chesterfield 1; Wycombe 0; Fulham 1, Northampton 1; Gillingham 2, Plymouth 1; Gillingham 0, Watford 1; Luton 2, Brentford 0; Millwall 1, Wigan 1; Preston 0, Wrexham 1; Southampton 1, Oldham 1; West Utd. Bristol City 0; York 4, Carlisle 3.

Division Three: Cardiff 1, Hartlepool 1;

Chester 1, Macclesfield 1; Exeter 2, Scunthorpe 3; Hull 0, Brighton 0; Lorient 0, Colchester 2; Lincoln 3, Darlington 1; Mansfield 1, Barnet 2; Notts Co 1, Cambridge Utd 0; Peterborough 2, Torquay 0; Rochdale 0, Rotherham 1; Shrewsbury 0, Scarborough 1.

**BEL'S SCOTTISH LEAGUE:** Premier Division: Celtic 2, St Johnstone 0; Dundee U2, Rangers 1; Middlesbrough 2, Hibernian 1; Motherwell 1, Aberdeen 2.

First Division: Falkirk 1, Dundee 1; Morton 1, Ayr 1; Partick 3, Hamilton 3; Raith 2, Stirling Albion 0; St Mirren 0, Airdrie 2.

Second Division: Brechin 2, Forfar 0; Clyde 0, Clydebank 1; East Fife 1, Inverness CT 0; Livingston 2, Stirling Albion 1; Queen St 2, Stranraer 1.

Third Division: Albion 0, Queens Park 0; Alloa 0, E Stirling 2; Arbroath 1, Montrose 2; Dumbarton 1, Berwick 4; Ross Co 5, Cowden 0.